

The Biomechanics, Microbiological Succession, and Biochemical Stabilization of Traditional Hard Cider Production

The transformation of raw *Malus domestica* (the domesticated apple) into stable, complex hard cider is a multidimensional process that bridges advanced horticultural agronomy, mechanical engineering, microbial ecology, and rigorous biochemistry. While modern commercial cider production frequently relies on highly automated continuous belt presses, pasteurized juice, and inoculated monocultures of isolated yeast strains, the traditional and artisanal production of hard cider requires a far more nuanced orchestration of natural variables. This exhaustive technical analysis explores the cider-making continuum. It begins in the orchard with rootstock biomechanics and pathogenic management, advances to the mechanical physics of the wooden wedge press, details the complex microbiological dynamics of wild spontaneous fermentation, and concludes with the precise biochemical management of airlocks, lees, and molecular sulfur dioxide to suppress the deleterious metabolic pathways of spoilage organisms.

Agronomic Foundations and Pomological Engineering

The biochemical matrix of any cider is irrevocably dictated by the physiological health and genetic profile of the orchard. Modern high-density orchard management, particularly when tailored for craft cider production, necessitates a multi-disciplinary approach to rootstock selection, grafting biomechanics, microclimate modification, and pathogen suppression¹.

Rootstock Dynamics and Edaphic Adaptation

Because apples are highly heterozygous and do not reproduce true-to-type from seed, vegetative propagation via grafting is an obligatory horticultural mechanism¹. The selected rootstock fundamentally dictates the tree's vegetative vigor, anchorage, precocity, and resistance to biotic and abiotic stress. While historical orchards relied on standard seedling rootstocks that delayed fruiting for up to a decade, modern cider cultivation frequently leverages engineered rootstocks¹.

The global standard for high-density orchards has long been the Malling series (e.g., M.9), which induces large fruit size and extreme precocity¹. However, M.9 exhibits severe systemic vulnerabilities to fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora*), woolly apple aphid (*Eriosoma lanigerum*), and apple replant disease¹. To address these vulnerabilities, the Geneva series (developed jointly by Cornell University and the USDA-ARS) engineered genotypes explicitly for disease resistance¹.

Rootstock	Vigor and Size	Fire Blight	Woolly Apple	Agronomic
-----------	----------------	-------------	--------------	-----------

Genotype	Control	Resistance	Aphid Resistance	Characteristics and Usage
M.9 NAKB 337	Dwarf (30-45%)	Highly Susceptible	Susceptible	Industry standard; highly precocious; requires permanent trellis support; prone to burr knots ¹ .
B.9 (Budagovsky)	Dwarf (30-40%)	Moderately Resistant	Susceptible	Exceptionally winter hardy; susceptible to drought; highly precocious ¹ .
G.41 (Geneva)	Dwarf (30-40%)	Immune	Immune	Tolerant to replant disease; exhibits critical graft union brittleness with certain scions ¹ .
G.890 (Geneva)	Semi-Vigorous (50-60%)	Immune	Resistant	Free-standing; highly precocious compared to older semi-dwarfs; exceptionally drought-tolerant; ideal for cider processing orchards ¹ .
MM.106	Semi-Vigorous	Moderately	Resistant	Adaptable to most soils but

	(70%)	Resistant		strictly contraindicated for heavy, poorly drained soils due to high collar rot susceptibility ¹ .
--	-------	-----------	--	---

The physiological objective of grafting is the precise alignment of the vascular cambium between the scion and the rootstock, leading to the formation of an undifferentiated callus bridge that ultimately permits the bidirectional flow of water, minerals, and photosynthates¹. However, specific biomechanical constraints limit certain combinations. Histological evaluations of the highly disease-resistant G.41 rootstock reveal a disorderly formation of vascular connections at the graft interface when paired with vigorous scions¹. This anomaly severely diminishes flexural strength, mandating robust high-density spindle trellising to prevent catastrophic breakage during high wind events or mechanical harvesting¹. In arid edaphic zones characterized by rapidly draining sandy soils, dwarfing rootstocks struggle to establish adequate root volume¹. A highly effective physiological workaround is interstem grafting, where a section of dwarfing rootstock (e.g., M.9) is grafted between a vigorous, drought-tolerant rootstock (e.g., MM.111) and the fruiting scion¹. This architecture allows deep water scavenging while the dwarfing interstem alters the basipetal transport of auxins, creating a biochemical bottleneck that restricts vegetative wood production and redirects energy toward early floral initiation¹.

Architectural Pruning and Mineral Nutrition

The architectural structure of the cider apple tree dictates light interception and, consequently, localized biochemical synthesis. In extensive, free-standing orchard systems, the *Oeschberg* method (*Oeschbergschnitt*) serves as the paradigm for canopy management¹. This architecture establishes an unbreakable hierarchical dominance consisting of a single central leader (*Stammverlängerung*) flanked by steep scaffold branches (*Leitäste*) and horizontal fruiting sub-branches (*Fruchtäste*)¹. This geometry guarantees that Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) penetrates the inner canopy, exceeding the necessary thresholds (typically a Leaf Area Index below 3.15 and Light Interception around 75%) required to initiate floral bud differentiation and optimize sugar accumulation¹.

Simultaneously, exact nutritional management is required to prevent physiological fruit disorders such as bitter pit, which physically degrades the apple's epidermal tissue and severely damages the fruit's utility. Bitter pit is fundamentally a disorder of localized cellular calcium (Ca^{2+}) homeostasis¹. Calcium is highly immobile within the plant architecture and moves almost exclusively through the xylem via transpirational pull. Because the expansive leaf canopy transpires at a vastly higher rate than the developing fruit, calcium is preferentially partitioned to vegetative shoots, starving the expanding fruit cells¹.

At the cellular level, an apoplastic calcium deficiency causes plasma membranes to lose their

structural integrity, resulting in plasmolysis and localized cellular necrosis (brown pits)¹. Excessive activity of the enzyme pectin methyl esterase (PME) can exacerbate this by demethylating cell wall pectins, exposing carboxyl groups that irreversibly bind available Ca^{2+} , thereby locking calcium into the cell wall matrix and depleting the free apoplastic pool¹. Furthermore, high concentrations of potassium (K^{+}) and magnesium (Mg^{2+}) antagonize calcium uptake. When magnesium displaces calcium in the cell wall, cellular structure collapses, and the resulting magnesium sulfate contributes directly to the bitter flavor of the lesions¹. Mitigating this requires rigorous suppression of vegetative vigor, precise foliar calcium chloride sprays, and meticulous maintenance of the K:Ca tissue ratio below 30 at the time of harvest¹.

Integrated Management of Pathogens

Protecting the cider apple crop necessitates a profound understanding of pathogenic epidemiology. Apple Scab (*Venturia inaequalis*), the most economically devastating fungal pathogen, overwinters as pseudothecia in orchard leaf litter¹. Primary ascospore infection is strictly regulated by ambient temperature and moisture, predicted by Degree-Day Celsius (DDC) models and the Mills Leaf Wetness Table¹. Modern epidemiological defense relies on stacking polygenic resistance traits (combining multiple resistance loci such as *Rvi6*, *Rvi2*, and *Rvi4*) to prevent highly virulent strains of the fungus from overcoming single-gene immunity¹. Additionally, aggressive orchard floor sanitation—such as applying a 5% agricultural urea solution at leaf drop—accelerates microbial decomposition and can reduce the spring ascospore load by up to 96% without synthetic fungicides¹.

Fire Blight (*Erwinia amylovora*), a systemic bacterial pathogen, enters through floral nectaries and rapidly colonizes vascular tissue, frequently causing rapid tree mortality¹. Because traditional copper formulations applied during bloom induce severe phytotoxicity and fruit russetting, modern Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies utilize delayed-dormant copper sprays to reduce overwintering inoculum, followed by non-phytotoxic biological antagonists (e.g., *Bacillus subtilis* or *Aureobasidium pullulans*) during open bloom to outcompete the bacteria via biofilm formation within the floral cup¹.

Pre-Fermentation Biochemistry and Maceration

The requirements for premium hard cider differ drastically from those for fresh culinary consumption. The chemical composition of the harvested apple—specifically its sugar-acid ratio, phenolic content, and pectin structure—determines its ultimate utility¹.

The Long Ashton Research Station (LARS) established the definitive classification system for cider apples, evaluating them strictly on titratable malic acid and total polyphenol (tannin) content¹.

LARS Classification	Malic Acid (% w/v)	Tannin (% w/v)	Sensory Characteristics
---------------------	--------------------	----------------	-------------------------

			and Blending Utility
Sweet	< 0.45	< 0.20	High fermentable sugar potential, lacking astringency. Cultivars like <i>Sweet Alford</i> provide the necessary alcohol baseline ¹ .
Sharp	> 0.45	< 0.20	High acidity, tart. Cultivars like <i>Brown's Apple</i> lower the must's pH, providing crucial antimicrobial protection ¹ .
Bittersweet	< 0.45	> 0.20	Low acid, high astringency. Cultivars like <i>Dabinett</i> and <i>Michelin</i> impart essential body, mouthfeel, and structural complexity ¹ .
Bittersharp	> 0.45	> 0.20	High acid, high tannin. Extremely rare and prized for creating perfectly balanced single-varietal ciders, such as <i>Kingston Black</i> ¹ .

Following harvest, traditional French and English cider-making techniques utilize highly specific pre-fermentation processes to deliberately manipulate the nutrient profile and clarity of the must.

Maceration (Cuvage) and Keeving (Défécation)

After milling, the pomace is often subjected to maceration (*cuvage*), resting in open vats for up to 24 hours prior to pressing¹. Atmospheric oxygen triggers polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity, which catalyzes the enzymatic browning of tannins. This oxidation causes the highly astringent tannins to irreversibly bind to the solid cellular pulp¹. Consequently, the pressed juice possesses a lower soluble tannin content, resulting in a softer, more rounded cider¹.

This resting period also facilitates the leaching of endogenous pectins from the cell walls, a strict prerequisite for the biochemical process known as keeving (*défécation*)¹. Keeving is an elegant mechanism of deliberate nutrient deprivation designed to arrest fermentation prematurely, yielding a naturally sweet, bottle-conditioned sparkling cider¹. The process requires holding freshly pressed juice at very low temperatures (8–12°C). Endogenous pectin methyl esterase (PME) enzymes catalyze the demethylation of dissolved pectin, exposing negatively charged carboxyl groups¹. These groups cross-link with calcium ions to form a dense gel of calcium pectate. Acting as a chemical magnet, this negatively charged pectic acid traps positively charged nutrients, particularly asparagine (the primary amino acid in apple juice) and vital thiamine¹.

As an initial, extremely slow fermentation begins, microscopic carbon dioxide bubbles lift this complex matrix of cross-linked pectin, yeast cells, and bound amino acids to the surface, forming a dense brown cap known as the *chapeau brun*¹. The cidemaker carefully siphons the brilliant, severely nutrient-depleted must from the center of the tank. Bereft of vital nitrogen, the subsequent fermentation proceeds at a highly retarded rate, eventually arresting completely with unfermented fructose and sucrose remaining in solution¹.

The Mechanics of Extraction: The Wooden Wedge Press

Extracting the maximum volumetric yield of juice from the dense cellular matrix of the apple requires immense mechanical force. Grapes yield juice relatively easily, but apple extraction is a rigid, two-stage mechanical process involving violent cellular disruption followed by extreme compression³.

Cellular Disruption: Milling the Pomace

Apples cannot be effectively pressed whole or halved; doing so prevents the applied pressure from penetrating the rigid cellular walls, leading to negligible yields²³. The fruit must first be processed through a mill or grinder. Traditional hand-cranked or motorized crushers utilize rapidly spinning tines or interlocking cogs to grab the apples, forcing them through a set of bladed rollers that masticate the fruit into a coarse, wet pomace²³.

Press Architecture: The Rack-and-Cloth Matrix

Once milled, the pulp is transferred to the press. Traditional and artisanal systems heavily rely on the wooden wedge press (historically termed the *Keilpresse*) or the rack-and-cloth press⁶. Unlike simple basket presses that suffer from inefficient lateral pressure gradients, the rack-and-cloth architecture provides superior hydraulic efficiency³.

The pressing mechanism relies on constructing a vertical matrix known as a "cheese." A

wooden framing square (the former) is placed over a heavy, slatted wooden or stainless steel grate³. A porous nylon mesh bag or coarse woven cloth is draped into the frame, and the milled apple pulp is densely packed inside to achieve uniform distribution³. The cloth is neatly folded over the top of the pulp, creating a flat, contained parcel. The frame is then removed, a second slatted wooden grate is placed on top, and the process is repeated, stacking alternating layers of grates and cloth-wrapped pulp³.

This alternating geometry is mechanically advantageous; it drastically minimizes the distance the juice must travel to escape the solid matrix³. Under pressure, the juice is forced laterally out of the thin pulp layers, passing through the porous cloth and escaping via the channels created by the slatted wooden grids, ultimately channeling into a collection basin³.

Force Application and Mechanical Friction

In historical presses, compression was achieved by physically driving massive wooden wedges into the structural frame, forcing a heavy block downward onto the cheese²⁶. In contemporary iterations, this mechanism is replaced by heavy-duty threaded Acme screws or 6-to-10-ton hydraulic jacks².

Due to the extreme friction generated by the screw mechanism, all metallic moving parts require heavy lubrication with food-safe greases or vegetable oils to prevent binding, reduce manual operator fatigue, and protect against mechanical wear²³. Furthermore, the wooden components of the press must be sealed with a food-grade sealant to prevent the acidic juice from penetrating the wood grain and harboring spoilage bacteria between pressing sessions⁵. Operating efficiently, a mobile pressing operation can extract approximately 60 to 70 liters of juice from 100 kilograms of freshly harvested fruit, reducing the depleted pomace into dense, dry, pancake-like cohesive slabs³.

Spontaneous Fermentation and Microbiological Succession

While modern industrial cidermaking relies heavily on sterilizing the must with sulfur dioxide and inoculating it with a highly predictable, cultured *Saccharomyces* monoculture, traditional hard cider embraces wild, spontaneous fermentation³¹. This process relies entirely on the indigenous microbiota present on the apple epidermis and the pressing equipment³.

The Non-*Saccharomyces* Initiation Phase

Spontaneous fermentation is a highly dynamic ecological succession. The initial phase of fermentation (the first two to seven days) is dominated by a diverse array of non-*Saccharomyces* (apiculate) yeasts, most notably *Hanseniaspora uvarum* (anamorph *Kloeckera apiculata*), alongside *Starmerella bacillaris* and *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*⁷. *Hanseniaspora uvarum* possesses a rapid growth rate in the aerobic, high-sugar, and moderate-pH environment of the fresh must. Although these wild yeasts exhibit low fermentative vigor—rarely capable of pushing ethanol concentrations past 4% to 6% ABV before succumbing to ethanol toxicity and osmotic pressure—their contribution to the cider's aromatic complexity is profound⁸. *H. uvarum* is a prolific producer of secondary metabolites,

significantly elevating the concentrations of organic acids, higher alcohols, and specific esters such as phenylethyl alcohol (imparting rose and floral aromas) and isoamyl acetate⁸.

The Ethyl Acetate Threshold and Solvent Faults

Despite their aromatic contributions, the proliferation of *H. uvarum* must be carefully managed

to prevent the overproduction of ethyl acetate ($C_4H_8O_2$)³⁵. At low concentrations, ethyl acetate acts synergistically to enhance the fruity bouquet of the cider³⁸. However, *H. uvarum* can rapidly synthesize this compound past the acceptable sensory threshold of approximately 200 mg/L³⁶. Beyond this threshold, ethyl acetate becomes a severe sensory fault, stripping the cider of its fruit character and imparting harsh, aggressive aromas indistinguishable from chemical solvent, acetone, or nail polish remover³⁶.

The production of ethyl acetate is exacerbated by elevated oxygen exposure during the early fermentation phases, highlighting the necessity for strict anaerobic management once primary fermentation visibly begins³⁶.

The Saccharomyces Takeover

As the concentration of ethanol crosses the 5% threshold and dissolved oxygen is entirely depleted, the apiculate yeast population experiences rapid senescence and mortality³².

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which is typically present in exceedingly low initial concentrations, asserts absolute dominance⁷. Endowed with exceptional ethanol tolerance and robust anaerobic capabilities, *S. cerevisiae* completes the primary fermentation, driving the specific gravity down to absolute dryness (frequently reaching a specific gravity of 0.997 to 1.000) and metabolizing the residual fructose and sucrose into ethanol and carbon dioxide⁹.

Nutritional Kinetics: Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN)

The efficiency of this microbiological succession and the ultimate sensory quality of the cider are heavily dictated by the nutritional matrix of the must, primarily the concentration of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN).

YAN encompasses the total pool of nitrogen available for yeast metabolism, consisting of free

amino nitrogen (FAN) and inorganic ammonium ions (NH_4^+)⁴⁵. Nitrogen is a foundational substrate required for the synthesis of cellular transport proteins, enzymes, and nucleic acids, directly controlling yeast biomass formation and fermentation velocity⁴⁶.

To prevent metabolic stalling and ensure a complete, dry fermentation, a minimum YAN threshold of 140 mg N/L is generally established⁴⁵. However, apple juice is intrinsically deficient in nitrogen. Studies evaluating cider apple harvests demonstrate that up to 94% of raw musts contain less than 140 mg N/L, with averages frequently hovering around a critically low 59 mg N/L⁴⁵.

Pathogenesis of Hydrogen Sulfide (H2S)

When *Saccharomyces* exhausts the available YAN prior to the depletion of fermentable sugars, it enters a state of severe metabolic starvation⁴⁴. This starvation triggers the upregulation of the sulfate reduction pathway⁴⁴. The yeast continues to actively uptake inorganic sulfates from

the must, chemically reducing them in an attempt to synthesize vital sulfur-containing amino acids, such as methionine and cysteine⁴⁴. However, because the must lacks the necessary nitrogen precursors to finalize the amino acid synthesis, the intermediate

compound—hydrogen sulfide (H_2S)—accumulates intracellularly and is subsequently purged into the fermenting cider⁴⁴.

Hydrogen sulfide is a highly volatile, noxious compound characterized by the distinct odor of rotten eggs and raw sewage³⁹. It possesses an incredibly low sensory perception threshold

(detectable at concentrations >0.00041 mg/L)⁴⁶. If left unmitigated, H_2S can react with ethanol and other organic compounds to form complex mercaptans and disulfides, which are nearly impossible to remove and permanently ruin the cider's aromatic profile³⁹.

This nutritional deficit can be inadvertently exacerbated by residual agricultural chemicals. For example, the presence of specific fungicide residues, such as fenbuconazole (even at trace levels of 0.2 mg/L), exerts an interactive suppressive effect on yeast metabolism, severely decreasing the fermentation rate when YAN concentrations are below 250 mg/L⁵⁰. To combat these deficiencies, cidermakers often intervene by supplying exogenous inorganic nitrogen in the form of Diammonium Phosphate (DAP)⁴⁴.

Managing the Anaerobic Environment: Airlock

Dynamics and Cold Crashing

As the primary fermentation concludes, the vigorous production of carbon dioxide ceases. The cider enters a highly vulnerable phase, as it is no longer actively protected by a continuous

outward flow of CO_2 gas. To halt residual biological activity, accelerate the precipitation of yeast cells, and clarify the cider, practitioners frequently employ "cold crashing"—rapidly dropping the ambient temperature of the fermentation vessel to near-freezing ($0^{\circ}C$ to $4^{\circ}C$)¹⁵.

Thermodynamics and the Physics of "Suck-Back"

Cold crashing inside a sealed vessel (such as a glass carboy or a stainless steel keg) fitted with a traditional liquid-filled airlock introduces a severe physical hazard known as "suck-back." This phenomenon is governed by the Ideal Gas Law:

$$PV = nRT$$

Where P represents absolute pressure, V is volume, n is the number of moles of gas, R is the ideal gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature in Kelvin¹⁵.

Assuming the volume of the rigid vessel (V) remains constant, a rapid decrease in temperature (T) must result in a proportional decrease in the absolute pressure (P) of the

headspace gas¹⁵. For example, crashing a vessel from 20°C (293 K) to 2°C (275 K) results in a reduction of the headspace gas pressure by approximately 7%¹⁵.

Furthermore, the solubility of carbon dioxide in an aqueous liquid is inversely proportional to temperature, a relationship defined by Henry's Law⁵¹. As the cider cools, the CO_2 remaining in the headspace becomes highly soluble and dissolves rapidly into the liquid matrix, subsequently reducing the number of gaseous moles (n) in the headspace⁵¹. The resulting equilibrium pressure can be calculated inclusive of dissolution:

$$P_2 = P_1 \times \frac{\frac{V_g}{R \cdot T_1} + k_{H1} \cdot V_L}{\frac{V_g}{R \cdot T_2} + k_{H2} \cdot V_L}$$

This combined thermodynamic contraction and chemical dissolution creates a powerful vacuum (negative pressure differential) inside the vessel¹⁵. The atmospheric pressure outside the vessel easily overcomes this vacuum, forcibly sucking the sanitizing liquid out of the airlock and drawing ambient atmospheric air directly into the fermenter¹⁶.

Mitigation Strategies

The ingress of ambient oxygen at this critical juncture is catastrophic, as it initiates rapid oxidation and provides the strict aerobic environment required to awaken dormant spoilage organisms³⁶. To prevent suck-back, modern practitioners utilize several precise physical workarounds:

1. **Positive Pressure:** Replacing the airlock with a solid bung or spunding valve and injecting a low continuous positive pressure of CO_2 (e.g., 10 PSI) into the vessel during the cooling phase to occupy the contracting volume¹⁶.
2. **Gas Reservoirs:** Attaching a Mylar balloon or a specialized reservoir filled with sanitary CO_2 to the airlock port. As the vacuum forms, it draws in the inert CO_2 from the balloon rather than ambient air containing oxygen¹⁶.

Lees Management: Racking and the Biochemistry of Autolysis

Following fermentation and temperature stabilization, a thick layer of sediment accumulates at the bottom of the vessel. This sediment is known as the "lees" and is a complex matrix composed of dead and dormant yeast cells, bacteria, precipitated tartrates, and residual apple cellular matter⁵⁴. Proper management of the lees is fundamental to achieving sensory stability.

Gross Lees vs. Fine Lees

Lees are classified into two distinct categories based on their relative mass and precipitation velocity:

1. **Gross Lees:** The heavy, dense, and coarse particulate matter—including large yeast clusters, fruit pulp fragments, and heavy proteins—that settles out rapidly, often within 4 to 24 hours of activity ceasing⁵⁴.
2. **Fine Lees:** The microscopic, lightweight individual yeast cells and smaller particulates that remain in suspension for weeks or months, precipitating very slowly⁵⁴.

The cider must be "racked" (carefully siphoned) off the gross lees relatively quickly¹⁴. Allowing cider to rest on the gross lees for extended periods risks severe putrefaction. The dense organic layer becomes entirely anaerobic, and bacteria begin to decompose the organic

matter, releasing overwhelming sulfide odors (H_2S) and degrading the cider's flavor profile⁵⁷.

The Biochemistry of Autolysis (*Bâtonnage*)

Conversely, deliberately aging the cider on the fine lees (a process known in traditional winemaking as *sur lie* aging) provides substantial biochemical advantages⁵⁶. Over months of maturation, the dormant yeast cells undergo autolysis—the enzymatic self-destruction of the yeast's internal structure and cell wall⁵⁵.

To accelerate this process and keep the fine lees in constant suspension, cidermakers periodically agitate the tank, a physical technique known as *bâtonnage*⁵⁷. Autolysis slowly releases a wealth of intracellular compounds into the cider matrix:

- **Mannoproteins:** These complex polysaccharides, cleaved from the structural matrix of the yeast cell wall, integrate into the cider, vastly improving colloidal stability, reducing the risk of protein hazing, and creating a significantly smoother, rounder mouthfeel⁶¹.
- **Amino Acids and Lipids:** Released into the liquid, these compounds enhance structural complexity and flavor integration⁶⁰.
- **Glutathione:** A potent natural antioxidant peptide released during yeast cellular breakdown. Glutathione acts as a powerful reducing agent, dropping the redox potential (Eh) of the cider by scavenging free radicals and dissolved oxygen, thereby protecting the cider's delicate aromatic esters from oxidative browning long after fermentation has ceased⁶⁰.

Biochemical Spoilage Pathways: Acetic Acid Bacteria and Volatile Phenols

Despite meticulous extraction and fermentation kinetics, the prolonged aging of hard cider remains fraught with biological hazards. If the anaerobic integrity of the vessel is breached—whether via airlock suck-back or improper racking procedures—the introduction of oxygen rapidly activates two of the most devastating spoilage organisms in fermented beverages: *Acetobacter* and *Brettanomyces*.

The Oxidative Threat: Acetic Acid Bacteria (AAB)

Acetic Acid Bacteria (AAB), predominantly encompassing species within the *Acetobacter* (e.g., *Acetobacter pasteurianus*) and *Gluconobacter* genera, are Gram-negative, obligately aerobic bacteria ubiquitous in the orchard environment⁶⁷. Unlike *Saccharomyces*, which functions facultatively, AAB possess an absolute physiological requirement for molecular oxygen as the

terminal electron acceptor in their metabolic pathways⁶⁷.

Acetobacter pasteurianus is highly adapted to the hostile post-fermentation environment, exhibiting extraordinary tolerance to high ethanol concentrations and extreme acidity⁷¹. If oxygen is introduced into the aging cider, *A. pasteurianus* rapidly colonizes the liquid surface, frequently forming a thick, visible cellulosic pellicle (a biofilm commonly referred to as a "mother"), and initiates the oxidative fermentation of the cider's ethanol into acetic acid⁶⁷.

The PQQ-Dependent Respiratory Chain

The conversion of ethanol to acetic acid by AAB is a highly specialized periplasmic process mediated by two sequential, membrane-bound enzyme complexes: Alcohol Dehydrogenase (ADH) and Aldehyde Dehydrogenase (ALDH)¹⁹.

The ADH complex in *Acetobacter pasteurianus* is a unique quinoxinoprotein consisting of three structural subunits located on the outer periplasmic face of the cytoplasmic membrane⁷⁶.

ADH Subunit Structure	Molecular Weight	Prosthetic Cofactors and Functional Role
Subunit I (Dehydrogenase)	~72 kDa	Contains the primary catalytic site. Utilizes Pyrroloquinoline Quinone (PQQ) as its essential redox cofactor and possesses one tightly bound heme c moiety ⁷⁶ .
Subunit II (Cytochrome c)	~44 - 50 kDa	Relates to membrane-binding and ubiquinone reduction. Contains three additional heme c centers that facilitate rapid intramolecular electron transfer ⁷⁶ .
Subunit III	~8 - 20 kDa	The smallest subunit; exact physiological function remains heavily debated, but it is physically associated with the structural complex ⁷⁶ .

When ethanol encounters Subunit I, the PQQ cofactor extracts electrons from the alcohol, oxidizing it to acetaldehyde⁶⁷. The ALDH complex subsequently oxidizes the acetaldehyde into

acetic acid⁶⁷. Crucially, the electrons harvested during this double oxidation are routed through the heme c networks of the subunits directly to ubiquinone embedded in the bacterial membrane. This drives the respiratory electron transport chain, utilizing molecular oxygen as the final electron acceptor⁶⁹.

This metabolic pathway is incredibly efficient; elevated levels of PQQ biosynthesis and ADH expression directly correlate with high acetic acid yields and enhanced cellular survival in toxic environments⁷¹. The accumulation of acetic acid (volatile acidity) imparts a harsh, pungent vinegar aroma, permanently ruining the cider as a beverage³⁸. Because this pathway is inextricably linked to the terminal reduction of oxygen, the absolute exclusion of atmospheric oxygen is the most critical preventative measure during maturation³⁸.

Phenolic Spoilage: *Brettanomyces*

Brettanomyces (teleomorph *Dekkera*) is an opportunistic, non-spore-forming spoilage yeast that thrives in nutrient-depleted, high-ethanol environments during prolonged aging, particularly inside porous wooden barrels⁸⁴. While intentionally utilized in specific traditional Belgian beer styles, *Brettanomyces* is universally considered a catastrophic fault in cider and wine when present above trace sensory thresholds⁸⁵.

The primary mechanism of *Brettanomyces* spoilage is its unique enzymatic ability to metabolize naturally occurring hydroxycinnamic acids (such as *p*-coumaric acid and ferulic acid) that are inherently present in the apple must⁸⁷. Through a two-step biotransformation—first utilizing the enzyme cinnamate decarboxylase, followed closely by vinylphenol reductase—*Brettanomyces* converts these precursor acids into highly volatile, sensory-dominant phenolic compounds⁸⁸.

1. **4-Ethylphenol (4-EP):** Produces powerful, aggressive aromas universally characterized as band-aid, medicinal, antiseptic, barnyard, or horse stable⁸⁵. The sensory threshold for 4-EP in fermented beverages is approximately 600 µg/L⁸⁵.
2. **4-Ethylguaiacol (4-EG):** Produces aromas of clove, smoke, bacon, and spice. While potentially pleasant in isolation, it is highly volatile (with a much lower sensory threshold of 150 µg/L) and perpetually accompanies the harsher 4-EP⁸⁵.

Chemical Stabilization via Molecular Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2)

To achieve long-term microbial and oxidative stability, physical controls (such as stringent anaerobic seals and cold temperatures) must be supplemented with precise chemical

interventions. The calculated application of Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2), typically introduced as a powder via potassium metabisulfite ($K_2S_2O_5$), is the foundational standard for cider stabilization¹⁷.

When potassium metabisulfite is dissolved in cider, it does not function as a single homogenous protective compound. Instead, it rapidly dissociates into a complex equilibrium of chemical species dictated entirely by the cider's pH⁹¹.

The Equilibrium of Total, Bound, and Free SO_2

Upon addition, a significant percentage of the introduced SO_2 immediately binds irreversibly to various constituents in the cider, including residual sugars, acetaldehyde, and polyphenols⁹². This bound fraction is microbiologically inert and offers no protection. The remaining unbound fraction is termed "Free SO_2 "⁹². Cidermakers must account for a "binding factor" (often assuming 30% to 120% of the initial addition will bind, depending on oxidation levels) when calculating dosages to ensure sufficient Free SO_2 remains available⁹³.

The Free SO_2 exists in an aqueous equilibrium comprising three distinct states:

1. **Sulfur dioxide (SO_2)**: A dissolved gas.
2. **Bisulfite ion (HSO_3^-)**: The dominant form at standard cider pH levels (3.0–4.0). Bisulfite acts primarily as an antioxidant, chemically scavenging oxygen and inhibiting enzymatic browning by denaturing enzymes like polyphenol oxidase¹⁷.
3. **Molecular SO_2** : The uncharged, fully protonated form. Because it carries no electrical charge, molecular SO_2 can effortlessly penetrate the lipid bilayer of microbial cell membranes (including *Acetobacter*, *Brettanomyces*, and wild *Hanseniaspora* strains). Once inside the cell, it disrupts enzyme functionality, cleaves disulfide bonds, and causes rapid cell death⁹².

Mathematical Calculation of Molecular SO_2

Molecular SO_2 is the sole species responsible for antimicrobial protection⁹². The precise ratio of Bisulfite to Molecular SO_2 is inextricably governed by the pH of the cider. As pH decreases (becomes more acidic), a larger percentage of the Free SO_2 is chemically forced into the highly active Molecular state. Conversely, as pH rises, the equilibrium shifts heavily toward the less active Bisulfite state⁹¹.

To ensure microbial stability—specifically to paralyze *Acetobacter* species and prevent the propagation of *Brettanomyces*—cidermakers target a specific Molecular SO_2 concentration, universally recognized as 0.5 to 0.8 mg/L (ppm)¹⁷.

The required Free SO_2 necessary to achieve this molecular target must be calculated using the established dissociation formula, utilizing the equilibrium constant (pK_a) of SO_2 (approximately 1.81)⁹⁰:

$$\text{Molecular SO}_2 = \frac{\text{Free SO}_2}{1 + 10^{(\text{pH}-1.81)}}$$

Rearranging the formula to solve for the target Free SO_2 required prior to addition:

$$\text{Free SO}_2 = \text{Molecular SO}_2 \times (1 + 10^{(\text{pH}-1.81)})$$

The exponential nature of this equation demonstrates the profound impact of acidity on stabilization. For example, to achieve a protective 0.8 mg/L Molecular SO_2 threshold in a highly acidic cider with a pH of 3.2, the cidemaker needs to maintain approximately 21 mg/L of Free SO_2 . However, if the cider possesses a higher pH of 3.8, achieving that exact same 0.8 mg/L Molecular protection requires maintaining nearly 80 mg/L of Free SO_2 ⁹². Failure to accurately measure pH and arbitrarily adding standardized microdoses of metabisulfite frequently leaves higher-pH ciders entirely unprotected against acetic acid conversion or *Brettanomyces* infection⁹². Conversely, massive over-additions at low pH levels can result in highly volatile Free SO_2 concentrations that bleach the color of the cider and impart a harsh, metallic, struck-match aroma that completely masks the nuanced fruit characteristics generated during the wild fermentation⁹².

Conclusion

The production of premium hard cider is a rigorous exercise in agronomic engineering, mechanical extraction, and profound biochemical management. The extraction of juice via the traditional wooden wedge press provides a mechanically efficient, low-shear foundational matrix. However, it is the subsequent microbiological cascade of spontaneous fermentation that determines the ultimate sensory quality of the product. By understanding the metabolic kinetics of non-*Saccharomyces* yeast esters, and carefully mitigating the severe implications of nitrogen starvation to prevent H_2S synthesis, the cidemaker can successfully navigate the volatile early stages of fermentation.

Furthermore, the post-fermentation maturation environment requires extreme vigilance. Understanding the fluid thermodynamics of airlock suck-back prevents catastrophic oxygen ingress, which would otherwise fuel the highly efficient PQQ-dependent respiratory chain of *Acetobacter pasteurianus*. By combining physical techniques—such as strategically racking off gross lees while deliberately aging on fine lees to leverage the antioxidant properties of autolyzed mannoproteins—with the precise mathematical calibration of molecular sulfur dioxide, the artisan can permanently arrest spoilage pathways. This comprehensive integration of biology and chemistry ensures the preservation of the cider's aromatic integrity and

structural complexity for prolonged maturation.

Works cited

1. 35 Heirloom Apple Orchard Management.pdf
2. Cider Press : 10 Steps (with Pictures) - Instructables,
<https://www.instructables.com/Cider-Press/>
3. Making Apple Cider - Woodgears.ca,
https://woodgears.ca/cider/making_cider.html
4. How to Use a Cider Press (Squeeze Master Fruit Press),
<https://www.rachelsrealfoodkitchen.com/how-to-use-cider-press/>
5. Pressing Apple Cider at Home, <https://ciderpressnbarrel.weebly.com/blog.html>
6. Saftpresse "Tutti Frutti" - museum-digital:berlin,
<https://berlin.museum-digital.de/object/3130>
7. Hanseniaspora uvarum from Winemaking Environments Show,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4718985/>
8. Strain-Dependent Contributions of Hanseniaspora uvarum Isolate to,
<https://discovery.researcher.life/article/strain-dependent-contributions-of-hanseniaspora-uvarum-isolate-to-apple-cider-fermentation-chemical-composition-and-aroma-complexity/b0c0c5c9deb13404a5161b9dd3592418>
9. Taming Wild Yeast: Potential of Conventional and Nonconventional,
<https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-113025>
10. Southern Hemisphere yeast frontier: from nature dwellers to,
<https://academic.oup.com/femsyr/article/doi/10.1093/femsyr/foaf063/8281966>
11. Controlled formation of volatile components in cider making using a,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7475180_Controlled_formation_of_volatile_components_in_cider_making_using_a_combination_of_Saccharomyces_cerevisiae_and_Hanseniaspora_valbyensis_yeast_species
12. Aerobic Submerged Fermentation by Acetic Acid Bacteria ... - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/244845724/Aerobic-Submerged-Fermentation-by-Acetic-Acid-Bacteria-for-Vinegar-Production-Process-and-Biotechnological-Aspects>
13. Influence of fermentation temperature on semi-continuous,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225496655_Influence_of_fermentation_temperature_on_semi-continuous_acetification_for_wine_vinegar_production
14. Fermentation and Racking - Vigo Presses,
<https://vigopresses.co.uk/how-to-guides-info/make-cider/fermentation/>
15. How strong is the cold crash suck back? Can it pull blowoff tube,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Homebrewing/comments/l26bq6/how_strong_is_the_cold_crash_suck_back_can_it/
16. Can you avoid the 'suck back' of oxygen when cold-crashing? - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Homebrewing/comments/am5erd/can_you_avoid_the_suck_back_of_oxygen_when/
17. CIDER HANDBOOK | Enartis,
https://www.enartis.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Enartis_Cider_Handbook_

[EN_US.pdf](#)

18. Exploratory Assessment of Native *Starmerella bacillaris* and ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2306-5710/12/6/71>
19. Fermentation of Fruits and Vegetables: Bridging Traditional Wisdom,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/14/13/2155>
20. Improving the carbon efficiency in the bio-production of citramalic,
<https://repository.nottingham.ac.uk/bitstreams/d98642ab-9954-4b15-b99d-8ae13d82120b/download>
21. Title Physiology of acetic acid bacteria and their role in vinegar and,
<https://cora.ucc.ie/bitstreams/c2b5f04e-9bab-4317-b426-53d1c41b61cf/download>
22. fermentation acetic acid: Topics by Science.gov,
<https://www.science.gov/topicpages/f/fermentation+acetic+acid>
23. How to Press Apple Cider using Rescued Fruit and an Antique Cider,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ucQKLWYBgeU>
24. Old Fashioned Wooden Apple Cider PRESS - GRINDER - CRUSHER,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9geNa5AuaqM>
25. Wooden Cider Press Hand Crank Demo - YouTube,
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VHdB_Ym6z1w
26. Molinologisch Woordenboek | Molen van Sloten,
<https://molenvansloten.nl/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/A11.molenwoordenboek-eng-dui-fr-ned.pdf>
27. Dictionary of Molinology | PDF | Grammatical Gender - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/224035634/Dictionary-of-Molinology>
28. Cider Pressing 101 – Selecting & Using - Clifton Hall Farms,
<http://cliftonhallfarms.com/cider-pressing-101-selecting-using/>
29. mobile Mosterei Berlin, <https://mobile-mosterei.berlin/>
30. Hahn's mobile Mostquetsche in den Späth'schen Baumschulen,
<https://www.spaethsche-baumschulen.de/auf-dem-spaeth-hof/mobile-mosterei/>
31. Fermentation and Storage - The Wittenham Hill Cider Portal,
<http://www.cider.org.uk/ferments.htm>
32. Perspectives and Uses of Non-Saccharomyces Yeasts in Fermented,
<https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/64367>
33. Diversity and adaptive evolution of Saccharomyces wine yeast - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4629790/>
34. Management Strategies for Natural Cider Fermentation - VTechWorks,
<https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstreams/72811d28-8c45-45d4-aadf-ee41a04af184/download>
35. Exploring future applications of the apiculate yeast *Hanseniaspora*,
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07388551.2022.2136565>
36. Aroma Faults: Solvent - PricklyCider.com,
<https://pricklycider.com/2022/08/06/aroma-faults-solvent-or-vinegar/>
37. Ethyl acetate produced by *Hanseniaspora uvarum* is a potential,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9399722/>
38. Need a little help with cider flaws | Homebrew Talk,

- <https://homebrewtalk.com/threads/need-a-little-help-with-cider-flaws.666728/>
39. Identifying faults, off flavours and other issues - Cider Review,
<https://cider-review.com/identifying-faults-off-flavours-and-other-issues/>
 40. Beer Aroma Compounds: Key Odorants, Off-Flavour ... - PMC - NIH,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12732517/>
 41. Ethanol and ethyl acetate production during the cider fermentation,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222036870_Ethanol_and_ethyl_acetate_production_during_the_cider_fermentation_from_laboratory_to_industrial_scale
 42. Off-Flavour Detection in Beer by GC-FID - Ellutia,
<https://www.ellutia.com/off-flavour-detection-in-beer>
 43. Science of Cidermaking Part 3 - Juicing and Fermenting,
<http://www.cider.org.uk/part3.htm>
 44. Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen Concentrations Influence Yeast Gene,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32670223/>
 45. Free amino nitrogen concentration correlates to total yeast ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5778214/>
 46. Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen Concentrations Influence Yeast Gene,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7326769/>
 47. Sequential Use of Nitrogen Compounds by Saccharomyces ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3485930/>
 48. Effect of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen Content on Fermentation ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/12/3/1405>
 49. Kinetic model for nitrogen-limited wine fermentations - PubMed,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11745173/>
 50. The interactive effect of fungicide residues and yeast assimilable,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27747891/>
 51. Cold crash first pressure fermenting. Suck back in keg? - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Homebrewing/comments/1jkq0wb/cold_crash_first_pressure_fermenting_suck_back_in/
 52. DIY Cold Crash Protector,
<https://aussiehomebrewer.com/threads/diy-cold-crash-protector.104466/>
 53. The Overview: Acetic Acid Bacteria - PricklyCider.com,
<https://pricklycider.com/2023/11/11/the-overview-acetic-acid-bacteria/>
 54. Gross Lees vs. Fine Lees — When to Rack, When to Stay | WineWiki,
<https://www.winewithseth.com/winewiki/gross-lees-vs-fine-lees/>
 55. 514: Doing More With Lees | Ryan Monkman - Cider Chat,
<https://ciderchat.com/podcast/514-doing-more-with-lees/>
 56. Cider Question: When should I rack my cider? - PricklyCider.com,
<https://pricklycider.com/2023/01/07/cider-question-when-should-i-rack-my-cider/>
 57. Bâtonnage Winemaking Techniques - WineMakerMag.com,
<https://winemakermag.com/technique/batonnage-winemaking-techniques>
 58. Cider making - winegrowers.info,
<https://winegrowers.info/wine-making/cider-making/home.htm>
 59. Evaluation of the liquid, solid and total fractions of beer, cider and,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261720440_Evaluation_of_the_liquid_solid_and_total_fractions_of_beer_cider_and_wine_lees_as_economic_nutrient_for_xylitol_production

60. Sur lie & bâtonnage (lees contact and stirring) - BRsquared.org,
<http://www.brsquared.org/wine/Articles/surlie/surlie.htm>
61. Monitoring of mannoprotein cessions during wine aging on lees,
<https://ives-openscience.eu/47126/>
62. Mannoproteins 101 - Scott Laboratories, <https://scottlab.com/mannoproteins-101>
63. (PDF) Biochemical composition and antioxidant activity of the,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362116490_Biochemical_composition_and_antioxidant_activity_of_the_mannoprotein_preparation_obtained_yeast_bio_mass_from_wine_industry_waste
64. Post fermentation lees management - Vineyard Magazine,
<https://www.vineyardmagazine.co.uk/wine-making/post-fermentation-lees-management/>
65. Winemaking treatment – Lees contact,
https://www.awri.com.au/industry_support/winemaking_resources/winemaking-practices/winemaking-treatment-lees-contact/
66. Microbiological spoilage and safety risks in non-beer beverages,
<https://publications.vtt.fi/pdf/tiedotteet/2011/T2599.pdf>
67. Acetic Acid Bacteria in the Food Industry,
<https://www.ftb.com.hr/?view=article&id=1535&catid=167>
68. Molecular Detection of Acetobacter aceti and Acetobacter ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/14/1/132>
69. Oxidative Fermentation of Acetic Acid Bacteria and Its Products - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9171043/>
70. Acetic Acid Bacteria: Physiology and Carbon Sources Oxidation - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3779290/>
71. Achieving high strength vinegar fermentation via regulating cellular,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261186722_Achieving_high_strength_vinegar_fermentation_via_regulating_cellular_growth_status_and_aeration_strategy
72. Acetic Acid Bacteria: Physiology and Carbon Sources Oxidation,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236655243_Acetic_Acid_Bacteria_Physiology_and_Carbon_Sources_Oxidation
73. Genome-Scale Metabolic Reconstruction of Acetobacter ... - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02801/full>
74. Acetobacter pasteurianus - UC Davis Viticulture & Enology,
<https://wineserver.ucdavis.edu/industry-info/enology/wine-microbiology/bacteria/acetobacter-pasteurianus>
75. Improving the alcohol respiratory chain and energy metabolism by,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11503474/>
76. Improving Acetic Acid Production by Over-Expressing PQQ-ADH in,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5592214/>

77. Alcohol dehydrogenase of acetic acid bacteria - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/42373143_Alcohol_dehydrogenase_of_acetic_acid_bacteria_Structure_mode_of_action_and_applications_in_biotechnology
78. Improving Acetic Acid Production by Over-Expressing PQQ-ADH in, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01713/full>
79. The Membrane-Bound Quinohemoprotein Alcohol Dehydrogenase, <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/bi9015007>
80. Metabolism of Acetic Acid Bacteria - Encyclopedia.pub, <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/50542>
81. EC 1.1.5.5 - IUBMB Nomenclature, <https://iubmb.qmul.ac.uk/enzyme/EC1/1/5/5.html>
82. Fine-tuning ethanol oxidation pathway enzymes and cofactor PQQ, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7936290/>
83. Cider Faults - Beer Judge Certification Program, <https://www.bjcp.org/education-training/education-resources/cider-faults/>
84. Flavor Tailoring for Future Brewing: Unleashing Brettanomyces, https://backend.orbit.dtu.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/209334386/Marc_Serra_Colome_r_F_devareinstituttet.pdf
85. What you never knew about Brettanomyces: 4-ethylphenol vs 4, <http://www.ladysomm.net/blog/2016/5/30/4-eg-vs-4-ep>
86. THE WORLD OF BRETTANOMYCES | Society of Barley Engineers, https://societyofbarleyengineers.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Peter_Perrecone_The_World_of_Brettanomyces.pdf
87. effects of 4-ethylphenol and 4-ethylguaiacol on the sensory, https://rex.libraries.wsu.edu/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=01ALLIANCE_WSU&filePid=13338224300001842&download=true
88. Wine Bacteria to Control Volatile Phenols and Brettanomyces, <https://www.lallemandwine.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/WUP-2-2014-South-Africa.pdf>
89. (PDF) Volatile phenols in wine: Control measures of Brettanomyces, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309490750_Volatile_phenols_in_wine_Control_measures_of_BrettanomycesDekkera yeasts
90. Sulfite Calculator - FermCalc, <https://fermcalc.com/sulfites/>
91. The Not-So-Stinky Perks of Sulfur - Imbibe Solutions, <https://imbibe-solutions.com/the-not-so-stinky-perks-of-sulfur/>
92. Calculating Molecular SO₂ - WineMakerMag.com, <https://winemakermag.com/wine-wizard/calculate-molecular-so2>
93. Sulphur dioxide additions | Vinlab, <https://vinlab.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Metabisulfite-additions-1.pdf>
94. Questions about Sulphite (again) - Cider Forum - Homebrew Talk, <https://homebrewtalk.com/threads/questions-about-sulphite-again.674929/>
95. Crush in Crushing it, with SO₂ - Iowa State Extension, <https://www.extension.iastate.edu/wine/crush-crushing-it-so2>