

Systemic Agronomic Design for Autarkic Subsistence: Calculating Minimum Acreage and Resilience Margins for a Family of Four in Berlin-Brandenburg

1. Introduction and Geo-Climatic Context

The pursuit of absolute nutritional autarky—a state of complete self-sufficiency in food production—demands a rigorous synthesis of agronomic engineering, soil biogeochemistry, and nutritional thermodynamics. Designing a closed-loop agricultural system capable of permanently sustaining a family of four (requiring a baseline of approximately 4 million kilocalories annually) far exceeds the parameters of basic caloric arithmetic¹. It requires the architectural engineering of a highly resilient agroecosystem strictly tailored to the pedological, meteorological, and hydrological constraints of the target geography.

The Berlin and wider Brandenburg region presents a highly specific and moderately challenging agronomic environment. Situated geographically within USDA Hardiness Zone 7b, the regional climate is defined by absolute frost dates that strictly dictate the operational window for primary biological production. Historical meteorological modeling indicates that the last spring

air frost (0°C) typically occurs around May 8, while the first autumn air frost arrives near September 22, delineating a reliable frost-free window of approximately 137 days³. However, the agricultural window expands when observing specific frost intensities. Light ground frost (-1°C) typically ends by April 26 and returns around October 6³. By deploying protective horticultural fleece or utilizing heavily cold-adapted cultivars, the operational growing season can be safely extended to up to 163 days³. The accumulation of thermal energy, quantified as

Growing Degree Days (GDD) utilizing a base temperature threshold of 10°C , is generally sufficient for temperate agriculture, though the region is increasingly subjected to extreme summer heat waves and erratic precipitation patterns³.

The defining limitation of the Brandenburg agricultural landscape is its pedology. The region is dominated by Pleistocene-derived glacial sands and sandy loams, formally classified as Arenosols³. These soils frequently exhibit critically low agricultural yield indices, commonly rated between 25 and 40 *Bodenpunkte* (soil points) on a scale up to 100³. The coarse texture of these glacial deposits ensures excellent aeration and permits the ease of mechanical cultivation under virtually all moisture regimes; however, it simultaneously results in an exceptionally low Available Water Capacity (AWC)³. Consequently, these soils are highly susceptible to acute drought stress during the summer months and suffer from severe vulnerability to the downward leaching of water-soluble macronutrients—particularly nitrate (

NO_3^-) and potassium (K^+)—during winter precipitation events³.

Compounding these inherent physical limitations is a shifting hydrological baseline. Long-term localized groundwater recharge in Brandenburg has dropped significantly—by up to 40% since 1980—due to shifting precipitation patterns, increased regional evapotranspiration, and the systemic impacts of historical lignite mining³. The region's mean annual precipitation exhibits a pronounced west-east gradient, generally hovering around a modest 500 to 600 mm, leaving specific subregions with negative seasonal water balances⁴. Therefore, any subsistence model engineered for this region must presume a state of persistent water limitation. Relying on intensive, high-volume irrigation is ecologically and hydrologically unsustainable over the long term; thus, the system must achieve absolute resilience through the maximization of soil organic carbon (SOC), strict rotational discipline, and the strategic integration of livestock to close the nutrient cycle without reliance on synthetic inputs.

2. Nutritional Baselines and Macronutrient Thermodynamics

A purely caloric assessment of human dietary requirements is biologically reductionist and inherently flawed. While a baseline of 4 million kilocalories per year (providing approximately 2,740 kcal per person, per day) is required to sustain the metabolic energy demands of a moderately active family of four, these calories must be strictly partitioned across essential macronutrients to prevent severe malnutrition, muscle catabolism, and systemic physiological degradation¹.

The Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range (AMDR) dictates that a functional, long-term subsistence diet should derive roughly 30% of its total energy from protein, 30% from dietary fats, and 40% from complex carbohydrates⁹.

Macronutrient Category	Caloric Density	Daily Target (per adult equivalent)	Annual Target (Family of Four)	Physiological Function
Protein	4 kcal/g	1.6 to 2.4 g / kg body weight	~300 kg to 350 kg	Preservation of lean muscle mass, immune function, and enzyme synthesis ¹⁰ .
Lipids (Fats)	9 kcal/g	~80 to 90 g	~130 kg to 140 kg	Endocrine regulation, cellular membrane

				integrity, absorption of fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E, K) ¹⁰ .
Carbohydrates	4 kcal/g	~250 to 300 g	~400 kg to 450 kg	Primary substrate for immediate metabolic energy, central nervous system function, and glycogen replenishment ⁹ .

Achieving this highly specific macronutrient architecture through a purely veganic or plant-based agricultural model is extraordinarily difficult and spatially inefficient in a temperate climate, particularly on impoverished sandy soils. While crops such as potatoes and root vegetables are highly efficient carbohydrate engines—yielding massive caloric volumes per hectare—they are severely deficient in essential lipids and comprehensive, bioavailable amino acid profiles¹². To achieve a 30% fat intake via plant-based agriculture in Brandenburg would require the extensive cultivation of oilseeds (such as rapeseed, sunflower, or linseed), which demand vast acreages that far exceed the spatial limitations of a compact subsistence model¹³. Furthermore, the digestion of plant proteins requires significantly more metabolic energy, and plant sources often lack one or more essential amino acids, necessitating complex dietary combinations to achieve complete protein nutrition¹². Consequently, the inclusion of livestock is not a caloric luxury; it is an absolute biochemical necessity for spatial efficiency and the dense concentration of essential macronutrients.

3. Soil-to-Human Micronutrient Transmission

Beyond macronutrients, a sustainable agricultural model must address the insidious threat of "hidden hunger"—the chronic deficiency of essential vitamins and trace minerals. The sandy Arenosols of the Berlin-Brandenburg region are inherently deficient in critical trace elements, most notably selenium (Se), zinc (Zn), and iodine (I)¹⁵.

Because these elements are highly soluble, they have been historically leached from the porous glacial sands. Plants grown in these degraded soils frequently fail to accumulate sufficient micronutrient densities to support human health¹⁷. For instance, wheat and potatoes grown in such environments often contain minimal iodine and zinc, falling far below the dietary requirements necessary to prevent thyroid dysfunction and immune suppression¹⁵. While agronomic biofortification—such as the foliar application of micronutrient cocktails containing

sodium selenate or potassium iodate—can artificially elevate crop micronutrient levels, this reliance on external synthetic inputs violates the autarkic principles of the subsistence model¹⁹. Instead, the system relies on livestock to act as advanced biological accumulators. Ruminants and poultry grazing on deep-rooting, multi-species pastures actively forage on plants that mine trace minerals from the deep subsoil. These animals concentrate these otherwise diffuse micronutrients into highly bioavailable formats: eggs, milk, and meat¹⁷. The integration of livestock ensures a consistent, secure transmission of selenium, zinc, and iodine from the soil matrix directly into the human diet, insulating the family from the hidden hunger endemic to geographically restricted, plant-only diets¹⁶.

4. Soil Biogeochemistry and the Humus Balance

In sandy Arenosols, native organic matter degrades at an accelerated rate due to high microbial oxidation kinetics and elevated oxygen diffusion through the macroporous soil structure³. To prevent the structural collapse of the soil matrix, mitigate wind erosion, and maintain the minimum legally required *standorttypische* (site-specific) humus content, the farm's nutrient cycling must be rigorously calibrated using the Humus Balance framework established by the Association of German Agricultural Analytic and Research Institutes (VDLUFA)³. This mathematical model quantifies the Humus Equivalent (HE) contributed or consumed by specific crops over the rotational cycle³. One Humus Equivalent corresponds roughly to 580 kg of humified organic carbon per hectare³. Intensive cash crops aggressively deplete the soil organic pool. For example, potato cultivation is highly destructive, operating at approximately -760 kg Humus-C/ha, as the mechanical harvesting of tubers shatters soil aggregates and exposes protected carbon to enzymatic oxidation³. Intensive brassica and vegetable production can consume up to -1000 kg Humus-C/ha³. To counteract this depletion, the rotation must rely heavily on leguminous crops and perennial forage, which serve as massive humus contributors. Grain legumes, such as lupins, contribute approximately +160 kg Humus-C/ha, while the most profound accumulation occurs under multi-year clover-grass leys, which can contribute between +600 to +1400 kg Humus-C/ha³. Modern pedological analyses reveal that the integration of deep-rooting plants is paramount, as root biomass contributes to stable humification at a rate approximately 2.5 times greater than that of above-ground straw or shoot residues³.

Crop Functional Group	Humus Balance Coefficient (kg Humus-C/ha)	Agronomic Impact and Rotational Implication
Cabbage / Vegetables	-1000	Extreme Humus Depletion; requires preceding green manure or targeted compost application ³ .

Potatoes / Root Crops	-760	Severe Humus Depletion; destroys aggregate stability; requires biochar and vermicompost amendments ³ .
Winter Cereals (Rye)	-280	Moderate Depletion; root exudates provide limited structural recovery if straw is retained ³ .
Grain Legumes (Lupins)	+160	Humus Addition; contributes stable organic nitrogen via symbiotic root nodules ³ .
Clover-Grass Leys	+600 to +1400	Massive Humus Contribution; essential for long-term SOC recovery, soil structure, and livestock forage ³ .

Furthermore, the system must meticulously manage its nitrogen balance. In conventional German agriculture, nitrogen balances often show massive, environmentally destructive surpluses, averaging around 59 kg N/ha annually, which leads to severe nitrate leaching into regional groundwater aquifers²⁴. In contrast, organic mixed-farming systems rely on tightly closed nutrient cycles, where animal manure is strategically recycled back into the arable rotation, allowing them to maintain a highly efficient, low-loss nitrogen balance averaging just 15 kg N/ha²⁴. To achieve this equilibrium, the subsistence model strictly enforces a 5-year crop rotation on the arable plot, paired with a permanent pasture block to fuel the livestock and generate the necessary organic fertilizers.

5. The 5-Year Crop Rotation Architecture

The temporal sequencing of botanical families within the arable rotation is dictated by thermodynamic efficiency, nitrogen mineralization kinetics, and the biological life cycles of devastating soil-borne pathogens. In Central Europe, two primary pathological threats enforce strict minimum rotational intervals: *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) in Brassicaceae and *Rhizoctonia solani* (black scurf) in Solanaceae³. The following 5-year sequence is engineered to suppress these pathogens through strategic temporal isolation while maximizing caloric output per hectare.

Year 1: Nitrogen Fixation and Deep Soil Structuring

Cash Crop: Narrow-Leaf / Blue Lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*)

Post-Harvest Cover Crop: Winter Cereal Rye (*Secale cereale*) and Hairy Vetch (*Vicia villosa*)

Mix

The rotation initiates with a dedicated biological nitrogen-fixing phase utilizing the Blue Lupin. Of the agriculturally viable legumes, *Lupinus angustifolius* is uniquely adapted to the acidic (pH 5.0–6.8), nutrient-poor sandy Arenosols characteristic of the Brandenburg region³. Modern cultivars, such as 'Haags Blaue' and 'Probor', exhibit robust tolerance to anthracnose and yield high-quality grain containing up to 27% crude protein³.

Beyond producing high-protein livestock feed, the primary agronomic function of the lupin is profound soil remediation. The plant develops an aggressive taproot capable of penetrating up to 3.0 meters into the subsoil, effectively fracturing deep compaction layers and hauling leached moisture back into the photic zone³. Through symbiotic infection by *Bradyrhizobium* species within specialized root nodules, the Blue Lupin can biologically fix extraordinary volumes of atmospheric nitrogen, frequently ranging from 150 to 180 kg N/ha, while generating between 7,632 and 10,200 kg of dry matter per hectare³. Furthermore, lupin roots exude immense quantities of low-molecular-weight organic acids, predominantly citrate, which biochemically cleave insoluble calcium, iron, and aluminum phosphates in the soil matrix, rendering legacy phosphorus highly bioavailable for subsequent crops³.

Following the lupin harvest in late August, the residual nitrogen pool is highly vulnerable to autumn leaching. Field analyses demonstrate that actively growing cover crops reduce annual nitrogen leaching rates from cropland soils by 39% to 54%³. To sequester this labile nitrogen, an aggressive winter cover crop consisting of Winter Cereal Rye and Hairy Vetch is sown by mid-September³.

Year 2: First Heavy Feeder Phase

Cash Crop: Late Winter Cabbage or Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*)

Post-Harvest Cover Crop: Phacelia (*Phacelia tanacetifolia*) and Bristle Oat (*Avena strigosa*)

Year 2 introduces the first heavy-feeding cash crop, capitalizing on the massive, biologically fixed nitrogen reserves established by the lupins and the overwintering vetch. To successfully manage the rye/vetch cover crop without triggering a catastrophic "N-sperre" (nitrogen immobilization)—which occurs when massive volumes of high C/N ratio lignocellulosic rye straw are aggressively tilled into the soil—advanced termination mechanics are employed³. Rather than utilizing a moldboard plow, the cover crop is terminated mechanically via a roller crimper in late May, precisely when the rye reaches anthesis and the vetch achieves full bloom³. The crimper's blunt blades crush the vascular tissues, initiating systemic senescence and causing the cover crop to collapse into a dense, interlocking mat of dead biomass³. Cabbage seedlings are subsequently transplanted directly into this mulch layer using specialized no-till transplanters. This mulch virtually eliminates the emergence of annual broadleaf weeds by blocking photosynthetically active radiation, radically reduces soil moisture evaporation to mitigate summer drought stress, and facilitates a slow, synchronized release of nitrogen from the decaying vetch tissue³.

Because *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) is the paramount threat to cabbage, strict phytosanitary measures are enforced. The pathogen's thick-walled resting spores are virtually indestructible and can remain viable in the soil matrix for 10 to 20 years³. Following the late

autumn cabbage harvest, the field is seeded with a highly frost-sensitive catch crop of Phacelia and Bristle Oat. Crucially, this mixture contains zero cruciferous species (strictly forbidding popular cover crops like white mustard or oilseed radish), ensuring the absolute starvation of any latent clubroot resting spores³.

Year 3: Moderate Feeder and Allelopathic Degradation

Cash Crop: Carrots (*Daucus carota*) or Root Vegetables

Post-Harvest Cover Crop: "Landsberger Gemenge" (Winter Vetch, Crimson Clover, Italian Ryegrass)

By Year 3, the massive influx of nitrogen has been largely consumed by the cabbage, leaving a moderate fertility profile perfectly suited for Umbelliferae species such as carrots. Carrots are typically sown outdoors from April through July. High organic nitrogen inputs immediately prior to carrot cultivation often result in excessive foliar growth at the expense of root expansion; thus, their placement in the third year, following a heavy feeder, is agronomically optimal³. Before sowing the small-seeded carrots, the allelopathic dynamics of the decomposing rye roots from Years 1 and 2 must be navigated. *Secale cereale* synthesizes potent secondary metabolites, predominantly benzoxazinones such as DIBOA and MBOA, which degrade into highly toxic BOA (benzoxazolin-2(3H)-one)³. These compounds act as potent natural bioherbicides. By Year 3, microbial oxidation, mediated by specialized fungi utilizing Fenton reactions, has fully degraded these phenoxazinones, rendering the soil safe for carrot germination³.

To prepare the seedbed without exhuming buried weed seeds, ultra-shallow tillage is employed. Utilizing advanced compact disc harrows, the soil is disturbed only to a depth of 2 to 3 cm, incorporating delicate surface residues without altering the vertical distribution of the deep seed bank³. Following the carrot harvest, the rotation integrates the "Landsberger Gemenge"—a highly optimized, traditional overwintering mixture consisting of Winter Vetch, Crimson Clover, and Italian Ryegrass. This mixture acts as an aggressive nutrient scavenger and fixes immense quantities of nitrogen during the cool autumn and early spring months, frequently generating up to 20 to 35 dt/ha of dry matter by early spring to prime the soil for the potato phase³.

Year 4: Second Heavy Feeder Phase and Pathogen Suppression

Cash Crop: Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*)

Post-Harvest Cover Crop: Winter Rye (*Secale cereale*)

Year 4 addresses the most demanding caloric engine in the sequence: the potato. The Landsberger Gemenge is terminated in early spring utilizing shallow disc harrows. The intense mechanical disruption exponentially increases the substrate surface area exposed to enzymatic hydrolysis. The nitrogen mineralization kinetics adhere to a first-order exponential decay

model, formulated as $N_{min}(t) = N_0(1 - e^{-kt})$ ³. The high quality of the legume tissue

(low C/N ratio) drives a highly elevated first-order rate constant (k), ensuring massive quantities of ammonium (NH_4^+) and subsequently nitrate (NO_3^-) are made rapidly

bioavailable to the aggressively expanding potato root network precisely when the crop demands it³.

Because potato cultivation inflicts massive structural damage (-760 HE), and because the risk of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 PT infection is severe in sandy soils, the planting process is accompanied by advanced biological interventions³. *Rhizoctonia* causes catastrophic necrotic lesions on stolons and tubers, known as black scurf³. At the time of planting, high-fidelity vermicompost and engineered biochar are applied directly into the furrow. Vermicompost, heavily populated by beneficial *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* strains, actively synthesizes localized antibiotic compounds that suppress *Rhizoctonia* mycelial expansion³. Specific gut-associated bacteria in the vermicompost also rapidly metabolize the amino acid tryptophan into Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). This heavy stimulation of cellular elongation and adventitious root development is a critical mechanism for allowing the potato crop to access deep moisture reserves during erratic summer droughts³.

Following the highly disruptive potato harvest in September, the soil is structurally vulnerable to wind erosion and nutrient leaching. To immediately stabilize the matrix, Winter Rye is sown. Rye germinates rapidly in declining autumn temperatures, developing a dense fibrous root network that locks soil aggregates together and aggressively sequesters any residual nitrate³.

Year 5: Scavenger Phase and Cereal Harvest

Cash Crop: Winter Rye (*Secale cereale*) harvested for grain

Post-Harvest Cover Crop: Multi-species summer catch crop (e.g., Buckwheat, Serradella)

The final year of the rotation fulfills the role of the scavenger and structural restorer. Rye exhibits profound drought tolerance and exceptional nutrient use efficiency, making it the premier cereal for sandy Brandenburg soils where highly demanding crops like winter wheat frequently suffer severe yield depressions without irrigation³. Because the potato crop leaves residual phosphorus and complexed organic nitrogen deep in the soil profile, the extensive root architecture of the rye effectively mines these remaining reserves, ensuring zero nutrient wastage³.

To mobilize deeply locked potassium reserves, the rotation benefits from the inoculation of Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria (KSB), particularly elite strains of *Bacillus mucilaginosus* (also classified as *Priestia megaterium*). These bacteria exude immense volumes of highly reactive slime and low-molecular-weight organic acids (such as tartaric and citric acid) that sharply lower the localized rhizosphere pH, physically destabilizing the rigid aluminosilicate mineral

lattice and releasing entrapped potassium ions (K^{+}) directly into the soil solution³.

Following the grain harvest in July or August, the rye straw is chopped and evenly distributed across the field surface. A fast-growing, non-cruciferous summer catch crop, such as Buckwheat (highly adept at solubilizing residual phosphorus) and Serradella (a sand-loving legume), is immediately direct-drilled into the stubble. This crop is permitted to winter-kill, providing a protective mulch mat into which the Blue Lupin will be sown the following spring, completing the 5-year cycle³.

6. Livestock Integration and Trophic Efficiency

To fulfill the stringent dietary targets of 30% fat and 30% protein, alongside securing vital micronutrients, livestock must be integrated seamlessly into the crop rotation's waste streams and a dedicated permanent pasture.

Dairy Goats

Dairy goats are uniquely efficient converters of high-lignocellulose forage into premium lipids and proteins. High-performance dairy goat breeds under organic farming standards—which restrict concentrate feeding to less than 40% to 50% of the total ration—can produce between 600 and 800 kg of milk over a 240-day lactation period³⁶. Long-term experiments by the Thünen Institute demonstrated that goats fed an ultra-low concentrate diet (restricted to just 10% of their annual dry matter intake) still produced highly resilient yields of 500 to 650 kg of milk per lactation³⁷.

A mature lactating goat requires a daily Dry Matter Demand (DMD) of approximately 1.5 to 2.5 kg, scaling with body weight and milk yield³⁸. Annually, a single dairy goat consumes roughly 800 kg of dry matter. In Brandenburg, a well-managed organic clover-grass ley yields approximately 3,500 to 6,000 kg of dry matter per hectare (35 to 60 dt/ha)⁴⁰. Consequently, 0.5 hectares of dedicated, intensively managed pasture can sustainably support a herd of three dairy goats.

These three goats will produce roughly 2,100 kg of whole milk annually. Goat milk possesses an average caloric density of 61 kcal per 100g, yielding a total of 1,281,000 kcal per year⁴¹.

Crucially, this milk provides over 80 kg of highly bioavailable protein and over 100 kg of specialized medium-chain triglycerides (fats), successfully bridging the macronutrient gap left by the carbohydrate-heavy crops. Furthermore, the goats' manure serves as the primary organic fertilizer source, providing essential phosphorus and potassium for the potato crop in Year 4.

Poultry (Laying Hens)

Laying hens provide an incredibly dense source of fat, complete protein, and bioaccumulated micronutrients. Under EU organic regulations, poultry stocking densities are strictly tied to land area to prevent nitrogen overloading, limiting stocking to levels that do not exceed 170 kg of nitrogen equivalent per hectare (approximately 580 broilers or a proportionate number of layers per hectare)⁴².

A flock of 12 laying hens is more than sufficient for a family of four. Assuming modern organic production rates, these hens will lay approximately 3,000 eggs annually. With an average egg weighing 50g and containing 131 kcal per 100g, this flock yields roughly 150 kg of mass and 196,500 kcal per year⁴¹. The hens act as the primary consumer of the Year 1 Lupin harvest (which contains up to 27% crude protein) and a portion of the Year 5 Rye harvest, effectively converting plant calories into dense, bioavailable animal nutrition with a highly efficient feed conversion ratio of approximately 2:1³.

Swine Integration

Pigs serve as the ultimate biological recyclers on a subsistence farm. With a feed conversion ratio of approximately 4:1, they are highly efficient at transforming low-grade farm waste into extreme caloric density⁴⁴. Two pigs raised annually consume crop residues,

unmarketable/damaged potatoes (cull potatoes), cabbage outer leaves, carrot tops, and the whey byproduct generated from goat cheese production. Harvesting two pigs yields approximately 120 kg of dressed meat and lard. At an average caloric density of 2,500 kcal per kg (varying heavily by fat ratio), this contributes an additional 300,000 kcal, heavily weighted toward essential lipids⁴¹.

7. Hydrology, Water Productivity, and Irrigation Dynamics

Agricultural production in Brandenburg is highly vulnerable to extreme intra-seasonal climatic variance⁵. The reliance on rainfed agriculture in sandy soils with exceptionally low water-holding capacity introduces an immense risk of yield depression. In 2018 alone, severe droughts caused catastrophic crop failures across the region⁴. Models indicate that summer precipitation will continue to decrease, and the climatic water balance deficit will intensify⁷.

Potatoes are particularly sensitive to water stress. The crop water requirement (ET_m) for a 120 to 150-day potato crop ranges from 500 to 700 mm⁴⁷. In Brandenburg, the water productivity of fresh matter potatoes (WPFM) under irrigated conditions varies between 6.66 and 9.19 kg FM/m³⁴⁸. Data indicates that supplemental irrigation can increase potato yields by up to 55% in this region; conversely, in strictly rainfed conditions during acute drought years, yields can plummet by 30% to 40%⁴⁹.

While supplementary irrigation is beneficial, water itself is a stressed resource in Brandenburg⁵¹. Furthermore, if utilizing reclaimed or secondary water sources, the choice of irrigation technology is critical. Studies show that flood irrigation drives heavy metal accumulation (Cu, Pb, Zn) deep into the soil profile (40–60 cm), while drip irrigation concentrates these elements in the upper soil layer (0–40 cm) and maximizes uptake into the potato tubers⁵².

Because a purely autarkic subsistence model cannot rely on limitless groundwater pumping or municipal irrigation grids, hydrologic resilience must be built into the soil matrix itself. To physically mitigate the drought risk, the system utilizes the engineered biochar applied in Year 4. When incorporated at rates up to 50 Mg/ha in sandy soils, biochar physically alters the soil's macroporosity, dramatically increasing the water holding capacity and available soil moisture (by up to 0.07 m³/m³) during the critical summer months, effectively creating a decentralized, sub-surface irrigation buffer³.

8. Quantitative Yield Modeling and Caloric Calculations

To calculate the exact minimum acreage, we project the yields of the 5-year arable rotation and the livestock sector. Because organic farming on sandy soils precludes the use of synthetic mineral fertilizers, expected yields are generally 30% to 50% lower than regional conventional averages⁵⁴.

According to Destatis data for the 2025/2026 harvest, conventional yields in Germany averaged 78.3 dt/ha for winter wheat, 56.3 dt/ha for rye, and an impressive 459.6 dt/ha (45.9 t/ha) for potatoes⁵⁶. However, under organic protocols on Brandenburg's impoverished soils,

conservative baseline yields must be utilized:

- **Potatoes:** Organic yields on sandy soils typically average 20 t/ha (20,000 kg/ha)⁵⁴. Potatoes are calorically dense at 77 kcal/100g (770 kcal/kg)⁴¹.
- **Carrots:** Organic carrots can realistically yield 30 t/ha (30,000 kg/ha). Caloric density is 34 kcal/100g (340 kcal/kg)⁴¹.
- **Cabbage:** Late winter cabbage yields approximately 30 t/ha (30,000 kg/ha). Assuming a 70% edible harvest after trimming, the functional yield is 21,000 kg/ha. Caloric density is 27 kcal/100g (270 kcal/kg)⁴¹.
- **Rye:** Organic winter rye on sandy soils yields approximately 30 dt/ha (3,000 kg/ha)⁵⁹. Caloric density is 319 kcal/100g (3,190 kcal/kg)⁴¹.
- **Lupins:** Organic yields range from 15 to 25 dt/ha. We assume a conservative 20 dt/ha (2,000 kg/ha)⁶¹. This crop is primarily utilized as high-protein livestock feed, transferring its caloric value into the eggs and meat metrics.

Let us model an arable plot of **1.0 hectare**, perfectly divided into five 0.2-hectare rotational blocks (to fulfill the strict 5-year pathogen-suppression cycle), coupled with a **0.5 hectare** permanent pasture for the livestock.

Food Source	Cultivated Area	Expected Annual Yield (Mass)	Caloric Density	Total Gross Calories
Potatoes	0.20 ha	4,000 kg	770 kcal/kg	3,080,000 kcal
Carrots	0.20 ha	6,000 kg	340 kcal/kg	2,040,000 kcal
Cabbage	0.20 ha	4,200 kg (edible)	270 kcal/kg	1,134,000 kcal
Winter Rye	0.20 ha	600 kg	3,190 kcal/kg	1,914,000 kcal
Blue Lupin	0.20 ha	400 kg	(Allocated to Feed)	-
Goat Milk (3 does)	0.50 ha (Pasture)	2,100 kg	610 kcal/kg	1,281,000 kcal
Eggs (12 hens)	(Foraging + Feed)	150 kg	1,310 kcal/kg	196,500 kcal
Pork / Lard (2	(Waste + Feed)	120 kg	2,500 kcal/kg	300,000 kcal

pigs)				
TOTAL	1.50 Hectares			~9,945,500 kcal

The gross systemic output of this 1.5-hectare model is approximately 9.94 million kilocalories. However, in an autarkic system, gross output does not equal net human consumption.

9. Buffer Margins and Climate Resilience

Because a subsistence model cannot rely on emergency external caloric inputs or state financial compensation, massive caloric buffers must be engineered into the baseline acreage. To calculate the *Net Resilient Yield*, we must apply three major reductive coefficients to the Gross Output:

1. **Drought and Phytopathological Buffer (35%):** Accounting for erratic rainfall, severe heatwaves, and localized pest/pathogen outbreaks that bypass the temporal rotation defenses. As demonstrated by regional hydrology models, acute drought can slash root crop and cereal yields by up to 40%⁴⁹. A conservative 35% across-the-board reduction secures against catastrophic climate anomalies.
2. **Seed Saving and Spoilage Buffer (10%):** A strictly closed-loop system requires the farmer to withhold 5% to 10% of the cereal and potato harvest for next year's seed stock. Furthermore, overwintering root crops inevitably results in some rot, desiccation, and caloric degradation.
3. **Livestock Feed Diversion:** A portion of the rye (approx. 200 kg) and all of the lupin (400 kg) must be subtracted from human consumption to fuel the poultry and swine.

Net Caloric Math:

- Gross Output: 9,945,500 kcal
- Minus Human-Edible Feed Diversion (200 kg Rye): -638,000 kcal
- Adjusted Gross: 9,307,500 kcal
- Apply 35% Climate/Failure Buffer: $9,307,500 \times 0.65 = 6,049,875$ kcal
- Apply 10% Seed/Storage Loss Buffer: $6,049,875 \times 0.90 = 5,444,887$ kcal

The fully buffered, net human-consumable yield from 1.5 hectares is approximately 5.44 million kilocalories. This comfortably exceeds the 4.0 million kilocalorie baseline required for a family of four, providing an absolute guarantee of nutritional survival even during severe multi-year drought events.

10. Labor Economics and Energy Return on Investment (EROI)

The physical feasibility of a single family managing a 1.5-hectare subsistence farm hinges entirely on labor economics and thermodynamic efficiency. Studies indicate that manual or

semi-mechanized subsistence agriculture typically allows one adult equivalent unit to manage between 1.0 and 1.5 hectares of mixed crops⁶².

Organic farming methods inherently require higher labor inputs per hectare than conventional models, primarily due to manual/mechanical weed control, the complexity of managing multi-species crop rotations, and livestock husbandry⁶³. For example, conventional arable farming on highly mechanized, large-scale operations (over 2000 acres) requires as little as 1.7 to 3.4 labor hours per acre per year due to massive economies of scale and chemical herbicide utilization⁶⁵. In stark contrast, intensive organic vegetable and root crop cultivation on a micro-scale managed via walk-behind tractors or draft power requires significantly higher labor density, often exceeding 15.5 hours per hectare per specific cultivation event⁶².

Livestock management introduces a fixed daily labor requirement regardless of scale. Baseline agricultural data indicates that a small-scale dairy operation requires approximately 39.0 labor hours per head annually, while a small swine operation requires 8.4 hours per litter⁶⁷. However, spread across a family of four (assuming two full-time adult equivalents), managing 1.5 hectares demands roughly 1,500 to 2,000 labor hours annually. This constitutes a manageable full-time livelihood, avoiding systemic physical exhaustion while maintaining the high biological fidelity required by the VDLUFA humus models.

From a thermodynamic perspective, the Energy Return on Investment (EROI) of this mixed organic system is exceptional. Conventional agricultural systems frequently exhibit an EROI below 1.0 (e.g., 0.50 to 0.69), meaning they consume more fossil-fuel energy—via synthetic fertilizers, heavy mechanization, and pesticides—than they produce in caloric food energy⁶⁸. A massive energy sink in conventional agriculture is the Haber-Bosch synthesis of nitrogen⁶⁸. By bypassing synthetic fertilizers and relying entirely on biological nitrogen fixation via *Lupinus angustifolius*, mechanical termination via roller crimpers, and on-farm livestock nutrient cycling, organic models routinely achieve an EROI exceeding 2.0 (with specific organic dairy models hitting 2.68)⁶⁸. Consequently, this 1.5-hectare model is not only spatially and nutritionally sufficient, but highly thermodynamically profitable.

11. Conclusion

To definitively sustain a family of four in the specific climatic and pedological context of Berlin-Brandenburg, an absolute minimum of **1.5 hectares** of land is required. This footprint must be optimally divided into 1.0 hectare of arable land and 0.5 hectares of permanent pasture.

Achieving the 4 million kilocalorie baseline is technically feasible on a smaller fraction of land; however, a purely caloric calculation dangerously ignores the physiological requirements for lipids, complete proteins, and essential trace micronutrients (Se, Zn, I), as well as the structural limitations of Brandenburg's sandy Arenosols. Relying strictly on a plant-based output would lead to severe human macronutrient imbalances and rapid soil humus depletion.

By expanding the footprint to 1.5 hectares, the system accommodates a rigorous 5-year crop rotation (Lupin, Cabbage, Carrots, Potatoes, Rye) that naturally disrupts the life cycles of devastating pathogens like *Plasmodiophora brassicae* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Simultaneously, the inclusion of 0.5 hectares of pasture allows for the integration of a small dairy goat herd,

laying hens, and swine. These livestock act as highly efficient biological refineries, converting high-cellulose forage and crop waste into bioavailable fats and proteins, while safely transmitting trace soil minerals into the human diet and recycling essential macronutrients (NPK) back into the arable plots via composted manure.

Finally, this 1.5-hectare footprint structurally embeds a massive 35% resilience buffer against the severe, climate-change-induced droughts that regularly decimate rainfed yields in Brandenburg's sandy soils. This ensures that the family's nutritional and caloric requirements are unequivocally met without reliance on external synthetic inputs or unsustainable groundwater irrigation, securing true agricultural autarky.

Works cited

1. Individual nutritional self-sufficiency: a viable option in the present era, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2024.1424879/full>
2. Beyond Calories: A Holistic Assessment of the Global Food System, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327649767_Beyond_Calories_A_Holistic_Assessment_of_the_Global_Food_System
3. 24 Berlin Crop Rotation Schedule.pdf
4. Exploring drought hazard, vulnerability, and related impacts on, <https://nhess.copernicus.org/articles/24/4237/2024/>
5. Assessing the Impact of Different Irrigation Levels on Starch Potato, https://gfzpublic.gfz.de/rest/items/item_5015150_1/component/file_5015233/content?download=true
6. Ackerbauliches Ertragspotential der Böden in Deutschland - BGR, https://www.bgr.bund.de/DE/Themen/Boden/Produkte/Schriften/Downloads/Soil_QualityRating_Handzettel_de.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=4
7. Climate Change and Climatic Water Balance in Brandenburg ... - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/18/14/1737>
8. Water balance between natural processes and human influence, <https://www.zalf.de/en/aktuelles/Pages/Pressemitteilungen/Wasserhaushalt-Lausitz.aspx>
9. What should your macro ratios be? - Levels Health, <https://www.levels.com/blog/what-should-macros-be>
10. Calorie Deficit Macro Split: Evidence-Based Guide for Fat Loss, <https://www.boltpharmacy.co.uk/guide/calorie-deficit-macro-split>
11. Macronutrient Ratios Explained: How to Adjust Protein, Carbs & Fats, <https://ultimatenutrition.com/en-ca/blogs/nutrition/macronutrient-ratios-explained-how-to-adjust-protein-carbs-fats-for-your-goal>
12. Sustaining Protein Nutrition Through Plant-Based Foods - PMC - NIH, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8804093/>
13. Does 70% of our farmed land only produce 18% of our calories?, <https://ethicalbutcher.co.uk/blogs/journal/in-the-uk-we-use-2-3-of-farmed-land-to-produce-2-3-of-our-protein>
14. Arable land and permanent grassland of organic farming in Germany,

<https://www.destatis.de/EN/Themes/Economic-Sectors-Enterprises/Agriculture-Forestry-Fisheries/Field-Crops-Grassland/Tables/organic-farming-in-germany.html>

15. Micronutrients and Its Emerging Effects from Soil to Plants ... - IJFMR, <https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/5/57696.pdf>
16. Multinutrient Supplementation Improves Selenium and Iodine Status, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/398294033_Multinutrient_Supplementation_Improves_Selenium_and_Iodine_Status_But_Has_No_Clear_Impact_on_Iron_and_Zinc_in_Apparently_Healthy_Vegans
17. Current Strategies for Selenium and Iodine Biofortification in Crop, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9694821/>
18. Micronutrient homeostasis in plants for more sustainable agriculture, <https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article/73/6/1789/6522652>
19. Simultaneous Biofortification of Wheat with Zinc, Iodine, Selenium, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334156116_Simultaneous_Biofortification_of_Wheat_with_Zinc_Iodine_Selenium_and_Iron_through_Foliar_Treatment_of_a_Micronutrient_Cocktail_in_Six_Countries
20. (PDF) Using agronomic biofortification to boost zinc, selenium, and, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264162829_Using_agronomic_biofortification_to_boost_zinc_selenium_and_iodine_concentrations_of_food_crops_grown_on_the_loess_plateau_in_China
21. Soil-to-Human Mineral Transmission with an Emphasis on Zinc, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273516239_Soil-to-Human_Mineral_Transmission_with_an_Emphasis_on_Zinc_Selenium_and_Iodine
22. Differentiating between crop and soil effects on soil moisture dynamics, https://comm.zalf.de/sites/patchcrop/Freigegebene%20Dokumente/Publikationen/Scholz_Differentiating%20between%20crop%20and%20soil%20effects%20on%20soil%20moisture%20dynamics_2024.pdf
23. Benchmarking soil organic carbon to support agricultural carbon, https://literatur.thuenen.de/digbib_extern/dn064821.pdf
24. (PDF) Nitrogen-use efficiency of organic and conventional arable, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349933722_Nitrogen-use_efficiency_of_organic_and_conventional_arable_and_dairy_farming_systems_in_Germany
25. Modelling High Resolution Agricultural Nitrogen Budgets, https://literatur.thuenen.de/digbib_extern/dn068682.pdf
26. How Much Land Do You Really Need To Be Self-Sufficient?, <https://www.smallfootprintfamily.com/how-much-land-self-sufficient>
27. Estimation of soil quality improvement through the use of biochar, https://agroforst-info.de/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Michelle-Breezmann_2022_Estimation-of-soil-quality-improvement-through-the-use-of-biochar-based-and-compost-substrates-on-low-yield-soils-in-Lusatia-Germany.pdf
28. (PDF) Assessing the biomass yield and nitrogen fixation of Lupinus, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374230966_Assessing_the_biomass_yield_and_nitrogen_fixation_of_Lupinus_angustifolius_varieties_as_green_manure_in_Jalisco_Mexico

29. Regionale Wertschöpfung für Biowirtschaft: RegBio2B-Projekt,
<https://www.zalf.de/de/aktuelles/Seiten/PB3/Regionale-Wertsch%C3%B6pfung-f%C3%BCr-Biowirtschaft.aspx>
30. Calories for Thousands of Foods: Your Calorie Chart Database,
<https://www.calories.info/>
31. Practical Farming Materials for the Homesteaders · Subsistence,
<https://www.nal.usda.gov/exhibits/ipd/small/exhibits/show/subsistence/practical-farming>
32. Figures on pig farming in Germany - expertise for animals,
<https://www.expertiseforanimals.com/informationen-artikel-en/figures-on-pig-farming-in-germany>
33. Standortangepasstes Grünlandmanagement - ZALF,
https://www.zalf.de/de/forschung_lehre/projekte/AttachmentsFoPro/05%20Infoblatt%20GRANO_60.pdf
34. Readers reply: how big a garden would you need to be self,
<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2023/mar/19/readers-reply-how-big-a-garden-would-you-need-to-be-self-sufficient-for-a-family-of-four>
35. Sustainable Organic and Low-input Dairying (SOLID) | FP7 - CORDIS,
<https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/266367/reporting>
36. Performance of organic goat milk production in grazing systems in,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289664635_Performance_of_organic_goat_milk_production_in_grazing_systems_in_Northern_Germany
37. Feed less Food - concentrate reduced dairy goat keeping,
<https://www.thuenen.de/en/institutes/organic-farming/x/livestock-systems-engineering/feed-less-food>
38. Comparative Study of Intake, Apparent Digestibility and Energy and,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7278390/>
39. NOP 5017-7 Dry Matter Demand Table Dairy Goats 12 01 10.xlsx,
<https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP-5017-7-DryMatterDemandTablesforClassesofDairyGoats.pdf>
40. Betriebswirtschaftliche Richtwerte - Öko-Kleegrass,
<https://www.tll.de/www/daten/publikationen/richtwerte/klgr0807.pdf>
41. Food Calories Chart: Fruits, Vegetables, Meat, Fish, and More,
<https://www.myprotein.com/thezone/nutrition/food-calories-chart-whats-in-your-fruit-veg-meat-and-other-daily-produce/>
42. Besonderheiten der Tierhaltung im Ökolandbau - Thünen-Institut,
<https://www.thuenen.de/de/themenfelder/oekologischer-landbau/besonderheiten-der-tierhaltung-im-oekolandbau>
43. - 139 - . . . ANHANG IV Höchstzulässige Anzahl von Tieren je Hektar,
<https://www.oekolandbau.de/fileadmin/redaktion/dokumente/EU-Verordnung/889-anhang4.pdf>
44. Feed-to-Meat - Conversion Inefficiency Ratios - A Well-Fed World,
<https://awellfedworld.org/feed-ratios/>
45. Calories and Macronutrients of Common Foods PROTEINS,
<https://fitforfilms.com/wp-content/uploads/Macros-of-common-foods-V3.pdf>

46. (PDF) Simulated additional crop yields due to irrigation of,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308746843_Simulated_additional_crop_yields_due_to_irrigation_of_agricultural_fields_throughout_Brandenburg_Germany_for_1975-2075
47. Potato Water Requirements and Irrigation Systems - Wikifarmer,
<https://wikifarmer.com/library/en/article/potato-water-requirements-and-irrigation-systems>
48. (PDF) Water Productivity and Irrigation Water Demand of Potatoes in,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381371890_Water_Productivity_and_Irrigation_Water_Demand_of_Potatoes_in_Brandenburg_Germany_Between_1902_and_2020
49. Effects of Irrigation Practices on Potato Yield and Water Productivity,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/15/8/1942>
50. Insights into the potential of potato production across Europe,
<https://edepot.wur.nl/696047>
51. Tuber yield Water - sustainability,
<https://sustainability.zalf.de/uploads/attachments/cl03zulbj2fgvlgkpv5hh9es8-impact-assessment-factsheet-018.pdf>
52. Can Potato Crop on Sandy Soil Be Safely Irrigated with Heavy Metal,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/14/8/1226>
53. (PDF) Does biochar combined with cover crops improve health and,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380421737_Does_biochar_combined_with_cover_crops_improve_health_and_productivity_of_sandy_sloping_and_semi-arid_soils
54. Ökologischer Anbau von Kartoffeln - Ökolandbau,
<https://www.oekolandbau.de/bio-in-der-praxis/oekologische-landwirtschaft/oekologischer-pflanzenbau/bio-anbausteckbriefe/hackfruechte/anbau-von-kartoffeln/>
55. NPK: Will there be enough plant nutrients to feed a world of 9 billion,
https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC70936/npk%20final%20report%20_%20publication%20be%20pdf.pdf
56. 2025 grain and potato harvest: 45 million tons of grain and 14,
<https://www.destatis.de/EN/Themes/Economic-Sectors-Enterprises/Agriculture-Forestry-Fisheries/Field-Crops-Grassland/grain-potato-harvest.html>
57. Yield per hectare of selected crops in a time comparison,
<https://www.destatis.de/EN/Themes/Economic-Sectors-Enterprises/Agriculture-Forestry-Fisheries/Field-Crops-Grassland/Tables/2-yield-hectare-of-selected-crops-in-a-time-comparison.html>
58. The economic and agronomic realities of organic production for,
<https://www.spudnik.com/the-economic-and-agronomic-realities-of-organic-production-for-potato-farmers/>
59. Winterroggen – Aktuelle Ergebnisse aus der Praxis und den,
<https://www.lfl.bayern.de/ipz/getreide/049395/index.php>
60. Annex I FOOD COMPOSITION TABLES,
<https://www.fao.org/4/x9892e/X9892e05.htm>
61. Erträge und Rohproteingehalte - Lupinenverein,

- <https://lupinenverein.de/anbau/allgemeines/ertrage/>
62. How much land can a full-time organic farmer take care of?,
<https://sustainability.stackexchange.com/questions/5920/how-much-land-can-a-full-time-organic-farmer-take-care-of>
63. Labour use on organic farms: a review of research since 2000,
https://literatur.thuenen.de/digbib_extern/dn061533.pdf
64. Breakdown of labour use per hectare (ha) or per head of livestock by,
https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Breakdown-of-labour-use-per-hectare-ha-or-per-head-of-livestock-by-farm-type-OF_tbl1_325929861
65. Farm management tasks in Agriculture,
<https://www.agricultural-engineering.eu/landtechnik/article/download/2010-65-3-198-200/2010-65-3-198-200-en-pdf/830>
66. Labor Standards - farmdoc daily - University of Illinois,
<https://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2026/01/labor-standards.html>
67. Labor Hour Estimates - Center for Farm Financial Management,
<https://www.cffm.umn.edu/finpackkb/finpack-knowledge-base-general/labor-hour-estimates/>
68. A Comparative Analysis of the Energy Return on Investment of,
https://ias.is/wp-content/uploads/Icelandic_Agricultural_Sciences_29_2016/Icelandic_Agricultural_Sciences_28_2015/A-Comparative-Analysis-of-the-Energy-Return-on-Investment.pdf
69. (PDF) A Comparative Analysis of the Energy Return on Investment,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283186402_A_Comparative_Analysis_of_the_Energy_Return_on_Investment_of_Organic_and_Conventional_Icelandic_Dairy_Farms