

Quantitative Biomechanics, Lipid Thermodynamics, and Yield Analytics of Bovine and Porcine Carcass Fabrication

The conversion of a living domestic livestock animal into a sanitary, edible carcass and its subsequent fabrication into highly specific primal, subprimal, and retail cuts represents a sophisticated intersection of veterinary neuroanatomy, applied biomechanics, and biochemical science¹. Within the modern high-throughput abattoir and the artisanal processing facility alike, the primary objective is to execute this biochemical and physical conversion while rigorously maximizing the harvestable yield of skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. To achieve this, operators and agricultural economists must meticulously map the transition from live animal weight to Hot Carcass Weight (HCW), then to Cold Carcass Weight (CCW) following thermodynamic chilling, and finally to the exact packaged poundage of retail cuts and rendered culinary lipids (tallow and lard) returned to the commercial kitchen¹.

This report provides an exhaustive, multi-disciplinary analysis of the yield mathematics, anatomical dissection, and lipid biochemistry of both the bovine (beef) and porcine (pork) carcass. By quantifying dressing percentages across various production methodologies, mapping the exact mass of retail yields, exploring the thermodynamics of fat rendering, and analyzing international regulatory frameworks, this analysis illuminates the fundamental biological and physical laws that dictate global meat production economics.

Pre-Fabrication Pathophysiology and Sanitary Dressing Protocols

Before yield metrics can be calculated or economic models applied, the live animal must undergo a sequence of highly regulated sanitary dressing interventions. The hygienic integrity of the resulting meat, as well as the initial extraction of value, is directly proportional to the precision of these physical procedures. A single procedural failure can result in catastrophic microbial contamination or severe yield degradation¹.

Neurophysiology of Dispatch and Exsanguination

The foundational step in ethical and regulatory compliance during carcass fabrication is the induction of immediate and irreversible insensibility to pain. The humane dispatch of bovine species requires overcoming the robust architecture of the bovine skull, which features expansive, air-filled frontal sinuses that act as biomechanical crumple zones¹. The total tissue thickness at the ideal frontal shot placement averages 46.7 millimeters in mature cattle, necessitating the use of a Penetrating Captive Bolt (PCB) capable of generating between 300 and 500 Joules of kinetic energy with bolt velocities exceeding 55 meters per second¹. The device must be positioned perfectly perpendicular to the frontal bone to ensure the bolt macerates the Ascending Reticular Activating System (ARAS) and the thalamus, globally depolarizing neuronal membranes and triggering an acute rise in intracranial pressure¹.

Following successful concussive stunning, exsanguination must be executed within a maximum stun-to-stick interval of 60 seconds to utilize the residual pumping action of the animal's heart. Historically, the ventral neck cut was utilized; however, the highly elastic bovine carotid arteries rapidly contract upon severing, retracting deep into their connective tissue sheaths and forming false aneurysms that arrest blood flow¹. Consequently, the optimal methodology is the thoracic stick, or brachiocephalic transection. Utilizing a strict two-knife system to prevent pathogen translocation from the hide, a sterile sticking knife is inserted at the thoracic inlet to sever the brachiocephalic trunk and the bicarotid trunk directly at the aortic arch¹. This induces an immediate, catastrophic drop in systemic blood pressure, draining the vertebral anastomoses and precipitating total brain death within 10 to 20 seconds. This rapid exsanguination prevents the retention of heme iron in the musculature, which acts as a potent promoter of lipid oxidation, while simultaneously representing the first major weight reduction in the yield cascade—accounting for approximately 3% to 4% of the animal's total live weight¹.

Biomechanics of Flaying, Scalding, and Electrical Stimulation

The physical removal of the integumentary system (the hide or skin) and the extraction of the visceral organs fundamentally separate the processing of bovines and porcines, establishing the baseline divergence in their respective dressing percentages.

In bovine processing, following exsanguination and the localized application of organic acids to reduce Aerobic Plate Counts, the carcass is vertically suspended. The hide is mechanically stripped utilizing downward hide pulling (DHP) kinematics¹. Unlike upward pulling, DHP ensures that soil, hair, and aerosolized particulate matter fall away from the exposed sterile subcutaneous fat toward the floor. However, DHP exerts massive, unyielding downward kinetic tension on the bovine spinal column, frequently causing severe fracturing of the vertebrae¹. To counteract this structural failure, rigid electrical stimulation (ES) probes are applied to the carcass to induce violent, tetanic muscle contractions. This forces the massive *longissimus dorsi* and paravertebral muscles to contract tightly, effectively splinting the spinal column¹. While mechanically necessary for yield preservation, ES drastically alters the biochemical conversion of muscle to meat by accelerating the depletion of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and driving the anaerobic glycolysis of muscle glycogen, leading to a rapid accumulation of lactic acid¹. The total removal of the heavy bovine hide results in a significant reduction in the weight of the resulting carcass.

Conversely, in standard porcine processing, the skin is routinely left intact, completely altering the economic yield model. Instead of flaying, the porcine carcass is typically submerged in a

scalding tank with water heated to approximately 150°F (65°C)⁵. This highly calibrated thermal exposure denatures the proteins within the hair follicles without cooking the underlying adipose tissue. Following the scald, the carcass passes through mechanical bell scrapers that aggressively strip the hair while preserving the skin and the critical subcutaneous fat layer⁵. Because the porcine skin represents roughly 6% of the animal's total live weight, its retention dramatically elevates the ultimate yield of the hot carcass compared to a skinned animal⁷.

Evisceration and Specified Risk Material Extraction

Following integumentary management, the gastrointestinal tract must be extracted. The

bovine, as a ruminant, possesses a massive, four-chambered stomach (rumen, reticulum, omasum, abomasum) adapted for the fermentation of fibrous forage. The sheer mass of the rumen and its highly variable ingesta (gut fill) accounts for an immense proportion of the live weight, frequently exceeding 150 pounds in mature cattle¹. The prophylactic sealing of the alimentary canal—hermetically occluding the esophagus (weasand) with a polymer clip and inverting the rectum (bung) into a sterile plastic bag—is mandatory prior to extraction to prevent the catastrophic rupture of this massive microbial reservoir into the sterile carcass cavity¹.

Furthermore, the splitting of the bovine vertebral column introduces a severe public health hazard: the aerosolization of central nervous system (CNS) tissue, which serves as the primary reservoir for the misfolded prion proteins responsible for Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE)¹. To mitigate this, international regulatory bodies enforce stringent protocols for the removal of Specified Risk Material (SRM). The spinal cord must be extracted in its entirety utilizing specialized pneumatic vacuum systems, rendering this highly specialized tissue as Category 1 Animal By-Product slated for high-temperature incineration¹.

The porcine, as a monogastric omnivore, possesses a vastly simplified and lighter digestive tract⁶. This anatomical distinction, combined with the lack of ruminant-specific CNS tissue extraction protocols, is the primary biological driver behind the significant variance in dressing percentages between the two species.

The Mathematics of Dressing Percentage

The primary metric utilized by the global agricultural sector to evaluate the initial biological yield of a slaughter animal is the dressing percentage. This value represents the proportion of the animal's live weight that remains as the harvestable, hanging carcass after the removal of the head, feet, hide (in cattle), and internal organs⁶. The calculation is expressed mathematically as:

$$\text{Dressing Percentage (DP)} = \left(\frac{\text{Hot Carcass Weight (HCW)}}{\text{Live Weight}} \right) \times 100$$

Bovine Dressing Percentages and Modulating Factors

The dressing percentage for beef cattle typically ranges between 58% and 64%, with an industry-standard average of 60% to 63% for well-finished, grain-fed steers and heifers². For example, a 1,200-pound steer exhibiting a 62% dressing percentage will yield a Hot Carcass Weight (HCW) of exactly 744 pounds³.

However, this metric is highly elastic and directly manipulated by several specific biological and management variables:

First, gastrointestinal fill exerts a massive, albeit artificial, influence on the calculated percentage. Cattle weighed immediately off a pasture system with a completely full rumen will record a massive live weight but will yield the exact same carcass weight as a strictly fasted animal. This massive gut fill mathematically depresses the calculated dressing percentage by

2% to 4%³.

Second, the degree of finish, or subcutaneous fat cover, fundamentally alters the yield. As fat thickness on the exterior of a carcass increases, the dressing percentage rises linearly⁶. Fat is deposited directly onto the carcass itself; therefore, heavily conditioned animals dress higher. However, this is a nuanced economic metric, as excessive external adiposity ultimately harms the final retail cutability, requiring aggressive trimming later in the fabrication process⁶.

Third, genetic muscularity and breed conformation play a dominant role. Heavy-muscled continental breeds, such as the Charolais and Limousin, feature a significantly higher proportion of skeletal muscle relative to their cortical bone and visceral mass, frequently achieving exceptional dressing percentages of 63% to 65%³. Conversely, dairy breeds like the Holstein or Jersey feature much lighter muscling and massively enlarged abdominal capacities required for sustained lactation, resulting in depressed dressing percentages ranging from 50% to 55%³.

Finally, the dietary regimen of the animal heavily dictates yield. Grass-fed cattle, which require substantially larger gut capacities to properly ferment lower-energy, high-lignin roughage, typically dress 2% to 5% lower than their grain-fed counterparts, frequently falling into the 53% to 58% range³.

Porcine Dressing Percentages and Monogastric Efficiency

Pigs exhibit the absolute highest dressing percentage of any major domestic livestock species, typically ranging from 70% to 75%, with an industry average holding steady at 72% to 74%². Applying this metric, a 250-pound market hog dressing at 72% will yield an impressive HCW of 180 pounds¹⁶.

This elevated yield is strictly dictated by three primary anatomical realities. As detailed previously, the skin is universally left on the carcass during processing, contributing approximately 6% of the animal's total live weight directly to the final HCW⁶. If a specialized abattoir dictates that a hog must be conventionally skinned rather than scalded, the dressing percentage plummets to align more closely with that of a heavy sheep or light bovine⁷. Furthermore, the skeletal structure of the porcine skull allows the head to be frequently left attached to the hanging carcass during the initial weighing and chilling phases in many commercial and artisanal facilities, further inflating the HCW relative to beef⁶. Finally, the monogastric anatomy of the pig means that the small, single-chambered stomach and shorter intestinal tract represent a negligible percentage of the total live weight compared to the massive bovine rumen⁶.

Species and Production Category	Average Live Weight	Typical Dressing % Range	Average Hot Carcass Yield	Anatomical and Processing Notes
Beef	1,200 - 1,400	60% - 64%	720 - 896 lbs	Hide, head,

(Grain-Fed Steer)	lbs			feet, and massive rumen removed. ³
Beef (Grass-Fed Steer)	1,000 - 1,200 lbs	53% - 58%	530 - 696 lbs	Higher gut fill capacity depresses the DP calculation. ³
Beef (Cull Dairy Cow)	1,300 - 1,500 lbs	50% - 55%	650 - 825 lbs	Poor muscling to bone ratio; large abdominal cavity. ³
Pork (Market Barrow/Gilt)	250 - 280 lbs	70% - 75%	175 - 210 lbs	Skin retained, head frequently retained, monogastric anatomy. ⁵

Global Regulatory Frameworks and Yield Standardization

To facilitate international commerce, ensure rigorous quality consistency, and mathematically standardize the vast array of anatomical variations present in both bovine and porcine carcasses, the global meat processing industry operates under strict regulatory frameworks.

The USDA Quality and Yield Grading Paradigms

In North America, the USDA Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) oversees dual Quality and Yield grading paradigms to assess the biological value of the carcass prior to complete fabrication¹. Quality grades (such as Prime, Choice, Select, and Standard for beef) evaluate the physiological maturity of the skeletal cartilage—specifically the ossification of the chine bones—and perform a highly subjective evaluation of the intramuscular fat (marbling) distributed within the *longissimus dorsi* muscle exposed precisely at the 12th and 13th rib interface¹.

Yield grades (numbered 1 through 5, with 1 indicating the absolute highest cutability) provide a complex mathematical estimation of the total proportion of closely trimmed, boneless retail cuts derivable from the four major primals: the chuck, rib, loin, and round¹. Beef Yield grading relies on highly specific objective measurements, including the overall Hot Carcass Weight (HCW), the total square inch area of the ribeye muscle, the measured fat thickness over the

12th rib, and the percentage of internal Kidney, Pelvic, and Heart (KPH) fat¹.

For porcine carcasses, the USDA utilizes a grading system (U.S. No. 1 through 4) heavily dependent on the backfat thickness over the last rib and the overall muscularity of the animal. Barrow and gilt carcasses qualifying for the U.S. No. 1 grade possess less than average backfat thickness coupled with average to thick muscling, resulting in a high expected yield of 60.4 percent or greater of the four lean cuts (ham, loin, picnic, and Boston butt)²¹. Conversely, a U.S. No. 4 carcass exhibits excessive backfat exceeding 1.50 inches, resulting in a low expected yield of less than 54.4 percent of the four lean cuts²¹.

The European SEUROP Grid and Macroeconomic Data

In the European Union, the valuation of livestock carcasses is governed by the EUROP (or SEUROP) grid system, established by regulations such as (EC) No 1234/2007²². This standardized classification grid evaluates carcasses based on two primary visual and objective axes: muscular conformation (denoted by the letters S, E, U, R, O, P, with 'S' indicating superior, double-muscled profiles) and external fat cover (scored on a numerical scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being extremely lean and 5 being excessively fat)²². The SEUROP system allows for the reliable determination of the commercial value of the carcass, dictating the wholesale valuation of the forequarter and hindquarter yields and ensuring transparency across the massive European meat market²².

The economic scale of this production within Europe is vast. According to Eurostat slaughtering statistics, at the end of 2024, the EU housed approximately 132 million pigs and 72 million bovine animals²⁷. The EU's pigmeat production reached 21.1 million tonnes in 2024²⁷. Germany represents a massive vector in this market; Eurostat data reveals that in 2022, German commercial slaughtering processed over 47 million pigs, yielding nearly 4.5 million tonnes of pork, alongside massive bovine production²⁸. In 2024, Germany produced 4.3 million tonnes of pigmeat, second only to Spain's 5.0 million tonnes, underscoring the critical macroeconomic necessity of accurate yield calculation methodologies²⁷.

Thermodynamic Chilling, Cooler Shrink, and Muscle Histology

Following the generation of the Hot Carcass Weight and the initial regulatory grading, the carcass must be rapidly chilled to arrest the proliferation of spoilage microorganisms. However, this thermodynamic intervention precipitates the next major phase of yield loss, known as cooler shrink.

A freshly slaughtered bovine or porcine carcass consists of approximately 70% to 75% water¹³. As the hot, highly humid carcass is introduced to the cold, mechanically circulated air of the chilling cooler, a massive vapor pressure deficit is created between the surface of the meat and the ambient environment. This differential drives aggressive evaporative cooling and the sublimation of both intracellular and extracellular water¹³.

In traditional air-chilling systems, a beef carcass will lose between 2% and 5% of its total mass in the first 24 to 48 hours, permanently converting the HCW into the Cold Carcass Weight (CCW)¹³. To mitigate this catastrophic economic loss, advanced industrial abattoirs utilize

spray-chilling technologies, intermittently misting the carcass with atomized cold water during the initial 8 to 12 hours of chilling. This establishes a localized micro-humidity barrier that can reduce cooler shrink to less than 1%¹⁴. Porcine carcasses experience similar evaporative physics, typically shedding 3.5% to 4% of their weight during the chilling phase⁷. Notably, carcasses possessing a thick, uninterrupted layer of subcutaneous fat exhibit significantly lower rates of cooler shrink, as the dense lipid barrier actively repels moisture transpiration, protecting the underlying lean muscle tissue from dehydration¹¹.

The micro-structural properties of the skeletal muscle fibers actively govern this moisture retention. Skeletal muscle is broadly categorized into distinct fiber types: Type I (slow-twitch oxidative), Type IIa (fast-twitch oxidative-glycolytic), and Type IIb/IIx (fast-twitch glycolytic)¹. Muscles heavily involved in sustained postural support feature high concentrations of Type I fibers, which possess abundant myoglobin¹. Conversely, muscles designed for rapid locomotive bursts feature higher proportions of Type IIb fibers, which rely on anaerobic glycolysis. Research demonstrates a definitive correlation between a high proportion of Type IIb fibers and an accelerated post-mortem rate of anaerobic glycolysis¹. This rapid accumulation of lactic acid drives a precipitous drop in muscle pH while carcass temperatures remain high, leading to the premature denaturation of myofibrillar proteins (myosin and actin). Denatured proteins lose their electrostatic ability to bind water, resulting in meat that aggressively purges moisture during vacuum storage and retail display, drastically reducing both juiciness and wholesale yield¹.

Bovine Carcass Dissection and Primal Yield Mapping

Once the CCW is established and stabilized, the beef carcass undergoes rigorous anatomical dissection. The foundational principle of bovine butchery is that a carcass is not a homogenous block of meat; rather, it is a highly specialized biological machine. The ultimate yield of specific retail cuts is dictated entirely by the underlying evolutionary architecture of the animal's musculoskeletal system¹.

The bovine vertebral column—following the formula C7, T13, L6, S5, Cd18-20 (representing cervical, thoracic, lumbar, sacral, and caudal vertebrae)—dictates the primary divisions along the dorsal axis¹. The primary division of the bovine side is universally known in North American practice as the "Chicago break," which severs the carcass precisely between the 12th and 13th thoracic ribs into the forequarter (comprising approximately 52% of the weight) and the hindquarter (comprising approximately 48%)¹.

The execution of pristine primal separation relies on the precise metallurgical geometry of hand tools. The forged meat cleaver utilizes high-carbon spring steel (such as 5160) ground to an obtuse, convex edge geometry¹. This massive convex profile absorbs and laterally dissipates the immense concussive shock of striking high-density cortical bone, preventing the brittle failure that would destroy a standard knife¹. Conversely, the manual butcher's bow saw utilizes high-tensioned blades engineered to minimize kerf loss—the total width of the material physically removed and destroyed by the saw teeth. Minimizing kerf loss is critical not only for yield preservation but for mitigating bone dust. If marrow and pulverized bone fragments are not aggressively scraped away, they act as a highly nutrient-dense catalyst for the rapid

proliferation of *Pseudomonas* spp., leading to deep-tissue putrefaction known as "bone sour"¹. Assuming a standard 1,200-pound live steer with a 62% dressing percentage (744 lbs HCW) and a conservative 3.5% cooler shrink, the resulting 718-pound CCW will yield an average cutability of approximately 65%. This translates to roughly 460 to 490 pounds of trimmed, boneless retail beef returned to the kitchen³. The remaining carcass mass is relegated to roughly 110 to 150 pounds of dense cortical bone and 100 to 150 pounds of raw fat trim¹⁸.

The Forequarter Primals (52% of Carcass Weight)

The forequarter houses the heavily exercised muscles of the animal's front locomotive and postural systems. It contains high concentrations of dense connective tissue and yields cuts that generally require moist-heat cooking methodologies.

The Chuck primal represents the massive shoulder and neck region, accounting for nearly 26.8% of the total HCW¹. Anatomically encompassing the cervical vertebrae through the 5th rib, it yields approximately 90 pounds of retail roasts and ground beef¹. Modern seam-butcery techniques meticulously navigate the complex intersecting planes of the chuck to isolate specific muscles. The extraction of the *infraspinatus* muscle yields the highly tender Flat Iron steak, while the isolation of the *serratus ventralis* produces the deeply marbled Denver cut¹. The Rib primal spans the dorsal aspect of ribs 6 through 12, representing roughly 9.6% of the HCW¹. Its extraordinary commercial value is derived from the fact that the primary epaxial muscles—specifically the massive *longissimus dorsi* (ribeye) and the crescent-shaped *spinalis dorsi* (rib cap)—perform relatively little mechanical work during the animal's life. This results in minimal collagen cross-linking and exceptional tenderness¹. The rib primal yields approximately 35 pounds of premium retail meat⁹.

The ventral aspect is divided into the Brisket and Shank (6.9% of HCW) and the Short Plate (8.3% of HCW)⁹. The deep and superficial pectoral muscles form the brisket, a dense tissue heavily interleaved with fat that requires low-and-slow thermodynamic degradation to render the tough collagen fibers into soluble gelatin¹. The short plate, highly fatty and cartilaginous, contains the vital attachments of the diaphragm, yielding the highly flavorful skirt steak (*pars costalis*) and hanger steak (*crus*)¹.

The Hindquarter Primals (48% of Carcass Weight)

The hindquarter is economically stratified, producing the absolute most expensive, highly demanded steaks alongside large, extremely lean roasting joints.

The Loin primal is the anatomical continuation of the back through the lumbar vertebrae, representing 17.2% of the HCW¹. Because the lumbar region completely lacks ribs, the transverse processes provide the only bony lateral support. The immense economic value of the short loin is predicated on the presence of two distinct muscles situated on opposite sides of the lumbar vertebrae. Dorsal to the transverse processes lies the *longissimus dorsi* (yielding the New York Strip), while nested securely inside the abdominal cavity lies the *psoas major* (the Tenderloin)¹. Because the *psoas major* acts merely to flex the hip during locomotion, it performs almost zero mechanical work, establishing it as the absolute most tender muscle in the carcass. The entire loin primal yields approximately 110 pounds of usable bone-in meat, or 80 pounds of highly trimmed boneless steaks⁹.

The Round encompasses the entire hind leg and rump, accounting for a massive 22.4% of the HCW¹. Formed by the heavy locomotive muscles such as the *semimembranosus* (Top Round), *biceps femoris* (Bottom Round), and *semitendinosus* (Eye of Round), this primal is exceptionally lean¹. It yields approximately 85 to 120 pounds of meat perfectly suited for thinly sliced roast beef, deli meats, and jerky¹.

Finally, the Flank comprises the muscular wall of the ventral abdomen (*rectus abdominis*), accounting for 5.2% of the HCW¹. Characterized by a coarse, highly visible linear muscle fiber orientation, the flank possesses a robust beef flavor but must be carved strictly perpendicular to the grain to mechanically shorten the tough myofibrillar bundles prior to mastication¹.

The Physics, Economics, and Sensory Impact of Dry Aging

The baseline yield calculations projecting 490 retail pounds from a 1,200-pound steer fundamentally assume the application of standard wet-aging protocols. Wet aging involves sealing the subprimals in impermeable vacuum packaging, trapping the moisture securely within the tissue boundaries while allowing enzymatic tenderization to occur in a refrigerated environment³³. However, if a processing facility engages in traditional dry aging, the yield mathematics are catastrophically altered³¹.

Dry aging is a complex procedure where unpackaged beef carcasses or primals are suspended in highly specialized, climate-controlled coolers—strictly maintained between 0°C and 4°C with a relative humidity of 75% to 80% and continuous, aggressive airflow—for periods ranging from 28 to 120 days³¹. This environment triggers a dual-action biochemical and physical metamorphosis. Enzymatic proteolysis, driven primarily by endogenous calpains and cathepsins, degrades the myofibrillar proteins (titin, nebulin, and desmin), drastically improving the measured tenderness of the meat³⁴. Simultaneously, massive moisture evaporation concentrates the lipophilic flavor compounds, while controlled microbial colonization on the meat surface generates highly complex, novel flavor profiles.

This process incurs severe, compounding economic penalties. The primary vector of loss is moisture shrinkage. Studies indicate that a primal will lose up to 5% of its original weight within just 14 days³¹. Over a 21-day aging period, a steak will lose 10% of its weight strictly through evaporation³¹. This progresses to a 15% loss at 30 days, 23% at 50 days, and a staggering 35% loss of total mass if pushed to 120 days³¹. Interestingly, sophisticated environmental studies manipulating Relative Humidity (RH) between 50%, 70%, and 85% demonstrated that while lower RH triggers a faster rate of initial moisture loss, it does not induce a "case hardening" effect that traps internal moisture; the total overall moisture loss remains statistically similar across the extended aging period³⁵.

The secondary vector of economic loss is trim waste. The continuous exposure to oxygen and aerobic microflora creates a deeply dehydrated, oxidized, and heavily molded outer crust, frequently referred to in the industry as the pellicle³¹. While this crust acts similarly to a cheese rind, protecting the sterile internal tissue, it is entirely inedible and must be aggressively

trimmed away using a manual bow saw or breaking knife prior to retail portioning. This necessary trimming results in an additional 3% to 24% loss of total mass³¹.

To mitigate these catastrophic losses, modern processors occasionally utilize highly moisture-permeable dry-aging bags. Research indicates that these specialized polymers allow for the concentration of flavor and the reduction of microbial spoilage while significantly decreasing overall moisture and trim loss³¹. However, traditional operators maintain that authentic dry aging develops a superior sensory profile. Sensory descriptive analysis panels document a dramatic shift in flavor; while wet-aged beef features a sour, strong, serummy flavor, 30-day dry-aged steaks develop deep notes of brown roasted meat and "buttered popcorn," progressing into highly intense, "funky" profiles at 90 to 120 days³¹. Consequently, because a bone-in short loin that weighs 35 pounds wet may ultimately yield less than 20 pounds of saleable dry-aged steaks, the retail price per pound must be exponentially inflated to recapture the lost biomass and the heavy overhead costs of climate-controlled inventory storage³³.

Porcine Carcass Dissection and Primal Yield Mapping

The fabrication of the porcine carcass follows a fundamentally different anatomical logic than beef, driven by the presence of the skin, the distribution of heavy subcutaneous fat, and the unique culinary demands of the global pork market. The industry calculates Standardized Fat-Free Lean utilizing complex predictive equations incorporating Hot Carcass Weight, 10th rib backfat depth, and Loin Muscle Area to accurately forecast the ultimate meat yield³⁸.

From a 250-pound live hog exhibiting a typical 72% dressing percentage, the 180-pound HCW is chilled to approximately 173 pounds⁷. When this carcass is fabricated into its retail cuts, the butcher removes bone dust, cartilaginous tissue, and specific fat pockets, achieving a final packaged retail yield of approximately 74% of the CCW. This generates roughly 135 to 144 pounds of take-home meat¹⁵. Ultimately, this equates to approximately 57% of the original live animal transitioning successfully "from the pen to the pan"¹⁶.

The porcine carcass is partitioned into five primary wholesale cuts:

1. **The Ham (18% of live weight):** Comprising the massive hind leg. Unlike the beef round, which is highly separated into numerous individual steaks and roasts, the porcine ham is frequently preserved whole. It yields premium cured hams, fresh hams, heavy skin, and rendering fat⁷.
2. **The Loin (16% of live weight):** Extending along the dorsal spine, the pork loin is universally left intact during the initial breakdown, unlike the beef carcass which severs the rib from the short loin. It yields the highly prized center-cut pork chops, baby back ribs, and the delicate pork tenderloin⁷.
3. **The Side / Belly (10% of live weight):** The ventral abdomen, anatomically equivalent to the beef short plate and flank. It is arguably the most economically vital primal in the North American market, characterized by alternating strata of soft adipose tissue and striated muscle, yielding bacon and spare ribs⁷.
4. **The Shoulder / Boston Butt (8% of live weight):** The dorsal portion of the forequarter. It is heavily marbled with intramuscular fat and possesses extensive connective tissue, establishing it as the premier cut for low-temperature, extended-duration barbecuing

(pulled pork)⁷.

5. **The Picnic (8% of live weight):** The ventral portion of the forequarter, yielding bone-in roasts and heavy connective tissue ideal for sausage production⁷.

The remainder of the carcass, frequently classified as the "fifth quarter" or edible offal, accounts for a massive 29.73% of the animal, including the jowls, trotters (feet), tail, neckbones, organs, and the heavy rendering fat vital for lard production⁷.

Lipid Biochemistry: Fatty Acid Profiling and Utilization

The extraction and purification of animal fat—converting raw, cellular adipose tissue into shelf-stable, pure culinary lipids—is a highly sophisticated thermodynamic and chemical process known as rendering. In the culinary and commercial sectors, rendered beef fat is legally and culturally classified as *tallow*, while rendered pork fat is classified as *lard*⁴¹.

Anatomical Sources of Lipid Biomass

The physical quality, total yield, and chemical stability of the resulting fat are entirely dependent on the specific anatomical origin of the raw adipose tissue.

In bovines, the absolute highest quality fat is the Kidney or Leaf Suet. This is the perirenal fat deposited strictly around the kidneys and inside the pelvic cavity to physically protect vital organs¹⁹. Suet features minimal cellular connective tissue and a very low moisture content (ranging from 5% to 7%). Raw suet consists of a massive 86% to 90% pure lipid fraction¹⁹. Conversely, deckle and external trim—the subcutaneous fat harvested from the brisket cap, ribeye lip, and flank—contain significantly higher levels of moisture (15% to 22%) and dense collagen matrices, yielding only 62% to 76% pure lipid and producing a softer, slightly darker final tallow¹⁹.

In porcines, the exact anatomical equivalent of bovine kidney suet is Leaf Lard, harvested directly from the visceral cavity. It is the cleanest, most neutral-tasting fat on the pig, highly prized by pastry chefs for its unique ability to create perfectly flaky pie crusts without imparting a savory, "piggy" flavor⁴⁵. Fatback (Backfat) represents the dense layer of subcutaneous fat running directly beneath the skin along the dorsal spine; it is slightly softer than leaf lard and is heavily utilized in charcuterie and sausage emulsifications⁴⁵.

Molecular Structure and Culinary Physics

The profound physical differences between beef tallow (which is hard, brittle, and waxy at room temperature) and pork lard (which is soft, plastic, and creamy) are dictated precisely at the molecular level by their respective fatty acid profiles⁴². Animal fats are primarily composed of triglycerides—three individual fatty acids esterified to a single glycerol backbone⁴¹. The ratio of saturated to unsaturated fatty acids strictly governs the fat's melting point, oxidative stability, iodine value, and ultimate smoke point⁴².

Fatty Acid Type	Specific Acid	Beef Tallow (Avg %)	Pork Lard (Avg %)	Molecular and Culinary Impact

Saturated	Palmitic (C16:0)	26%	24%	Provides dense, solid structure and exceptional thermal stability. ⁴²
Saturated	Stearic (C18:0)	19%	14%	Highly stable, cholesterol-neutral; raises the melting point significantly. ⁴²
Total Saturated		~50%	~39%	Tallow is significantly harder and more waxy due to massive Stearic content. ⁴²
Monounsaturated	Oleic (C18:1)	42%	46%	Lowers melting point; provides smooth mouthfeel. ⁴²
Polyunsaturated	Linoleic (C18:2)	3% - 4%	11% - 17%	Possesses multiple double bonds; highly susceptible to oxidation/rancidity. ⁴²

Because beef tallow possesses a massive ~50% saturated fat load (dominated heavily by palmitic and stearic acids), its melting point is exceptionally high, typically ranging from 42°C to 48°C (107°F to 118°F)⁴². It remains entirely rigid at room temperature. Pork lard, possessing a higher concentration of oleic acid and significantly less stearic acid, melts at a much lower threshold between 35°C and 40°C (95°F to 104°F), granting it a highly plastic, spreadable consistency⁴¹.

Furthermore, saturated fats are chemically stable under intense kinetic heat because their

carbon chains lack vulnerable double bonds. Tallow, highly saturated and meticulously rendered, boasts a smoke point approaching 400°F (204°C) to 425°F (218°C)¹⁹. This high thermal tolerance makes it the supreme medium for repeated, high-velocity deep frying; it rapidly transfers heat, driving off surface moisture to create a shattered, crystalline crust on fried foods⁴². Lard, hindered by its massive load of polyunsaturated linoleic acid (which can reach up to 17%), suffers from thermal degradation much faster, presenting a smoke point closer to 370°F (188°C)⁴². However, the specific crystalline structure of lard allows it to be physically cut into cold flour without immediately melting. When the pastry enters the oven, the water within the dough flashes to steam, while the lard melts slowly, creating distinct, highly separated structural layers (flakiness)⁴⁵. Tallow is generally too hard and waxy for delicate pastry applications.

Beyond the kitchen, the unique lipid profiles of rendered animal fats drive massive secondary industries. The cosmetic industry heavily utilizes wet-rendered, ultra-pure tallow because its fatty acid profile exhibits a 70% similarity to human sebum, allowing for deep epidermal penetration and barrier repair without synthetic chemical emulsifiers⁴⁴. Additionally, the high saturation of beef tallow makes it a highly preferred, economically viable feedstock for the

production of biodiesel (alkyl esters), offering a superior cetane number and lower NO_x emissions compared to plant-based lipids, while preventing competition with arable food crop land⁵³. The aquaculture sector similarly utilizes rendered poultry fat, beef tallow, and pork lard as high-energy density lipid supplements in commercial fish feeds⁵⁴.

The Thermodynamics of Rendering Methodologies

To successfully convert raw adipose tissue into pure tallow or lard, the cellular structure must be thermally ruptured, releasing the enclosed triacylglycerols while physically separating them from the intrinsic moisture and structural proteins (cracklings or greaves)⁴⁹. The chosen methodology drastically impacts the final chemical quality, altering parameters such as the acid value and peroxide value, which indicate the degree of hydrolytic breakdown and oxidative rancidity, respectively⁴⁷.

Wet rendering is universally recognized as the high-purity standard. This process involves introducing a specific volume of water (e.g., 1 cup per 5 lbs of finely ground suet) into the rendering vessel and utilizing a low, highly controlled thermal environment, typically not

exceeding 70°C (158°F)¹⁹. Because water and lipids are highly immiscible (hydrophobic/polar opposites), the water acts as a dense thermal buffer, preventing localized scorching of the cellular proteins¹⁹. Crucially, the aromatic compounds responsible for the intense "beefy" or "porky" smells are hydrophilic. During wet rendering, these impurities readily dissolve into the aqueous phase, leaving the floating lipid phase exceptionally pure, virtually odorless, and snow-white upon cooling¹⁹. While wet rendering yields a product with lower peroxide and acid values, it requires meticulous multi-stage filtration (utilizing centrifuges or fine-mesh sieves) to completely remove the aqueous layer¹⁹.

Dry rendering utilizes direct, dry heat (typically in an oven, open pan, or industrial disc dryer) without the addition of exogenous water, driving off the tissue's natural moisture strictly through evaporation⁴⁹. Operating at much higher temperatures (often 104°C to 120°C), the cellular proteins undergo aggressive Maillard reactions, producing crispy, golden cracklings¹⁹. While dry rendering (and emerging technologies like microwave extraction) is significantly faster and generally achieves a higher total volumetric yield of oil, the lack of an aqueous phase means hydrophilic impurities remain trapped within the lipid matrix⁵³. Furthermore, the elevated temperatures risk the thermal oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Consequently, dry-rendered fats feature a darker, cream-to-golden color, a strong roasted meat aroma, and a higher acid value, making them ideal for savory frying but entirely unsuitable for delicate baking or cosmetic applications⁴⁹.

Complete Kitchen Mapping: From Paddock to Plate

Synthesizing the physiological data, processing shrinkages, and rendering efficiencies allows for the exact mathematical quantification of the biomass that ultimately crosses the threshold of the commercial kitchen. The following matrices map the biological extraction of value from the live animal down to the exact poundage of retail cuts and usable culinary fat.

Case Study A: The 1,200 lb Bovine (Beef)

The baseline is a 1,200-pound, grain-fed, Yield Grade 3 steer.

1. **Live Weight:** 1,200 lbs.
2. **Dressing Percentage:** 62.5%³.
3. **Hot Carcass Weight (HCW):** 750 lbs. This reflects a loss of 450 lbs to the hide, head, hooves, blood, and massive gastrointestinal fill¹⁸.
4. **Cooler Shrink:** 3.5% moisture evaporation during the chilling phase¹³.
5. **Cold Carcass Weight (CCW):** 723 lbs.
6. **Fabrication Yield:** The carcass is broken down into retail cuts, fat trim, and bone. Average modern cutability yields approximately 65% of the HCW as boneless, trimmed beef, 20% as fat trim, and 15% as bone¹⁸.
 - **Total Trimmed Retail Beef:** ~490 lbs¹⁸.
 - *Ground Beef / Lean Trim:* 185 lbs.
 - *Chuck Roasts & Steaks:* 90 lbs.
 - *Round Roasts & Steaks:* 85 lbs.
 - *Rib & Loin Steaks (Premium):* 80 lbs.
 - *Plate / Flank / Brisket:* 50 lbs.
 - **Total Raw Fat Trim:** ~150 lbs¹⁸.
 - **Total Bone Waste:** ~110 lbs¹⁸.
7. **Tallow Rendering Yield:** Not all 150 lbs of raw fat translates to pure lipid. Assuming a standard mix of premium kidney suet (yielding roughly 88%) and lower-grade subcutaneous trim (yielding roughly 70%), the average lipid recovery rate during a controlled wet render is approximately 75%¹⁹.

- **Usable Rendered Tallow:** $150 \text{ lbs} \times 0.75 = 112.5 \text{ lbs}$.

Final Bovine Kitchen Return:

From a 1,200 lb living animal, the commercial kitchen receives exactly **490 lbs of retail beef** and **112.5 lbs of pure, shelf-stable beef tallow**. Total usable culinary mass equates to 602.5 lbs, representing exactly 50.2% of the animal's original live weight.

Case Study B: The 250 lb Porcine (Pork)

The baseline is a 250-pound, commercially raised market hog.

1. **Live Weight:** 250 lbs.
2. **Dressing Percentage:** 72.0%¹⁵.
3. **Hot Carcass Weight (HCW):** 180 lbs. This reflects a loss of 70 lbs to blood, hair, and viscera. The heavy skin and head remain intact, driving the high yield¹⁶.
4. **Cooler Shrink:** 3.8% moisture evaporation during chilling⁷.
5. **Cold Carcass Weight (CCW):** 173 lbs.
6. **Fabrication Yield:** The carcass is partitioned into primary retail cuts. The retail yield is generally 75% to 80% of the CCW, as many cuts (such as chops and ribs) remain bone-in¹⁵.
 - **Total Retail Pork:** ~135 lbs¹⁶.
 - *Ham (Cured/Fresh):* 45 lbs.
 - *Loin (Chops/Roasts):* 40 lbs.
 - *Belly (Bacon):* 25 lbs.
 - *Shoulder/Picnic (Sausage/Pulled):* 25 lbs.
 - **Total Raw Fat for Rendering:** The pig generates a massive amount of fat, roughly 15% of the total carcass weight directed specifically toward lard production⁶³. This equates to approximately 27 lbs of raw fat, comprising a mix of leaf lard and fatback.
 - **Bone / Cartilage / Dust Waste:** ~11 lbs (Minimal, as the vast majority of bone mass remains embedded in the retail cuts).
7. **Lard Rendering Yield:** Porcine fat contains highly pure lipid matrices. Assuming an 80% recovery rate during a low-temperature wet render designed to protect the delicate fatty acid profile¹⁹:
 - **Usable Rendered Lard:** $27 \text{ lbs} \times 0.80 = 21.6 \text{ lbs}$.

Final Porcine Kitchen Return:

From a 250 lb living animal, the commercial kitchen receives exactly **135 lbs of retail pork** and **21.6 lbs of pure, shelf-stable pork lard**. Total usable culinary mass equates to 156.6 lbs, representing a highly efficient 62.6% of the animal's original live weight.

Works cited

1. 47 Bovine Manual Butchery Dissection Guide.pdf
2. Meat Processing Weights: Live, Hanging & Packaged Weights | Friesla, <https://friesla.com/blog/understanding-meat-processing-weights/>
3. Meat Weight Calculator: Hanging Weight and Carcass Yield | Cattle,

- <https://cattly.io/resources/calculators/meat-weight-calculator>
4. At-Home Hog Slaughter - SDSU Extension,
<https://extension.sdstate.edu/home-hog-slaughter>
 5. At-Home Hog Slaughter AS-671-W - Purdue Extension,
<https://www.extension.purdue.edu/extmedia/AS/AS-671-W.pdf>
 6. Carcass Yield in Meat Production: Factors and Importance,
<https://agriculture.institute/meat-animals-abattoir-practices/carcass-yield-meat-production-factors-importance/>
 7. Bulletin #1073, Understanding Pork Yields,
<https://extension.umaine.edu/publications/1073e/>
 8. Assan, N. (2015). Some factors influencing dressing percentage in,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283300868_Assan_N_2015_Some_factors_influencing_dressing_percentage_in_goat_meat_production_ISSN_2322-2433_Scientific_Journal_of_Review_Volume_4_Issue_10_Pages_156-164
 9. How Many Pounds of Meat Can We Expect From A Beef Animal?,
<https://beef.unl.edu/beefwatch/2020/how-many-pounds-meat-can-we-expect-beef-animal/>
 10. Understanding dressing percentage of slaughter cattle | Alberta.ca,
<https://www.alberta.ca/understanding-dressing-percentage-of-slaughter-cattle>
 11. Custom Beef Processing: Expected Yields | Oklahoma State University,
<https://extension.okstate.edu/fact-sheets/custom-beef-processing-expected-yields>
 12. Understanding Beef Carcass Yields and Losses During Processing,
<https://extension.psu.edu/understanding-beef-carcass-yields-and-losses-during-processing>
 13. How much meat should a beef animal yield? - Livestock,
<https://livestock.extension.wisc.edu/articles/how-much-meat-should-a-beef-animal-yield/>
 14. Bulletin #1072, Understanding Beef Yields,
<https://extension.umaine.edu/publications/1072e/>
 15. How much meat should a hog yield? - Livestock,
<https://livestock.extension.wisc.edu/articles/how-much-meat-should-a-hog-yield/>
 16. How Much Meat? - Oklahoma Department of Agriculture,
<https://ag.ok.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/HOW-MUCH-MEAT-BROCHURE-HOG-MEAT.pdf>
 17. Pork Cut Chart - Ridgemeade Ranch,
<https://www.ridgemeaderanch.com/pork-cut-chart>
 18. How Much Meat Can You Expect from a Fed Steer? - SDSU Extension,
<https://extension.sdstate.edu/how-much-meat-can-you-expect-fed-steer>
 19. Beef Tallow Rendering Purity Calculator - True Nature Meats,
<https://www.truenaturemeats.com/pages/beef-tallow-rendering-purity-calculator>
 20. How can I efficiently render 100 lbs of suet into tallow in a home,
<https://cooking.stackexchange.com/questions/12535/how-can-i-efficiently-render>

[r-100-lbs-of-suet-into-tallow-in-a-home-kitchen](#)

21. Pork Carcass Grades and Standards | Agricultural Marketing Service,
<https://www.ams.usda.gov/grades-standards/pork-carcass-grades-and-standard-s>
22. Effizienzsteigerung entlang der Wertschöpfungskette Schweine,
<https://opendata.uni-halle.de/bitstream/1981185920/32499/1/Effizienzsteigerung%20entlang%20der%20Wertscho%CC%88pfungskette%20Schweinefleisch%20in%20China%20-%20Das%20Potential%20der%20Schlachtschweine-Klassifizierung%20fu%CC%88r%20ULB.pdf>
23. Lebensmittel oder „Abfall“?,
https://www.bundestieraerztekammer.de/btk/dtbl/archiv/2010/artikel/DTB05_Trimming.pdf
24. VERORDNUNG (EG) Nr. 1249/2008 DER KOMMISSION - EUR-Lex,
<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/DE/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008R1249>
25. Gerold Rahmann (2024): Ökologische Schaf- und Ziegenhaltung. 6,
https://literatur.thuenen.de/digbib_extern/dn068481.pdf
26. Status Quo der Kälberhaltung in Deutschland und Ausmaß,
<https://www.uni-kassel.de/fb11agrar/index.php?elD=dumpFile&t=f&f=5367&token=864c1540d9af3363114de1f03a954ea0c9c0f268>
27. Agricultural production - livestock and meat - European Commission,
https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Agricultural_production_-_livestock_and_meat
28. Commercial slaughtering - German Federal Statistical Office,
<https://www.destatis.de/EN/Themes/Economic-Sectors-Enterprises/Agriculture-Forestry-Fisheries/Animals-Animal-Production/Tables/3-commercial-slaughtering-year.html>
29. Cooking Techniques for Meat Dishes | PDF | Grilling - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/646945800/4a1a4a46ade4-2>
30. Carcass Dressing Percentage and Cooler Shrink,
https://www.canr.msu.edu/news/carcass_dressing_percentage_and_cooler_shrink
31. Dry aging of beef; Review - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4872334/>
32. A tool to Help Consumers Evaluate the Economics of Purchasing a,
<https://extension.okstate.edu/fact-sheets/print-publications/agec/a-tool-to-help-consumers-evaluate-the-economics-of-purchasing-a-goat-or-sheep-agec-9505-a.pdf>
33. Sensory and Physiochemical Comparison of Traditional Bone-In Dry,
<https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=87390>
34. What Is an Aged Steak? A Guide to Dry-Aged vs Wet-Aged,
<https://slickhornranch.com/blogs/news/what-is-an-aged-steak>
35. Relationship Between Relative Humidity and Moisture Loss in Dry,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367899043_Relationship_Between_Relative_Humidity_and_Moisture_Loss_in_Dry_Aged_Beef
36. Meat and Muscle Biology™ - Impact of Various Dry-Aging Methods,
<https://www.iastatedigitalpress.com/mmb/article/13025/galley/12795/view/>

37. effects of dry-aging on eating quality, physicochemical, and, https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2319&context=open_access_theses
38. Metz | Influence of Increasing Carcass Weights on Pork Carcass, <https://www.iastatedigitalpress.com/mmb/article/id/16304/>
39. How much meat will I take home? - Fuller Consulting, <https://www.meatchris.com/blog/2012/6/1/how-much-meat-will-i-take-home.html>
40. Pork yield guide - Animal to carcass, to primals to cuts, https://projectblue.blob.core.windows.net/media/Default/QSM/PorkYieldGuide_200114_WEB.pdf
41. Lard - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lard>
42. Pork Tallow vs Beef Tallow: What's the Difference and Which to Use?, <https://www.kingtallow.com/blog/pork-tallow-vs-beef-tallow/>
43. Best Cuts of Beef to Use for Rendering Tallow, <https://www.kingtallow.com/blog/best-cuts-of-beef-to-use-for-rendering-tallow/>
44. Best Beef Tallow for Face: The Science-Backed Skincare Revolution, <https://www.tallowmepretty.com/blogs/news/best-beef-tallow-for-face-the-science-backed-skincare-revolution>
45. How to Render Lard the Right Way (Snow White, Odorless), <https://www.myhumblekitchen.com/2011/02/how-render-lard-the-right-way-snow-white/>
46. Tallow vs Lard: Which Fat Is Actually Better for Cooking? - Better Living, <https://betterlivingeveryday.com/tallow-vs-lard/>
47. Physicochemical Differentiation of Lard and Fats of Beef and Chicken, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383601508_Physicochemical_Differentiation_of_Lard_and_Fats_of_Beef_and_Chicken
48. Overview of Oils and Fats | PDF | Triglyceride - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/433031696/oils-And-fats-pdf>
49. How to Choose Feed-Grade Beef Tallow Rendering Equipment?, <https://www.fatrenderingplant.com/how-to-choose-feed-grade-beef-tallow-rendering-equipment-a-complete-production-line-configuration-guide/>
50. a brief review of the literature on animal and vegetable fats with, <https://bibliotekanauki.pl/articles/2175266.pdf>
51. Animal Fat Processing And Rendering For Profit. - GQ Agri, <https://smallagrimachinery.com/blog/animal-fat-processing-and-rendering-for-profit/>
52. Rendered animal fat: a boon to the cosmetic industry - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364119346_Rendered_animal_fat_a_boon_to_the_cosmetic_industry
53. Rendering of Beef Tallow for Biodiesel Production - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/10/4/666>
54. OpenSIUC - Southern Illinois University, https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1030&context=faq_pubs

55. (PDF) Potential, Implications and Solutions Regarding the Use of, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/41024993_Potential_Implications_and_Solutions_Regarding_the_Use_of_Rendered_Animal_Fats_in_Aquafeeds
56. Animal fat-Processing and Its Quality Control - CABI Digital Library, <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20133378987>
57. (PDF) Animal fat-Processing and Its Quality Control - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271103342_Animal_fat-Processing_and_Its_Quality_Control
58. (PDF) Quality and Oxidative Stability of Tallow Extracted by Dry, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387750022_Quality_and_Oxidative_Stability_of_Tallow_Extracted_by_Dry-_and_Wet-Rendering
59. Dry Rendering Methods and Quality Attributes of Chicken Skin Oil, <https://jiip.ub.ac.id/index.php/jiip/article/view/3144>
60. Wet vs Dry Render Tallow: Which Method is Best? | Delizia Naturally, <https://www.delizianaturally.com.au/blogs/blog/tallow-the-wet-or-dry-render-method>
61. Dry Rendering Methods and Quality Attributes of Chicken Skin Oil, <https://jiip.ub.ac.id/index.php/jiip/article/download/3144/783/8453>
62. Evaluation of physicochemical properties and fatty acid profile on, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388054338_Evaluation_of_physicochemical_properties_and_fatty_acid_profile_on_Indonesian_local_beef_tallow_and_lard_using_two_different_rendering_methods
63. Lard marketing margins and costs - AgEcon Search, <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/311236/files/mrr376.pdf>