BI-05 Male hormonal dynamics

1. Introduction: A Tripartite Framework of Endocrine Function

The study of male hormonal dynamics, particularly the action of testosterone, cortisol, and oxytocin, has historically been plagued by folk-psychological reductionism that casts testosterone merely as a biological switch for aggression and antisocial behaviour. Contemporary behavioral endocrinology and neurobiology offer a significantly more complex, context-dependent paradigm of hormone-behaviour interactions. To synthesize this extensive literature rigorously, this report structures its analysis across three distinct but interacting layers of human functionality.

First, the physiological layer encompasses the measurable endogenous production of steroid and peptide hormones, their systemic fluctuations, neurobiological receptor sensitivities, and the neural circuitry they modulate. Second, the behavioural layer evaluates observable psychological and physical actions—such as competitive drive, risk-taking, pair-bonding, and paternal investment—that correlate statistically with hormonal fluctuations. It is paramount to distinguish what is known about statistical averages from what can be said about individuals; behavioural traits exhibit massive, overlapping distributions between men and women, and among individual men. A group-level effect size cannot reliably predict the behaviour of any specific individual. Finally, the cultural layer encompasses the sociological and environmental contexts that define what constitutes a "status threat" or a "social reward," alongside secular, macro-environmental factors that chronically alter baseline physiological states across human generations.

This report will review the contemporary literature on male hormonal dynamics, focusing on the human application of the challenge hypothesis, neurobiological responses to competition, the dual-hormone hypothesis, and the transitions into pair-bonding and fatherhood. Furthermore, it will analyse the robustly documented secular decline in average male testosterone levels since the late twentieth century, examining the strongest evidence on both sides of major controversies within the discipline.

2. Physiology: The Mechanics of Testosterone, Receptor Sensitivity, and Secular Decline

Testosterone is an androgenic steroid hormone produced primarily by the Leydig cells in the testes under the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis. While standard clinical and popular models emphasize absolute serum concentrations, behavioral endocrinology increasingly recognizes that cellular sensitivity to testosterone is just as critical as circulating volume in predicting phenotypic and behavioral outcomes.

2.1 The Androgen Receptor and Individual Variance

The efficacy of testosterone at the cellular level is heavily modulated by the androgen receptor (AR) gene, located on the X chromosome. Exon 1 of this gene contains a polymorphic sequence of variably repeated CAG (cytosine-adenine-guanine) triplets, which encodes a polyglutamine

tract. In healthy males, the number of these repeats typically ranges from 11 to 36. Crucially, the length of this repeat sequence is inversely correlated with the transcriptional activity of the receptor[1].

Zitzmann (2009) in *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, alongside subsequent large-cohort analyses, demonstrated that fewer CAG repeats result in a more highly sensitive androgen receptor. Consequently, men with shorter CAG repeats require lower circulating testosterone to achieve the same physiological and behavioural androgenic effects[1]. This polymorphism explains why researchers frequently observe weak correlations between baseline testosterone levels and behaviour across broad populations: two men with identical serum testosterone levels of 500 ng/dL may experience vastly different neurobiological effects depending on their CAG repeat length. In behavioural contexts, shorter CAG repeat lengths have been associated with increased competitive confidence, elevated reactive aggression, and more pronounced acute testosterone spikes during interactions with females[3]. Conversely, longer CAG repeats (indicating lower receptor sensitivity) combined with low testosterone have been linked to an increased risk of insulin resistance and altered spermatogenesis, highlighting the complex interaction between serum hormone levels and genetic receptor architecture[1].

2.2 Age-Related Fluctuation vs. The Secular Decline

When evaluating chronological testosterone dynamics, it is vital to separate age-related longitudinal decline from population-wide secular decline. Harman et al. (2001), publishing the results of the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging in *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, demonstrated that healthy men experience a continuous, age-dependent decline in both total and free testosterone starting in their third decade, largely due to decreasing Leydig cell mass and altered HPG axis feedback mechanisms[6].

However, overlaid upon this natural biological aging process is a dramatic, population-wide secular decline. Lokeshwar et al. (2021) in *European Urology Focus* analyzed data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) covering 4,207 men in the United States. The study revealed that average total testosterone levels in young men decreased from 605.39 ng/dL in the 1999–2000 cohort to 451.22 ng/dL in the 2015–2016 cohort—a reduction of approximately 25% in less than two decades[7]. While rising body mass index (BMI) and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome are leading candidates for this decline, Lokeshwar et al. demonstrated that the decline persisted even when controlling for BMI. Normal-weight men experienced a roughly 20% decline (from 664.79 ng/dL to 529.24 ng/dL) over the same period, indicating that adiposity alone cannot account for the trend[7].

Two primary non-adiposity candidate causes have emerged in the literature:

The first candidate is exposure to Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs). Phthalates, commonly used as plasticizers in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastics and personal care products, act as pervasive anti-androgens in the environment. Meeker and Ferguson (2007) and Meeker et al. (2009) in *Environmental Health Perspectives* demonstrated robust inverse associations between urinary concentrations of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) metabolites and circulating testosterone levels in adult men. Phthalates disrupt testosterone synthesis in the Leydig cells, contributing to both testicular dysgenesis syndrome *in utero* and reduced adult

steroidogenesis[10].

The second candidate is widespread sleep fragmentation and deprivation. A highly publicized experimental study by Leproult and Van Cauter (2011) in *JAMA* featuring a very small sample ($n = 10$) suggested that restricting sleep to five hours a night for one week reduced testosterone by 10% to 15%[13]. However, subsequent large-scale analyses have nuanced this finding. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Su et al. (2021) in *Sleep Medicine* analyzing 18 controlled studies ($n = 252$) found that partial sleep deprivation (5–6 hours per night) did not reliably lower serum testosterone, generating a statistically non-significant standardized mean difference (SMD) of -0.22 ($p = 0.13$). Significant declines were only robustly observed during total sleep deprivation (24+ hours awake), which dropped testosterone by roughly 25%[13]. Thus, while severe acute sleep loss severely disrupts production, chronic partial sleep restriction may be a less primary driver of the secular decline than initial small-sample studies theorized.

Factor Influencing Testosterone	Key Evidence Source	Methodology / Sample Size	Primary Finding regarding Endocrine Disruption	Replication Status
Secular Trend (Population)	Lokeshwar et al. (2021), *Eur Urol Focus*	NHANES dataset, $n = 4,207$	~25% decline in average T from 1999 to 2016, independent of BMI.	Robustly replicated across multiple Western industrialized cohorts.
Phthalate Exposure (EDCs)	Meeker et al. (2009), *Environ Health Perspect*	Observational, urinary metabolites	Inverse correlation between DEHP metabolites and total serum T.	Widely replicated in both animal and human epidemiological models.
Partial Sleep Restriction	Leproult & Van Cauter (2011), *JAMA*	Experimental, $n = 10$	10–15% decline in T after one week of 5-hour sleep limit.	Failed to replicate under meta-analysis for *partial* restriction.

Total Sleep Deprivation	Su et al. (2021), *Sleep Med*	Meta-analysis, $k = 18, n = 2$	~25% decline in T after 24+ hours awake.	Replicated; extreme acute stress reliably halts the HPG axis.

3. Behaviour: The Challenge Hypothesis and Male Competition

Historically, testosterone was conceptualized as a basal, static driver of trait aggression. However, evolutionary endocrinology has definitively shifted toward dynamic state models, the most prominent being the Challenge Hypothesis. Originally proposed by Wingfield et al. (1990) in *The American Naturalist* to explain avian reproductive behaviour, the hypothesis posits that chronically high testosterone levels are physiologically costly. Sustained high testosterone causes immunosuppression, increases metabolic overhead, and interferes directly with paternal care. Therefore, testosterone should remain at a relatively low breeding baseline and only spike to its physiological maximum in response to direct reproductive or social challenges—such as male-male competition, territorial status threats, or the immediate presence of fertile females[15]. In seasonal breeders, this manifests as predictable seasonal variation, with testosterone peaking strictly during the mating season to facilitate competition, before subsiding to allow for parental investment[17].

Archer (2006) in *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* successfully applied the Challenge Hypothesis to humans, proposing that human male testosterone levels fluctuate dynamically in response to status-altering events. In this paradigm, testosterone facilitates behaviors intended to protect or enhance social status. This may include physical aggression, but only when aggression is socially required or culturally permitted to achieve dominance. The hypothesis generates several specific predictions for human males: (1) there is no general increase in unprovoked aggression at puberty despite massive surges in testosterone; (2) men will respond to sexual and competitive stimuli with acute, transient increases in testosterone; and (3) increased testosterone will lead to more competitive behaviours strictly in contexts where status is at stake[15].

3.1 Baseline vs. Reactive Testosterone

The distinction between baseline trait testosterone and reactive state testosterone is central to resolving historical inconsistencies in the literature. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Geniole et al. (2020) in *Hormones and Behavior* quantified these relationships. Baseline, circulating testosterone shares a statistically significant but exceedingly weak association with trait aggression across humans ($r = 0.054$). While the effect is slightly stronger in men ($r = 0.071$) than in women ($r = 0.002$), it remains negligible for predicting individual

behaviour[18].
Conversely, transient fluctuations (changes) in testosterone during or immediately following a competitive interaction are significantly stronger predictors of subsequent behaviour. Changes in testosterone correlated with subsequent aggressive behaviour at $r = 0.108$ overall, and $r = 0.162$ in men[18]. This indicates that the male neuroendocrine system is highly sensitive to environmental cues, utilizing transient hormone spikes to modulate behavioural responses to acute environmental challenges, rather than relying on a static hormonal baseline.

3.2 The Winner-Loser Effect

A core prediction of the Challenge Hypothesis in humans is the "Winner-Loser Effect": the phenomenon wherein winning a competitive interaction elevates testosterone, theoretically priming the individual for subsequent competitive success, while losing depresses testosterone, theoretically promoting behavioral withdrawal and preventing further physiological or social status loss.
Geniole et al. (2017) in *Hormones and Behavior* conducted an updated meta-analysis of the winner-loser effect comprising 60 effect sizes and over 2,500 participants. The authors found a robust, albeit moderate, effect indicating that winners experience a larger increase in testosterone relative to losers (Cohen's $d = 0.20$)[20]. Crucially, the cultural and psychological context dictates the magnitude of this physiological response. The winner effect was found to be highly sensitive to ecological validity; studies conducted outside the laboratory in real-world settings (e.g., actual sports venues like tennis or judo tournaments) yielded a significantly stronger effect size ($d = 0.43$) compared to sterile, laboratory-based competitive games ($d = 0.08$)[20]. This underscores the necessity of psychological salience: if the subject does not genuinely perceive a threat to or elevation of their social status, the neuroendocrine axis will not initiate a response.

4. The Exogenous Testosterone Controversy: Aggression vs. Prosocial Status

If endogenous testosterone spikes dynamically in response to competition, what is the causal effect of artificially elevating testosterone? This question has generated one of the most intense and sustained controversies in behavioral endocrinology.
The "Aggression View" posits that testosterone directly lowers the threshold for reactive aggression. Carré et al. (2017) in *Biological Psychiatry* tested this by administering a single dose of exogenous testosterone or a placebo to 121 healthy young men using a double-blind design. Participants then played the Point Subtraction Aggression Paradigm (PSAP), a well-validated behavioral measure of reactive aggression following social provocation (wherein participants can steal points from an opponent who has stolen from them). Carré found that testosterone did not increase aggression uniformly across the cohort. Instead, it only increased aggressive behavior in men possessing specific pre-existing personality traits: high trait dominance or low

trait self-control[22]. This supports the hypothesis that testosterone amplifies pre-existing behavioural tendencies rather than creating them *de novo*.

The competing "Prosocial Status View" suggests that testosterone does not drive aggression, but rather status-seeking. If a cultural environment rewards generosity with elevated social status, testosterone should theoretically promote prosocial behaviour. Eisenegger et al. (2010) in *Nature* tested this by administering exogenous testosterone (0.5 mg) or a placebo to 60 women playing the Ultimatum Game, an economic paradigm where players must split a sum of money, and unfair offers can be punitively rejected by the receiver. Contrary to folk beliefs associating testosterone with antisocial greed, testosterone administration significantly increased the fairness of their bargaining offers (prosocial behaviour deployed strategically to avoid the status-threat of a rejected offer)[24]. Strikingly, participants who merely believed they had received testosterone—regardless of the actual substance administered—behaved much more aggressively and unfairly, highlighting the powerful confounding effect of cultural assumptions about the hormone overriding biological realities[25].

However, a skeptical, null-effect view has emerged recently in response to the replication crisis in psychology. Nave et al. (2018) conducted a massive replication attempt testing 1,000 men in a randomized, double-blind trial. They found no main effects of exogenous testosterone administration on economic preferences, risk-taking, or generosity in standard behavioral games. This suggests that previous findings, which were often based on smaller sample sizes ($n = 24$ to $n = 120$), may have been statistically underpowered and susceptible to publication bias[26].

The leading critics of the prosocial view argue that findings derived from female cohorts (like Eisenegger's) cannot be seamlessly extrapolated to men due to fundamental differences in endocrine architecture, particularly the aromatization of testosterone into estradiol in the brain. Conversely, critics of Carré argue that laboratory-based aggression paradigms lack real-world validity. The current, precarious consensus is that testosterone acts as a general amplifier of motivational salience. As Schultheiss has argued through his extensive work on "implicit power motivation" (nPower), testosterone acts selectively on individuals whose neural architecture is already implicitly oriented toward dominance and impact over others, scaling affective responses to need-specific rewards or punishments[27].

5. The Dual-Hormone Hypothesis: The Moderating Role of Cortisol

The pervasive inconsistencies in linking baseline testosterone to status-seeking behaviours led to the formulation of the Dual-Hormone Hypothesis by Mehta and Josephs (2010) in *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. This hypothesis posits a complex neuroendocrine interaction between the HPG axis (testosterone) and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (cortisol).

Cortisol is released in response to physiological and psychological stress. The dual-hormone hypothesis suggests that cortisol chemically and neurologically blocks the status-seeking, dominance-enhancing effects of testosterone. Therefore, testosterone should only positively

predict dominance, aggression, or competitive behavior when cortisol levels are intrinsically low[19]. When cortisol is high—indicating high stress, social anxiety, or systemic threat—the organism is shifted into a state of behavioral inhibition, and testosterone's effects are neutralized or even reversed.

While highly influential and biologically plausible—given that cortisol down-regulates HPG axis activity centrally at the hypothalamus and peripherally at the testes—the dual-hormone hypothesis has recently faced severe empirical scrutiny regarding its replicability and overall effect size in human populations.

Dekkers et al. (2019) in *Psychoneuroendocrinology* conducted a comprehensive meta-analytical evaluation of the dual-hormone hypothesis incorporating 33 studies ($n = 8538$). They found that while the interaction between testosterone and cortisol on status-relevant behavior was statistically significant, the effect size was astonishingly small ($r = -0.061$, $p = 0.026$)[29]. Furthermore, Dekkers et al. reported signs of systemic publication bias and analytical flexibility (e.g., researchers manipulating whether to use basal cortisol or reactive cortisol post-hoc to achieve significance). Consequently, while the dual-hormone interaction remains a biologically viable model, its statistical power to predict broad social behaviours in humans is highly limited and should not be treated as a dominant explanatory variable for interpersonal dynamics[29].

6. Mating Effort: Sexual Stimuli, Risk-Taking, and Neurobiology

A primary evolutionary function of testosterone is to facilitate mating effort. In humans, acute exposure to sexual stimuli reliably produces transient surges in testosterone, which subsequently alter behaviour and cognition.

Visual exposure to, and brief social interactions with, attractive females rapidly elevate testosterone in young men. Roney et al. (2003, 2007) in *Evolution and Human Behavior* and van der Meij et al. (2008) in *Hormones and Behavior* demonstrated that a five-minute conversational interaction with a young woman induces a measurable reactive spike in male salivary testosterone[21]. Consistent with individual variance models, van der Meij found that this hormonal response was significantly more pronounced in men who self-reported high aggressive-dominant personality traits, again indicating that biological responses are gated by psychological architecture[21].

This acute testosterone spike frequently translates into behavioural shifts, most notably increased physical risk-taking as an unconscious form of courtship display. In a highly ecologically valid field experiment, Ronay and von Hippel (2010) in *Social Psychological and Personality Science* observed young male skateboarders performing difficult tricks. When observed by an attractive female researcher (as opposed to a male researcher), the skateboarders took greater physical risks, leading to more successful tricks but also significantly more crash landings. Mediational analysis confirmed that the presence of the female elevated the men's testosterone, and this acute hormonal elevation partially mediated

the increase in physical risk-taking[35].

To engage in risk-taking and status-seeking, an organism must overcome fear. Neuroimaging (fMRI) studies provide a physiological mechanism for this phenomenon. The amygdala is a central node in processing fear, emotional recognition, and social threat. The orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) exert top-down inhibitory control over the amygdala, regulating impulsive emotional responses. Hermans et al. (2008), Bos et al., and van Wingen et al. (2010) in *Psychoneuroendocrinology* demonstrated that exogenous testosterone administration rapidly decreases the functional connectivity between the amygdala and the OFC[36]. By temporarily uncoupling the amygdala from prefrontal regulatory control, testosterone biases the brain toward approaching threats rather than avoiding them[36]. This neuroendocrine mechanism explains how a testosterone spike—whether triggered by female presence or male competition—reduces anxiety, impairs cognitive empathy (such as facial emotion recognition), and promotes dominance-oriented risk-taking[37].

7. Parenting Effort: Pair-Bonding, Fatherhood, and Oxytocin

The Challenge Hypothesis predicts a fundamental life-history trade-off: metabolic and temporal energy allocated to mating effort (characterized by high testosterone) cannot be allocated simultaneously to parenting effort. Because human offspring require massive bi-parental investment and extended developmental timelines, evolutionary theory predicts that male testosterone should down-regulate upon entering a committed relationship and transitioning to fatherhood, thereby reducing competitive mating behaviors and facilitating patient paternal care[17].

7.1 The Testosterone Drop in Fathers

This dynamic represents one of the most robustly replicated findings in human behavioral endocrinology. Gray et al. (2002, 2004) in *Evolution and Human Behavior* published pioneering cross-cultural research demonstrating that married men, and specifically married fathers, exhibit significantly lower evening testosterone levels compared to unpaired, childless men[42]. A definitive meta-analysis by Grebe et al. (2019) in *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* synthesized 114 effects from 66 published and unpublished studies. The findings conclusively confirmed the Challenge Hypothesis's prediction: pair-bonded men have lower testosterone than single men, and fathers have lower testosterone than childless men[40]. The effect size is moderate but highly consistent ($r = 0.11$ to 0.19, depending on the operational definitions of pair-bonding in the sub-analyses)[45]. Longitudinal studies reveal that this is largely a causal transition rather than a selection effect: high-testosterone men are actually more likely to acquire mates, but upon forming a committed pair-bond and especially upon the birth of a child, their testosterone levels drop sharply to facilitate caregiving[40].

7.2 Oxytocin and Partner Fidelity

While declining testosterone reduces the biological drive for novel mating, the neuropeptide oxytocin (OXT) actively promotes the maintenance of the existing pair-bond. Historically

associated almost exclusively with female parturition and lactation, oxytocin is now recognized as a critical modulator of male monogamy, fidelity, and social distancing.

In a landmark double-blind, placebo-controlled study, Scheele et al. (2012) in *The Journal of Neuroscience* administered intranasal oxytocin (24 IU) to heterosexual men. The researchers then measured the physical distance the men maintained between themselves and an attractive, unfamiliar female experimenter. Oxytocin administration caused men in committed, monogamous relationships to keep a significantly greater distance (10–15 cm further away) from the attractive woman compared to men given a placebo. Crucially, oxytocin had no effect on the social distance maintained by single men[46].

A subsequent fMRI study by Scheele et al. (2013) in *PNAS* elucidated the neural mechanism behind this behavioral distancing. When pair-bonded men were given intranasal oxytocin, they rated their romantic partner's face as more attractive. Neurobiologically, oxytocin enhanced responses in the brain's core dopamine reward regions—the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and the nucleus accumbens (NAcc)—specifically when viewing their partner, but not when viewing familiar acquaintances or unfamiliar attractive women[49]. Oxytocin thus promotes fidelity not by suppressing the male sex drive generally, but by selectively heightening the reward value of the bonded partner and prompting unconscious physical distancing from potential extra-pair mates.

8. Culture: The Variable Layer of Hormonal Expression

The interaction between physiology and behavior reveals a highly plastic male endocrine system. Rather than being hardwired for perpetual aggression, male physiology utilizes hormones to adapt to the environmental and social context. It is at the cultural layer that these biological levers are manipulated constantly.

Cultural institutions such as monogamous marriage and engaged fatherhood act as frameworks that biologically suppress testosterone, driving men away from socially disruptive mating competition and toward productive paternal investment. Conversely, culture defines what constitutes a status threat. If an organization or society rewards zero-sum, aggressive dominance, highly testosterone-reactive individuals will adapt to those rules and behave aggressively. If culture rewards prosocial cooperation, testosterone will drive individuals to excel at those cooperative behaviors to achieve rank. Furthermore, the modern cultural environment exerts profound unintended effects on physiology; widespread exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and altered sleep patterns are artificially lowering the baseline testosterone of the male population, demonstrating that biology is forever downstream of environment.

9. Summary of Robust Findings

The transition to fatherhood and the establishment of committed pair-bonds cause a robust, moderate, and cross-culturally replicated decline in male testosterone, facilitating a necessary shift from mating effort to parenting effort. In competitive contexts, testosterone rises dynamically in response to status challenges and sexual stimuli, with winning a competition reliably elevating testosterone (Cohen's $d = 0.20$), particularly in ecologically valid, real-world scenarios. Contrary to historical paradigms, testosterone does not blindly cause

physical aggression; rather, it promotes status-seeking behaviour, lowering the threshold for aggression strictly in men with highly dominant or impulsive traits, while promoting prosocial generosity if such behaviour is culturally rewarded. Neurobiologically, testosterone achieves these behavioral shifts by temporarily decoupling the amygdala from the regulatory oversight of the orbitofrontal cortex, biasing the male brain toward threat-approach and risk-taking. Concurrently, the neuropeptide oxytocin actively maintains male pair-bonds by enhancing the dopaminergic reward value of the partner (via the VTA/NAcc) and prompting unconscious physical distancing from novel attractive females. Finally, average male testosterone levels have suffered a secular decline of roughly 25% since 1999, driven independently of BMI by environmental factors, most notably exposure to endocrine-disrupting phthalates.

10. Contested or Open Questions

- **The Dual-Hormone Hypothesis:** While biologically plausible that cortisol inhibits testosterone, meta-analyses reveal that the statistical interaction between testosterone and cortisol in predicting human behavior is incredibly weak ($r = -0.061$), leaving it contested whether this dynamic is practically meaningful outside highly controlled paradigms.
- **Exogenous Testosterone Effects:** Large-sample replication attempts ($n = 1000$) frequently fail to replicate the behavioural shifts in economic games seen in smaller historical cohorts, leaving the true effect size of exogenous administration on complex human decision-making actively debated.
- **Partial Sleep Deprivation:** While total sleep deprivation clearly crashes testosterone production, it remains an open question whether chronic, mild sleep restriction (5-6 hours) meaningfully lowers testosterone, as meta-analyses show highly heterogeneous, mostly null results.
- **AR CAG Repeats in Clinical Practice:** While fewer CAG repeats undeniably correlate with higher androgen receptor sensitivity, it is highly debated whether genetic testing for this polymorphism has any clinical utility in diagnosing hypogonadism or titrating testosterone replacement therapy.

11. Important Sources to Read in Full

1. **Archer, J. (2006).** "Testosterone and human aggression: an evaluation of the challenge hypothesis." *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. (The definitive application of the challenge hypothesis to human males).
2. **Lokeshwar, S.D., et al. (2021).** "Decline in Serum Testosterone Levels Among Adolescent and Young Adult Men in the USA." *European Urology Focus*. (Rigorous documentation of the secular decline using NHANES data).
3. **Geniole, S.N., et al. (2017).** "Effects of competition outcome on testosterone concentrations in humans: An updated meta-analysis." *Hormones and Behavior*. (The most comprehensive quantitative review of the winner-loser effect).
4. **Grebe, N.M., et al. (2019).** "Pair-bonding, fatherhood, and the role of testosterone: A meta-analytic review." *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. (Definitive meta-analysis

establishing the T-drop in fathers and husbands).
5. **Scheele, D., et al. (2012).** "Oxytocin modulates social distance between males and females." *The Journal of Neuroscience*. (Ground-breaking experimental proof of oxytocin's role in male fidelity).
6. **Carré, J.M., et al. (2017).** "Exogenous Testosterone Rapidly Increases Aggressive Behavior in Dominant and Impulsive Men." *Biological Psychiatry*. (Critical study isolating the personality traits required for testosterone to induce aggression).
7. **Eisenegger, C., et al. (2010).** "Prejudice and truth about the effect of testosterone on human bargaining behaviour." *Nature*. (Demonstrates the placebo effect of cultural beliefs about testosterone versus its actual prosocial status effects).
8. **Dekkers, T.J., et al. (2019).** "A meta-analytical evaluation of the dual-hormone hypothesis." *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (Essential reading for understanding the statistical fragility of the testosterone x cortisol interaction).
9. **Meeker, J.D., et al. (2009).** "Urinary metabolites of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate are associated with decreased serum testosterone in men." *Environmental Health Perspectives*. (Key evidence linking plastics to the secular testosterone decline).
10. **Hermans, E.J., et al. (2008).** "Exogenous testosterone attenuates the integrated central stress response in healthy young women." *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (Details the OFC-amygdala decoupling mechanism by which testosterone reduces fear and threat sensitivity).

12. Implications for Living Together

Note: The following implications describe socio-biological dynamics without prescribing them as moral imperatives. Biological tendencies do not dictate ethical goods; to assume otherwise is to commit the naturalistic fallacy.

Understanding male hormonal dynamics offers highly practical, non-deterministic insights for couples, families, and organizations. For couples and families, the knowledge that male testosterone naturally declines upon entering a committed relationship and transitioning to fatherhood should be normalized and anticipated. This hormonal shift is an evolutionary feature, not a deficit; it actively reduces mate-seeking competition and facilitates the patience and low-aggression physiological states required for child-rearing. Attempts to artificially elevate a father's testosterone to youthful, single levels (via exogenous supplementation) without a strict medical need may inadvertently increase irritability, risk-taking, or status-anxiety, potentially destabilizing the family unit. Furthermore, couples can understand that fidelity is supported neurobiologically; shared intimacies that trigger oxytocin actively condition the male brain to find his partner more rewarding and to instinctively maintain physical distance from extra-pair alternatives.

For organizations and societies, the data unequivocally shows that testosterone drives *status-seeking*, not necessarily aggression. If a corporate or societal culture rewards zero-sum, aggressive dominance, highly testosterone-reactive individuals will adapt to those rules and behave aggressively. However, if an organization deliberately institutes cultural norms where status is granted for cooperation, mentorship, or fair bargaining, testosterone will drive individuals to excel at those prosocial behaviors to achieve rank. Society designs the game;

biology merely provides the motivation to win it.
Finally, on a public health level, the 25% secular decline in male testosterone since 1999 poses a slow-moving demographic challenge. While absolute levels are dropping, ensuring young men have adequate sleep, minimal exposure to endocrine-disrupting plastics (phthalates), and healthy metabolic markers is a societal imperative to maintain baseline male vitality, preserve fertility, and prevent premature age-related morbidities.

Works cited

1. Androgen receptor CAG repeat length polymorphism modifies the, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21444647/
2. Contribution of Androgen Receptor CAG Repeat Polymorphism to, https://www.mdpi.com/2673-8856/5/1/9
3. The role of testosterone in coordinating male life history strategies, https://faculty.washington.edu/dtae/manuscripts/Gettler-2017-The%20role%20of%20testoste.pdf
4. How Much Does Androgen Receptor Sensitivity Matter?, https://www.deconstructnutrition.com/how-much-does-androgen-receptor-sensitivity-matter/
5. Hormones and Behavior, https://www.psychologie.uni-freiburg.de/abteilungen/psychobio/neuePublikationen/HormBehav-TestosteroneAngrogenReceptorCompetitiveness17.pdf
6. Harman SM, Metter EJ, Tobin JD, Pearson J, Blackman MR, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12171722_Harman_SM_Metter_EJ_Tobin_JD_Pearson_J_Blackman_MR_Longitudinal_effects_of_aging_on_serum_total_and_free_testosterone_levels_in_healthy_men_Baltimore_Longitudinal_Study_of_Aging_J_Clin_Endocrinol_Meta
7. Testosterone is declining among young men. Why? - GiveLegacy.com, https://www.givelegacy.com/resources/testosterone-decline-among-young-men
8. Association between weight-adjusted waist index and serum total, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10944222/
9. Testosterone Levels by Age Statistics 2026: Charts & Data, https://onetwenty.com/blog/testosterone-levels-by-age-statistics
10. Phthalate-induced testicular dysgenesis syndrome: Leydig cell, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2718776/
11. Urine Di-(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate Metabolites Are ... - ProQuest, https://search.proquest.com/openview/a5c2f7e37d7e6f4b59ecd347dccce9a0/1.pdf?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=54923
12. Urinary metabolites of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate are associated with, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19059903/
13. Lack of Sleep and Lower Testosterone: One Study vs Eighteen, https://fitchef.com/shorts/lack-of-sleep-lower-testosterone/
14. The effect of acute sleep deprivation on skeletal muscle protein, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7785053/
15. Testosterone and human behavior: the role of individual and,

https://wpms.nipissingu.ca/snelab/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2014/10/Carre-Archer-2017.pdf
16. Testosterone response to competition in males is unrelated to, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01240/full
17. Challenge hypothesis - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Challenge_hypothesis
18. Is testosterone linked to human aggression? A meta-analytic, https://knowledge.lancashire.ac.uk/id/eprint/33858/1/33858Geniole%20et%20al%20Revised%20Oct%2030%202019.pdf
19. The Causal Effect of Testosterone on Men's Competitive Behavior is, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9901191/
20. Effects of competition outcome on testosterone concentrations in, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27720891/
21. The presence of a woman increases testosterone in aggressive, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23144599_The_presence_of_a_woman_increases_testosterone_in_aggressive_dominant_men
22. Exogenous Testosterone Rapidly Increases Aggressive Behavior in, https://wpms.nipissingu.ca/snelab/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2014/10/biological-psychiatry.pdf
23. Exogenous Testosterone Rapidly Increases Aggressive Behavior in, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27524498/
24. Testosterone causes both prosocial and antisocial status-enhancing, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5068300/
25. The role of testoterone in social interaction - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51169123_The_role_of_testoterone_in_social_interaction
26. Investigating the effects of single-dose intranasal testosterone on, https://research.hhs.se/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=46SSOE_INST&filePid=13816075400060 56&download=true
27. The hormonal correlates of implicit power motivation - PMC - NIH, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2818294/
28. The role of the dorsoanterior striatum in implicit motivation - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00141/full
29. No Compelling Evidence that Self-Reported Personality Traits, https://d-nb.info/1300105224/34
30. (PDF) Endogenous Testosterone Modulates Prefrontal-Amygdala, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49853456_Endogenous_Testosterone_Modulates_Prefrontal-Amygdala_Connectivity_during_Social_Emotional_Behavior
31. A meta-analytical evaluation of the dual-hormone hypothesis, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30529754/
32. No evidence for relationship between paternal post-partum, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1348031/full

33. The presence of a woman increases testosterone in aggressive, https://www.uv.es/labnsc/art%20labnsc/2008/van%20der%20meij%20et%20al.,%202008%20Horm%20Behav.pdf
34. PNEC1882.published.pdf - University of California, Santa Barbara, https://labs.psych.ucsb.edu/roney/james/PNEC1882.published.pdf
35. (PDF) The Presence of an Attractive Woman Elevates Testosterone, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51017520_The_Presence_of_an_Attractive_Woman_Elevates_Testosterone_and_Physical_Risk_Taking_in_Young_Men
36. The neural mechanisms by which testosterone acts on interpersonal, https://repository.ubn.ru.nl/bitstream/handle/2066/109890/109890.pdf?sequence=1
37. Testosterone reduces functional connectivity during the 'Reading the, https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstreams/c7f232cc-5fdb-4c24-b581-388394d45167/download
38. Radke 1..6, https://d-nb.info/1169754236/34
39. Testosterone administration impairs cognitive empathy in women, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1011891108
40. (PDF) Pair-bonding, Fatherhood, and the Role of Testosterone, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330273872_Pair-bonding_Fatherhood_and_the_Role_of_Testosterone_A_Meta-Analytic_Review
41. Pair-bonding, fatherhood, and the role of testosterone - Ovid, https://www.ovid.com/journals/nebior/pdf/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.01.010~pair-bonding-fatherhood-and-the-role-of-testosterone-a
42. High paternal testosterone may protect against postpartum, https://sites.lifesci.ucla.edu/psych-cds/wp-content/uploads/sites/48/2020/10/Saxbe-et-al-High-paternal-testosterone.pdf
43. Peter B Gray - Google Scholar, https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=qG1bzxsAAAAJ&hl=en
44. Fathers have lower salivary testosterone levels than unmarried men, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1560035/
45. Testosterone Levels and Paternal Involvement - Lirias, https://lirias.kuleuven.be/retrieve/9aa093c8-5ca2-42c8-a795-54a15d38dc3a
46. Oxytocin Modulates Social Distance between Males and Females, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233419175_Oxytocin_Modulates_Social_Distance_between_Males_and_Females
47. Oxytocin modulates social distance between males and females, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23152592/
48. Hormone affects distance men keep from unknown women they find, https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/11/121113174712.htm
49. Oxytocin enhances brain reward system responses in men ... - PNAS, https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1314190110
50. Oxytocin enhances brain reward system responses in men viewing, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3864312/
51. How the brain codes intimacy: The neurobiological substrates ... - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6867116/