CO-05 Cycle-aware and rhythm-aware living

The organization of human interpersonal life—whether within dyads, family units, or broader organizational structures—inevitably intersects with biological rhythms. The premise of "cycle-aware" or "rhythm-aware" living posits that by consciously aligning behavioral expectations, daily schedules, work demands, sexual activity, and interpersonal communication with endogenous circadian (daily), infradian (monthly), and circannual (seasonal) cycles, individuals and communities can optimize well-being. However, navigating the evidence base for these practices requires disentangling robust biological phenomena from statistical artifacts, overlapping population distributions, and culturally driven marketing narratives.

To rigorously evaluate the evidence surrounding rhythm-aware living, this analysis separates the topic into three distinct layers: *physiology* (the measurable, biochemical, and neurological mechanisms), *behavior* (the statistical trends, psychological outputs, and overlapping distributions that emerge from these mechanisms), and *culture* (the variable social constructs, digital technologies, and commercialization strategies built upon biological assumptions).

Layer 1: Physiology (Measurable)

The physiological layer encompasses the objective, measurable variations in human endocrinology and neurobiology over time. The primary focus in this domain involves the female menstrual cycle, male diurnal and seasonal hormonal variations, and the chronobiological sleep-wake cycle governed by the circadian pacemaker. Distinguishing what is known about population averages from what can be observed in specific individuals is critical to interpreting this data.

The Menstrual Cycle: Cognition, Exercise, and Pathophysiological Variance

The female menstrual cycle is an infradian rhythm characterized by predictable, sequential fluctuations in endogenous sex hormones, primarily estradiol and progesterone, orchestrated by the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis alongside luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH)[1]. Culturally, these hormonal shifts are frequently assumed to induce broad, systemic fluctuations in female cognitive and physical capacity, leading to popular recommendations to "sync" cognitive tasks or athletic training to specific cycle phases. However, rigorous physiological testing largely refutes the clinical and practical significance of these shifts for the average healthy female.

Cognitive Performance The hypothesis that cognitive performance fluctuates predictably across the menstrual cycle was tested in a landmark, highly powered longitudinal study by Leeners et al. (2017) published in *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*[3]. The researchers examined visuospatial working memory, cognitive bias, and divided attention across two consecutive menstrual cycles in a sample of 88 women, with 68 successfully completing the rigorous testing protocol for the second consecutive cycle[4]. The methodology involved detailed hormonal monitoring (estrogen, progesterone, testosterone) paired with comprehensive

cognitive testing at four distinct phases of each cycle[4].
During the first cycle, the data suggested a potential negative association between intra-individual changes in progesterone and working memory from the pre-ovulatory to the mid-luteal phase[5]. Crucially, however, this effect completely failed to replicate in the second consecutive cycle within the same individuals[3]. The authors concluded that the initial findings were false positives attributable to random variation and systematic biases, such as practice effects[5]. The study demonstrated no consistent, replicable association between estrogen, progesterone, or testosterone levels and cognitive performance, neither inter-individually nor intra-individually[5]. The implication is profound: for the average healthy woman, fluctuations in cognition are not driven by normal menstrual phase shifts, rendering the practice of "cycle syncing" intellectual or professional workloads biologically unsupported.
Exercise and Physical Performance Similar conclusions have been reached regarding physical performance. A comprehensive systematic review and network meta-analysis by McNulty et al. (2020) in *Sports Medicine* evaluated 78 experimental studies to determine the effect of menstrual cycle phases on exercise performance in eumenorrheic women[10]. Grounded in Bayesian multilevel modeling, the initial meta-analysis pooled pairwise effect sizes comparing exercise performance during the early follicular phase against all other phases[11].
The analysis found a trivial pooled effect size indicating slightly reduced performance during the early follicular phase (median pooled effect size ES = -0.06; 95% credible interval: -0.16 to 0.04)[11]. Seventy-three studies were included in a broader network meta-analysis, which calculated the Surface Under the Cumulative Ranking curve (SUCRA) to summarize the likelihood of poor performance across phases.

Menstrual Phase	SUCRA Value (Likelihood of Poor Performance)	Largest Pairwise Effect Size (ES)
Early Follicular	30% (Lowest relative performance)	-
Late Follicular	53-55%	ES = -0.14 (vs Early Follicular)
Ovulation	53-55%	-
Early/Mid/Late Luteal	53-55%	-

Data sourced from McNulty et al. (2020) network meta-analysis.
[cite: 11, 12, 13]
The largest variance observed was between the early and late follicular phases (ES = -0.14; 95% credible interval: -0.26 to -0.03), indicating a negligible overall impact[11]. Furthermore, the

overall quality of evidence was rated as low (42%), burdened by high between-study heterogeneity, small sample sizes, and inconsistent methodological designs[1]. Colenso-Semple et al. (2023) in *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living* corroborated these findings, noting that menstrual cycle phase does not significantly influence acute strength performance or physiological adaptations to resistance training[14].

While some theoretical physiological mechanisms exist—such as estrogen's potential anabolic effect on skeletal muscle, increased joint laxity near ovulation potentially increasing ACL injury risk, or progesterone's anti-estrogenic effects—these do not translate into measurable, consistent performance deficits[1]. Consequently, population-wide guidelines for organizing athletic training around the menstrual cycle lack a robust physiological rationale; individualized approaches are recommended due to the vast overlap in performance distributions and high individual variance[1].

Pathological Variants: Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD) While average population data demonstrate trivial physiological cycle effects on baseline cognition and physical performance, a distinct physiological subset of women experiences severe, debilitating affective and physical symptoms known as Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD)[16]. PMDD affects approximately 5.5% of women and is characterized by marked affective lability, irritability, depressive mood, and interpersonal conflict confined strictly to the luteal phase, remitting upon the onset of menses[18].

The pathophysiology of PMDD provides a critical insight into the mechanics of biological rhythms: the pathology is driven not by abnormal hormone *levels*, but by an abnormal neurobiological *sensitivity* to normal hormone fluctuations. A pivotal, placebo-controlled study by Schmidt, Eisenlohr-Moul, et al. (2017) in the *American Journal of Psychiatry* tested this mechanism in a sample of 22 women with PMDD[16]. The researchers utilized a GnRH agonist (leuprolide) to induce a temporary state of ovarian suppression (medical menopause) for two to three months[16]. During this suppression, PMDD symptoms completely remitted. Following a single-blind placebo month, the researchers initiated three months of continuous, steady-state add-back of estradiol and progesterone[16].

Crucially, severe PMDD symptoms were triggered immediately during the first month of hormone reintroduction (the *change* from low to high hormone states)[16]. However, during the second and third months of continuous, steady-state high hormone exposure, symptom severity dropped and stabilized to levels indistinguishable from the asymptomatic suppression phase[16]. This provides robust, experimental evidence that PMDD is precipitated by the *fluctuation* or *rate of change* of steroids, rather than the absolute concentration of the hormones[16].

The underlying mechanism is theorized to involve an abnormal sensitivity of the GABA-A receptor to allopregnanolone (ALLO), a neuroactive metabolite of progesterone[20]. Group-based trajectory modeling by Eisenlohr-Moul et al. (2018) in *Psychological Medicine* further identified distinct temporal subtypes of PMDD, noting that some individuals experience rapid symptom onset immediately following ovulation (reacting to the initial post-ovulatory hormone surge), while others experience delayed symptoms confined solely to the premenstrual week (potentially reacting to the precipitous late-luteal withdrawal of steroids)[22]. The dual reality of the

menstrual cycle is thus established: for the average woman, hormonal fluctuations produce trivial, statistically insignificant changes in baseline cognitive and physical output; for a clinically defined subset, the *rate of change* in these exact same hormones acts as a profound neurobiological trigger for affective dysregulation.

Male Diurnal and Seasonal Rhythms

Men are often culturally framed as biologically static, lacking the infradian rhythms characterizing female endocrinology. However, male physiology is heavily governed by circadian (daily) and circannual (seasonal) hormonal rhythms. Svartberg et al. (2003) and Brambilla et al. (2003), publishing in the *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, documented robust diurnal and seasonal rhythms in male testosterone, noting that peak levels reliably occur in the early morning, alongside significant seasonal variations that vary by geographic and cultural populations[23].

The neurobehavioral impact of these acute hormonal states has been studied extensively in high-stress, decision-making environments. Coates and Herbert (2008), in a study published in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*, tracked 17 male financial traders in the City of London[24]. The researchers found that a trader's morning testosterone level positively predicted his financial profitability for that specific day, suggesting that elevated morning testosterone may drive the confidence, risk appetite, and reaction times necessary for short-term economic return[24]. Conversely, the researchers found that a trader's cortisol levels rose synchronously with both the variance (volatility) of his personal trading results and broader market volatility, indicating that cortisol is driven by the unpredictability and risk of the environment[25].

The relationship between testosterone and risk-taking was further explored by Stanton et al. (2011) in *Hormones and Behavior*, utilizing the Iowa Gambling Task[26]. The initial data demonstrated a positive correlation between endogenous testosterone levels and risk-taking propensity, with both high-testosterone men and women making riskier choices than their low-testosterone counterparts[26]. However, third-order neurobiological insights reveal that testosterone is not merely a blunt "risk hormone." Rather, it acts as a modulator of status-seeking behavior, interacting with mesocorticolimbic valuation circuits and attenuating amygdala-prefrontal connectivity related to threat processing[26].

The scientific understanding of this dynamic is increasingly governed by the *dual-hormone hypothesis*, which posits that testosterone's promotion of status-seeking and risk-taking behavior is most pronounced when cortisol levels are low[27]. When cortisol is high (indicating acute stress), the risk-taking effects of testosterone are blunted or suppressed[27]. Furthermore, highly controlled administration studies and meta-analyses have challenged the linearity of the testosterone-risk relationship. A subsequent study by Stanton et al. examining economic risk preferences revealed a non-linear, U-shaped association: individuals with extreme levels of testosterone (both very high and very low, more than 1.5 standard deviations from the mean) were risk and ambiguity neutral, whereas individuals with intermediate levels were significantly more risk averse[28]. Other triple-blind, placebo-controlled administration studies have failed to find a robust causal effect of exogenous testosterone on financial risk-taking altogether,

highlighting the complexity and context-dependence of male hormonal rhythms on behavior[29].

Circadian Rhythms, Chronotypes, and Social Jetlag

A fundamental physiological rhythm governing human cohabitation and societal organization is the circadian sleep-wake cycle. The phase of entrainment—the specific way an individual's endogenous biological clock aligns with the external light-dark cycle—is defined as their chronotype[30]. Roenneberg et al. (2006) in *Current Biology* revolutionized the quantitative measurement of this phenomenon via the development of the Munich ChronoType Questionnaire (MCTQ)[32]. Unlike older preference-based scales (such as the Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire), the MCTQ quantifies actual human sleep behavior[33]. It achieves this by calculating the midpoint of sleep on free days, and critically, correcting this metric for the sleep debt accumulated during work days (a metric designated as MSFsc)[30].

This precise physiological metric allowed researchers to identify and quantify "social jetlag"—the chronic, systemic misalignment between an individual's endogenous biological clock and socially enforced schedules, such as traditional work or school hours[32].

Roenneberg's massive epidemiological datasets demonstrated that chronotype follows a normal distribution across the population, ranging from extreme early types ("larks") to extreme late types ("owls"), with the vast majority falling in between[30]. Furthermore, chronotype is subject to significant biological maturation: individuals progressively delay their sleep phase through adolescence, with females reaching their maximum lateness at an average age of 19.5 years, and males at 21 years, before slowly advancing again as they age[30]. Because the "Social Clock" (enforced school and work start times) has not adjusted to accommodate the wide distribution of Biological Clocks, late chronotypes suffer immense physiological strain. Roenneberg (2006) showed that late chronotypes accumulate severe sleep debt during the workweek, effectively experiencing a physiological shift equivalent to flying several time zones west every Friday and returning every Monday[33].

This chronic biological misalignment is robustly correlated with higher consumption of chemical stimulants (caffeine, nicotine) and increased depressive symptomatology[30]. The physiological reality is that forcing diverse chronotypes into uniform temporal schedules generates a chronic, measurable physiological strain that destabilizes well-being.

Layer 2: Behavior (Statistical, Overlapping Distributions)

The behavioral layer examines how physiological substrates translate into interpersonal dynamics. When individuals form couples, families, or collaborative groups, their endogenous rhythms interact, generating complex statistical trends in mate selection, interpersonal synchronization, and relationship satisfaction. Distinguishing robust behavioral realities from widely publicized myths is essential for evidence-based living.

Mate Selection: The Ovulatory Shift and Pheromone Controversies

A central, highly publicized debate in evolutionary psychology regarding rhythm-aware living is whether female mate preferences unconsciously shift across the menstrual cycle, and whether humans emit and detect physiological signals (pheromones) to communicate fertility status and

modulate sexual behavior.

The Ovulatory Shift Hypothesis The hypothesis that women's mate preferences shift across the ovulatory cycle gained massive cultural traction following early evolutionary psychology studies. Gildersleeve, Haselton, and Fales (2014) published a meta-analysis in *Psychological Bulletin* encompassing dozens of studies, claiming robust evidence for an "ovulatory shift"[37]. The hypothesis posited that during the fertile window (the late follicular and ovulatory phases), women subconsciously shift their sexual preferences toward men displaying cues of high genetic quality, such as masculine facial structures, dominant behavior, and body symmetry[37]. However, this claim was heavily and systematically contested. A simultaneous, highly critical meta-analysis and methodological review by Wood et al. (2014), published in *Emotion Review* and *Psychological Bulletin*, analyzed the exact same literature and found zero evidence for substantial or robust ovulatory shifts in mate preference[37]. Wood et al. demonstrated that the positive findings in earlier literature were largely statistical artifacts driven by severe publication bias, chronically low sample sizes, and highly flexible, post-hoc definitions of the "fertile window" by researchers[37]. By strictly defining the fertile window and accounting for publication bias, the effect disappeared entirely[39]. Consequently, the current scientific consensus has shifted away from the existence of significant, behavior-altering ovulatory shifts in human mate preference, rendering behavioral or relationship strategies predicated on these shifts largely baseless.

The Human Pheromone Myth A parallel behavioral claim is that humans subconsciously communicate sexual, gender, and rhythmic information via pheromones, specifically two axillary sweat steroids: androstadienone (AND, a putative male pheromone) and estratetraenol (EST, a putative female pheromone)[40]. Early, poorly powered studies suggested these chemicals could alter mood, increase perceived attractiveness, and signal gender[42].

Hare, Rhodes, Simmons, et al. (2017) in *Royal Society Open Science* conducted a rigorous, double-blind trial (n=94 heterosexual participants) exposing subjects to AND, EST, and a control scent over two consecutive days[41]. Participants were asked to classify the gender of five gender-neutral facial morphs on a computer task, and then rate photographs of opposite-sex faces for both attractiveness and probable sexual unfaithfulness[41]. Exposure to these putative pheromones had absolutely zero effect on gender perception, attractiveness ratings, or unfaithfulness judgments[41]. The study entirely failed to replicate previous low-powered claims, with the authors concluding that if human sex pheromones affect mate perception, they are highly unlikely to be AND or EST[40].

As synthesized by Richard L. Doty in *The Great Pheromone Myth* (Johns Hopkins University Press), the scientific classification of AND and EST as human "pheromones" was driven significantly more by commercial interests (the perfume and supplement industries) and methodological sloppiness than by rigorous mammalian endocrinology[40]. Therefore, behavioral alignment or couple dynamics based on the assumption of subconscious olfactory chemosignaling via these steroids lacks a robust empirical foundation.

Co-Sleeping, REM Synchronization, and Sleep Architecture

While subconscious pheromonal signaling fails to hold up to rigorous scientific scrutiny, the behavioral impacts of physical proximity during sleep—and the temporal alignment of sleep

schedules—are well documented and profound for couple dynamics.

Sleep Architecture in Couples Culturally, sharing a bed with a partner is frequently blamed for sleep disruption due to the introduction of movement, noise, and conflicting temperature preferences. However, Drews et al. (2020) in *Frontiers in Psychiatry* utilized dual simultaneous polysomnography (n=12 couples, aged 18 to 29) to measure the exact, objective sleep architecture of couples sleeping together versus sleeping individually in a sleep laboratory over four nights[47].

Objective Sleep Metric (Co-sleeping vs Individual)	Result / Direction of Effect	Statistical Significance
Total REM Sleep	Increased by ~10% (23.0% vs 21.0%)	Significant
REM Sleep Fragmentation	Decreased	p = 0.008
Undisturbed REM Fragments	Increased duration (22.0 vs 13.4 mins)	p = 0.0006
Physical Limb Movements	Increased (~1.5x)	p = 0.007
Sleep-Stage Synchronization	Increased (36.6% to 46.9%)	p = 0.005

Data sourced from Drews et al. (2020)

[cite: 47, 48, 49, 50]

The study yielded a highly counterintuitive third-order insight: despite co-sleeping generating significantly more physical limb movements (a 1.5x increase in motor unrest), the neurological context of co-sleeping actively *improves* sleep architecture by stabilizing and increasing Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep[48]. As Dr. Drews noted, "while your body is a bit unrulier when sleeping with somebody, your brain is not"[48]. REM sleep is neurologically linked to emotion regulation, memory consolidation, and the activation of the medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala, which govern social interaction[48].

Furthermore, couples displayed highly synchronized sleep stages during co-sleeping, a phenomenon positively correlated with subjective relationship depth[50]. The researchers propose a self-enhancing positive feedback loop: co-sleeping, through proximity and emotional security, reduces stress and enhances REM sleep; this improved REM sleep subsequently improves emotion regulation and sociality during waking hours, which lowers relationship stress and further improves the capacity for synchronized sleep the following night[48].

Chronotype Assortative Mating and Sexual Temporal Alignment The physiological and psychological success of co-sleeping is heavily modulated by how closely a couple's chronotypes align. Randler et al. (2014, 2017) in *Chronobiology International* studied assortative mating (the tendency for individuals with similar phenotypes to mate) and sexual timing preferences. They found that in heterosexual couples, women generally prefer their partners to be synchronized with their own sleep-wake rhythms, particularly desiring men who shift slightly earlier toward morningness to match them[52]. When chronotypes mismatch severely, relationship satisfaction and sleep quality demonstrably drop[52].

A critical area of behavioral mismatch in couples occurs in the timing of sexual desire. Randler's epidemiological data (a sample of 565 participants) demonstrated that regardless of a woman's chronotype, her highest subjective need for sex statistically occurs in the evening (18:00 to 24:00)[52]. Conversely, men show a significantly higher baseline propensity for morning arousal[55]. However, females with a strict "morning lark" chronotype displayed a secondary peak in sexual desire between 06:00 and 09:00, allowing for easier biological synchronization with male diurnal peaks[55]. Sprajcer et al. (2022) and Diaz-Morales et al. (2019) corroborated that similarity in Morningness/Eveningness (M/E) traits predicts higher relationship satisfaction, sexual satisfaction, and sleep quality across dating, newlywed, and older married cohorts[54].

The Menstrual Cycle and Sleep Architecture

Menstrual rhythms also exert a direct, measurable impact on sleep behaviors. Baker and Driver (2007) in *Sleep Medicine Reviews* detail how the luteal phase (characterized by elevated progesterone following ovulation) raises female core body temperature by approximately 0.4°C[58]. Because the initiation and maintenance of sleep—particularly REM sleep—rely fundamentally on a drop in core body temperature, the thermogenic effect of progesterone blunts the normal amplitude of the circadian temperature rhythm[58].

Consequently, objective actigraphy and polysomnography studies show a reduction in REM sleep and occasionally increased Wake After Sleep Onset (WASO) during the late luteal phase[58]. Furthermore, upper airway resistance has been shown to be lower during the luteal phase, meaning the severity of sleep-disordered breathing and sleep apnea can vary by menstrual phase[58]. Cycle-aware behavioral planning therefore justifies prioritizing environmental cooling (e.g., bedroom temperatures lowered to 16-18°C) explicitly during the luteal phase to counteract endogenous thermal shifts and preserve REM sleep[47].

Layer 3: Culture (Variable)

The cultural layer encompasses how physiological data and behavioral trends are interpreted, commercialized, and integrated into societal norms. Modern societies fluctuate between pathologizing natural rhythms (viewing menstruation or late chronotypes as inherent weaknesses) and hyper-optimizing them through "bio-hacking" or rigid scheduling regimes.

Digital Phenotyping: App-Based Cycle Tracking

The most prominent contemporary cultural manifestation of rhythm-aware living is the proliferation of algorithmic menstrual cycle tracking applications, termed "FemTech." Bull, Scherwitzl, et al. (2019) in *npj Digital Medicine* analyzed a massive, real-world dataset of

612,613 ovulatory cycles derived from 124,646 users of the *Natural Cycles* application[61]. This big data approach effectively shattered the cultural and medical myth of the "standard 28-day cycle with day 14 ovulation." The statistical reality revealed a mean cycle length of 29.3 days, but with a massive standard deviation of ± 5.2 days[61]. Crucially, only 24% of ovulations actually occurred on cycle days 14 or 15[62]. Intra-individual variation was also exceptionally high, particularly modulated by age and body mass index (BMI).

User Cohort Characteristic	Observed Menstrual Cycle Variance
Age > 40 years	Highest cycle length variation (up to 3.1 days shift per user)
BMI < 18.5 (Underweight)	Longer bleeding length by 5%
BMI > 35 (Obese)	14% higher intra-user cycle length variation; Follicular phase 5% longer

Data sourced from Bull et al. (2019) analysis of 612,613 cycles.
[cite: 61]
The cultural adoption of these apps for natural contraception presents mixed results. Natural Cycles, the first FDA-cleared digital contraceptive, relies on daily basal body temperature (BBT) tracking and optional LH testing to algorithmically identify the fertile window[63]. While perfectly adhered-to digital fertility awareness models boast high theoretical efficacy (a perfect-use Pearl Index of 1.0), real-world typical-use failure rates remain relatively high, estimated between 6.9% and 8.3%[62]. The reliance on statistical predictions for a highly variable biological process requires rigorous daily user adherence to temperature tracking, placing a heavy cognitive and behavioral load on the user to accurately interpret the rhythm.

Data Privacy and Security Vulnerabilities

The integration of biological rhythms into digital culture has generated unprecedented risks. Saini and Saxena (2024) highlighted severe privacy and security vulnerabilities in women's reproductive health apps[64]. In a shifting legal landscape (e.g., the overturning of *Roe v. Wade* in the United States), the digitized tracking of menstruation is no longer a neutral health practice but a potential legal liability where data could be exploited for prosecution[65]. Saini and Saxena found that roughly 85% of evaluated app privacy policies lacked explicit security measures for sensitive health data, and 61% contained top-tier Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP) vulnerabilities, allowing for potential data interception[64]. The cultural drive toward cycle optimization via technology thus intersects with surveillance capitalism, turning intimate biorhythms into monetized, vulnerable data points[64].

Anthropological Contexts and the Naturalistic Fallacy

Anthropologically, the organization of society around biological rhythms is ancient. Alma

Gottlieb's *Blood Magic: The Anthropology of Menstruation* notes that cultures globally have historically instituted menstrual taboos, seclusion huts, and rituals structured around the lunar or menstrual cycle[66]. While modern Western culture frequently views these practices as entirely oppressive, ethnographic evidence suggests they sometimes functioned as socially sanctioned periods of rest and female-exclusive socialization, establishing a rhythmic cultural cadence of withdrawal and return[66].

Contemporary "cycle syncing"—a marketing trend suggesting women must radically alter their diets, avoid high-intensity workouts, and shift executive work tasks depending on their cycle phase—is largely a modern commercial reconstruction of these old biological essentialisms. It falls prey to the *naturalistic fallacy*: the assumption that what is biologically "natural" must dictate optimal, restrictive behavior. As established in Layer 1, the robust physiological data (McNulty 2020; Leeners 2017) do not support the idea that female cognitive or physical capacity drops so significantly that broad lifestyle alterations are required[3]. The cultural marketing of cycle syncing often exaggerates trivial physiological variances to sell supplements, tracking apps, and coaching programs, ironically re-inscribing restrictive behavioral boundaries on women under the guise of "empowerment" and "optimization."

Conclusion

Summary of Robust Findings

1. The average healthy menstrual cycle exerts trivial, non-clinical effects on physical strength, aerobic capacity, working memory, and cognitive focus; sweeping recommendations to alter work or exercise by cycle phase lack strong physiological evidence.
2. Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD) is driven by abnormal neurological sensitivity (specifically the GABA-A receptor) to the *change* in endogenous hormones, not by the absolute levels of those hormones.
3. Male diurnal rhythms show significant morning peaks in testosterone which correlate with acute risk-taking and dominance behaviors, though the effect is non-linear (U-shaped) and heavily moderated by cortisol.
4. Co-sleeping significantly increases total REM sleep and sleep-stage synchronization between partners, overriding the minor disruption caused by increased physical limb movements.
5. Chronotype matching (similar morningness/eveningness) in couples is a robust, replicable predictor of relationship satisfaction, sleep quality, and sexual temporal alignment.
6. "Social jetlag" structurally harms late chronotypes ("owls"), leading to chronic sleep debt, circadian misalignment, and increased use of chemical stimulants.
7. The existence of human pheromones (AND and EST) and the "ovulatory shift" in female mate preference have failed rigorous, double-blind replication and are largely considered statistical artifacts or myths.
8. The standard 28-day menstrual cycle is a statistical myth; real-world digital phenotyping of over 600,000 cycles reveals massive inter-individual and intra-individual variation, particularly modulated by BMI and age.

9. App-based cycle tracking carries a typical-use failure rate of approximately 8% for contraception and introduces severe data privacy and security vulnerabilities (OWASP flaws).
10. The female luteal phase reliably raises core body temperature via progesterone, reducing REM sleep and blunting circadian temperature drops, necessitating environmental sleep adjustments.

Contested or Open Questions

- **The Dual-Hormone Hypothesis in Real-World Scenarios:** While laboratory data (e.g., the Iowa Gambling Task) suggest cortisol moderates testosterone's effect on risk-taking, the precise interactions in complex, long-term organizational and family dynamics remain unclear.
- **Evolution of the Female Sexual Desire Peak:** It remains debated whether the female preference for evening sexual activity is a cultural byproduct of the modern workday or an endogenous evolutionary adaptation.
- **Subtyping of PMDD:** Researchers have yet to fully isolate why certain subsets of women have extreme neurobiological sensitivity to allopregnanolone fluctuations (early luteal vs. late luteal onset) while the vast majority have none.
- **Mechanisms of Sleep Synchronization:** The exact mechanism by which co-sleeping synchronizes brainwaves—whether driven by thermal exchange, subtle olfactory cues, micro-movements, or oxytocin release—requires further polysomnographic investigation.

Most Important Sources to Read in Full

1. McNulty, K. L., et al. (2020). *The effects of menstrual cycle phase on exercise performance in eumenorrheic women: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Sports Medicine.[10]
2. Leeners, B., et al. (2017). *Lack of Associations between Female Hormone Levels and Visuospatial Working Memory, Divided Attention and Cognitive Bias across Two Consecutive Menstrual Cycles.* Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience.[5]
3. Schmidt, P. J., et al. (2017). *Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder: Evidence for a New Category for DSM-5.* American Journal of Psychiatry.[16]
4. Drews, H. J., et al. (2020). *Bed-Sharing in Couples Is Associated With Increased and Stabilized REM sleep and Sleep-stage Synchronization.* Frontiers in Psychiatry.[47]
5. Roenneberg, T., et al. (2006). *Social Jetlag: Misalignment of Biological and Social Time.* Current Biology.[32]
6. Bull, J. R., et al. (2019). *Real-world menstrual cycle characteristics of more than 600,000 menstrual cycles.* npj Digital Medicine.[61]
7. Hare, R. M., et al. (2017). *Putative sex-specific human pheromones do not affect gender perception, attractiveness ratings or unfaithfulness judgements of opposite sex faces.* Royal Society Open Science.[41]
8. Baker, F. C., & Driver, H. S. (2007). *Circadian rhythms, sleep, and the menstrual cycle.* Sleep Medicine Reviews.[58]
9. Wood, W., et al. (2014). *Menstrual cycle shifts in mate preferences: meta-analysis.*

Emotion Review.[37]

10. Stanton, S. J., et al. (2011). *Testosterone is positively associated with risk taking in the Iowa Gambling Task.* Hormones and Behavior.[26]

Implications for living together

A rigorous approach to living together—within couples, families, and organizations—must scrupulously avoid the naturalistic fallacy. Just because a biological rhythm exists does not mean it must seamlessly dictate human scheduling, nor does it inherently represent an optimal state of being that must be pandered to.

For couples and families, the most actionable biological alignment is chronotype matching and sleep optimization. Mismatched sleep schedules are biologically corrosive due to the induction of social jetlag; couples should actively negotiate morning/evening routines and prioritize a cool sleeping environment (particularly during the female luteal phase) to maximize the REM-enhancing, stress-reducing benefits of co-sleeping. Misaligned sexual desire (evening peaks in women versus morning peaks in men) must be navigated through communication rather than viewed as a biological failing.

For organizational and societal structuring, the rigid adherence to modern "cycle syncing" (e.g., pulling women off complex cognitive tasks or physical labor during specific cycle phases) should be rejected as biologically unfounded and professionally regressive. For the vast majority of women, cognition and strength remain entirely stable across the cycle. Instead, organizations should focus on structural flexibility: allowing for autonomous schedule adjustments to accommodate varying chronotypes (directly combating social jetlag) and providing targeted, serious medical accommodation for the minority of individuals suffering from legitimate neuroendocrine sensitivities like PMDD. True rhythm-aware living is not about enforcing a uniform biological destiny based on statistical averages, but providing the environmental and cultural flexibility required to absorb natural human variance.

> *This is for informational purposes only. For medical advice or diagnosis, consult a professional.*

Works cited

1. The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise Performance in, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7497427/
2. The Impact of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Athletes' Performance - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7916245/
3. Menstruation Doesn't Change How Your Brain Works—Period, https://www.labmanager.com/menstruation-doesn-t-change-how-your-brain-works-period-7085
4. No, Period Brain Is Not Actually a Thing - TIME, https://time.com/4845917/menstruation-period-brain-research/
5. Lack of Associations between Female Hormone Levels ... - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/behavioral-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00120/full
6. Study finds no such thing as menstrual brain fog - CBS News,

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/study-finds-no-such-thing-as-menstrual-brain-fog/
7. New study shows that menstruation doesn't change how ... - Mashable, https://mashable.com/article/periods-women-science-competence-myth
8. Menstruation doesn't change how your brain works -- period, https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/07/170704093610.htm
9. Menstruation doesn't disturb brain functioning: Study | Health News, https://indianexpress.com/article/lifestyle/health/menstruation-doesnt-disturb-brain-functioning-study-4735266/
10. MENSTRUAL CYCLE AND FEMALE ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE, https://rspublisher.org/index.php/ijitss/article/view/4335
11. (PDF) The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342901039_The_Effects_of_Menstrual_Cycle_Phase_on_Exercise_Performance_in_Eumenorrheic_Women_A_Systematic_Review_and_Meta-Analysis
12. The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise Performance in, https://search.proquest.com/openview/3f24754a7169c3ca67d88dbc959ffdcd/1.pdf?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=32197
13. The Effects of Menstrual Cycle Phase on Exercise Performance in, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32661839/
14. Current evidence shows no influence of women's menstrual cycle, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sports-and-active-living/articles/10.3389/fspor.2023.1054542/full
15. Can the menstrual cycle affect exercise performance? - Examine.com, https://examine.com/faq/can-the-menstrual-cycle-affect-exercise-performance/
16. Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder Symptoms Following Ovarian, https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16101113
17. Management of Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder: A Scoping Review, https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.focus.23021035
18. Premenstrual Disorders: A Primer and Research Agenda for ... - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7193982/
19. Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder and Depression During the, https://mghcme.org/app/uploads/2026/09/Petrillo-PMDD-Menopause-MGH-Psychiatry-Academy-2026-Laura-F.-Petrillo.pdf
20. Towards Understanding the Biology of Premenstrual Dysphoric, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10176022/
21. The influence of the menstrual cycle on depressive symptoms and, https://refubium.fu-berlin.de/bitstream/handle/fub188/40689/Dissertation_Klusmann.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y
22. Are there temporal subtypes of premenstrual dysphoric disorder?, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychological-medicine/article/are-there-temporal-subtypes-of-premenstrual-dysphoric-disorder-using-groupbased-trajectory-modeling-to-identify-individual-differences-in-symptom-change/D833E4EEF1662FE01B8A35C1F47B81D4
23. Effects of Seasonal Differences in Testosterone and Cortisol Levels, https://synapse.koreamed.org/articles/1031445

24. Trading on Testosterone: Doping and the Financial Markets, https://blog.uehiro.ox.ac.uk/2008/04/trading-on-testosterone-doping-and-the-financial-markets/
25. Endogenous steroids and financial risk taking on a London trading, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18413617/
26. Testosterone is positively associated with risk taking in the Iowa, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49691916_Testosterone_is_positively_associated_with_risk_taking_in_the_Iowa_Gambling_Task
27. Opposing Roles of Testosterone and Cortisol in Prosocial Risk-Taking, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC13113937/
28. Psychological Science - Sites@Duke Express, https://sites.duke.edu/huettellab/files/2013/02/2011_Stanton_PsychScience.pdf
29. No Evidence for a Causal Effect of Exogenous Testosterone, https://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/features/dec-dec0000192.pdf
30. Chronotype is associated with psychological well-being depending, https://d-nb.info/1261169468/34
31. Chronotype and Social Jetlag: A (Self-) Critical Review, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334439104_Chronotype_and_Social_Jetlag_A_Self-_Critical_Review
32. (PDF) Social Jetlag: Misalignment of Biological and Social Time, https://www.academia.edu/32463402/Social_Jetlag_Misalignment_of_Biological_and_Social_Time
33. (PDF) Social Jetlag: Misalignment of Biological and Social Time, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7094579_Social_Jetlag_Misalignment_of_Biological_and_Social_Time
34. An assessment of chronotype and social jetlag among Filipinos, https://scienggj.org/downloads/008.pdf
35. Chronotype and Social Jetlag: A (Self-) Critical Review - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6784249/
36. Chronotype and social jetlag: a (self-) critical review | Biology 8 (3), https://opus.bibliothek.uni-augsburg.de/opus4/files/83597/biology-08-00054-v4.pdf
37. PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF HORMONAL CONTRACEPTION, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3292251_1/component/file_3292252/content
38. Meta-Analysis of Menstrual Cycle Effects on Women's Mate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275591447_Meta-Analysis_of_Menstrual_Cycle_Effects_on_Women's_Mate_Preferences
39. A data-driven approach - UQ eSpace - The University of Queensland, https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/view/UQ:386059/UQ386059_OA.pdf
40. 'Sex pheromones' don't make you sexy after all | IBTimes UK, https://www.ibtimes.co.uk/ss-sex-pheromones-dont-make-you-sexy-after-all-1610244
41. Putative sex-specific human pheromones do not affect gender, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/rsos/article/4/3/160831/93383/Putative-sex-specific-human-pheromones-do-not
42. Five gender-neutral face morphs used in the gender classification,

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Five-gender-neutral-face-morphs-used-in-the-gender-classification-task-Eighty-two_fig1_314714023
43. human putative pheromone affects men's sexual cognition, https://academic.oup.com/scan/article/14/7/719/5532402
44. (PDF) Putative sex-specific human pheromones do not affect gender, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314714023_Putative_sex-specific_human_pheromones_do_not_affect_gender_perception_attractiveness_ratings_or_unfaithfulness_judgements_of_opposite_sex_faces
45. The Great Pheromone Myth | Hopkins Press, https://www.press.jhu.edu/books/title/9590/great-pheromone-myth
46. The Great Pheromone Myth - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Great_Pheromone_Myth
47. Spooning Sleep Position | Mattress Miracle, https://mattressmiracle.ca/blogs/mattress-miracle-blog/spooning-sleep-position
48. Couples that sleep together sleep better - Neuroscience News, https://neurosciencenews.com/couple-sleep-better-16592/
49. It turns out that sleeping in the same bed as a lover improves sleep, https://gigazine.net/gsc_news/en/20200629-heterosexual-couple-sleep-together-better/
50. Sleeping With a Partner Associated With Increased REM ... - AJMC, https://www.ajmc.com/view/sleeping-with-a-partner-associated-with-increased-rem-sleep-synchronization-of-sleep-architecture
51. Better sleep with a partner - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/news/2020/06/25/better-sleep-with-a-partner
52. Similarity in Chronotype and Preferred Time for Sex and Its Role in, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5893780/
53. Women would like their Partners to be more Synchronized with them, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260516692_Women_would_like_their_Partners_to_be_more_Synchronized_with_them_in_their_Sleep-Wake_Rhythm
54. Sleep and sexual satisfaction in couples with matched ... - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35762311/
55. (PDF) Chronotype, gender, and time for sex - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262270363_Chronotype_gender_and_time_for_sex
56. Chronotype impact on mating, desire for sex and sexual activity in, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07420528.2025.2501234
57. Circadian preference and relationship satisfaction among three, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334846664_Circadian_preference_and_relationship_satisfaction_among_three_types_of_couples
58. The Menstrual Cycle And Sleep: How Periods Affect Sleep, https://thebettersleepclinic.com/blog/menstrual-cycle-and-sleep
59. How your sleep changes throughout your menstrual cycle, https://flowintimates.com/blogs/periods-cycle/sovn-og-cyklus-sadan-aendrer-din-sovn-sig-gennem-din-menstruationscyklus
60. Sleep, Hormones, and Circadian Rhythms throughout the Menstrual,

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2817387/
61. (PDF) Real-world menstrual cycle characteristics of more than, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335422962_Real-world_menstrual_cycle_characteristics_of_more_than_600000_menstrual_cycles
62. Assessment of menstrual health status and evolution through mobile, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334490726_Assessment_of_menstrual_health_status_and_evolution_through_mobile_apps_for_fertility_awareness
63. Advantages of determining the fertile window with the individualised, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/13625187.2019.1682544
64. Privacy and Security of Women's Reproductive Health Apps ... - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.05876
65. Privacy and Security of Women's Reproductive Health Apps ... - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2404.05876v1
66. Blood Magic: The Anthropology of Menstruation | Alma Gottlieb, https://almagottlieb.com/blood-magic-the-anthropology-of-menstruation/