DT-01 Reverse dominance and the levelling of would-be chiefs

The architectural blueprint of human political organization for the vast majority of our species' existence was not characterized by the top-down, command-and-control hierarchies observed in modern states, nor did it resemble the despotic alpha-male structures of our closest primate relatives. Instead, the baseline of human political history is defined by a profound and actively maintained egalitarianism. Anthropologist Christopher Boehm articulated this phenomenon in his seminal works, *Hierarchy in the Forest* (1999) and *Moral Origins* (2012), arguing that prehistoric human societies remained egalitarian not because humans lacked the intrinsic primate desire to dominate, but because the rank and file united to preemptively and decisively suppress anyone who attempted to elevate themselves[1]. This dynamic created a "reverse dominance hierarchy," an engineered political equilibrium where the coalition of the weak actively dominated the strong[2].

Drawing initially on a cross-cultural database of 48 societies in 1993, and later expanding to a sample of 150 Late-Pleistocene-Appropriate (LPA) foraging societies, Boehm demonstrated that this egalitarianism was universally enforced through a scalable continuum of social sanctions[4]. In these environments, individuals displaying what modern psychology terms the "Dark Triad" of personality traits—narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy, formalized by Paulhus and Williams (2002) in a study of 245 individuals—faced escalating peril[6]. Upstarts who exhibited the grandiosity of narcissism, the strategic manipulation of Machiavellianism, or the callous impulsivity of psychopathy were met with a leveling repertoire that served as the oldest known anti-capture technology in human governance[8].

To extract principles for rebuilding governance architectures after the permanent loss of industrial supply chains and the state's reach, this report exhaustively analyzes the levelling repertoire, its application across varying scales of social complexity, and the evolutionary mechanics that sustain both the human desire for equity and the persistent emergence of tyrannical behavior.

The Levelling Repertoire: Mechanisms of Anti-Capture

Boehm's analysis of nomadic foragers identifies a distinct, escalating continuum of social sanctions deployed against aggressive, boastful, or hoarding individuals. This sequence was utilized both reactively, when an individual signaled intent to dominate, and proactively, to condition humility and enforce a rigidly egalitarian ethos[1]. The mechanisms functioned sequentially, increasing in severity until the threat of capture was neutralized.

The foundational layer of the levelling mechanism was gossip and public opinion, serving as an informal, distributed surveillance network. Anthropologist Polly Wiessner (2014) quantified the mechanics of this network among the Ju/'hoansi (!Kung) Bushmen of southern Africa through the analysis of 174 day and nighttime conversations and 68 translated texts[10]. Wiessner found that daylight talk was predominantly focused on economic matters (31%) and complaints or criticisms (34%), whereas 81% of nighttime firelight conversation involved storytelling and

gossip[10]. Gossip allowed the rank and file to safely share information, coordinate their assessments of an individual's behavior, and establish a consensus that a specific person was becoming a threat[12]. This reputation dissemination induced individuals to behave cooperatively, neutralizing selfish impulses before they required physical intervention[13].

When gossip failed to curtail dominance behaviors, the coalition escalated to direct criticism and public ridicule. This served to deflate narcissistic grandiosity without initiating physical violence. A primary example is the universal hunter-gatherer practice of mocking successful hunters to "cool their hearts"[15]. If an upstart attempted to command others, the community deployed disobedience; followers simply ignored the commands of a would-be leader, rendering their attempts at authority impotent. Because nomadic bands were highly fluid, if an individual remained disruptive, the community utilized desertion and ostracism. A bullied faction could simply vote with their feet and join another band, or the bully themselves would be shunned and expelled[16]. In wilderness environments, social isolation was tantamount to a death sentence.

Capital punishment served as the ultimate sanction, universally documented across the 150 LPA societies[4]. Execution was exclusively a coalitional act—often orchestrated by the male kin of the target to prevent cycles of blood revenge—designed to permanently eliminate repeat offenders who utilized proactive aggression to hoard resources or monopolize mates[5].

Governance Arrangement 1: The Immediate-Return Foraging Band

The foundational unit of reverse dominance is the immediate-return foraging band. Anthropologist James Woodburn (1982) defined immediate-return systems as those where people obtain a direct and immediate return from their labor, consuming food casually over the days it is acquired, with minimal or no storage[16]. These societies typically consisted of mobile camps of 30 to 50 individuals. Woodburn argued that immediate-return systems mathematically obstruct wealth accumulation and dependency, making them uniquely hostile to hierarchical capture[16].

The primary ethnographies of immediate-return foragers reveal the rigorous application of levelling mechanisms. Richard Lee's extensive ethnography of the Dobe Ju/'hoansi in the 1960s and 1970s highlighted the practice of "insulting the meat." When a hunter killed a large animal, he was expected to display extreme humility, while the community systematically ridiculed the kill as scrawny and worthless[15]. The Ju/'hoansi explicitly stated that the purpose of this ritual was to cool the hunter's heart so he would not think of himself as a chief, recognizing that "when a young man kills much meat, he comes to think of himself as a chief or a big man"[15]. The ethnographic record shows that this mechanism effectively prevented the translation of hunting prowess into political power, enforcing an egalitarian distribution of calories. However, modern scholarship infers that this egalitarianism was purchased at a steep price in violence. The absence of a monopolized state apparatus meant that violence was the ultimate currency of justice. Lee documented 22 homicides among the Ju/'hoansi over a 50-year period, resulting in a homicide rate of 29.3 to 41.9 per 100,000—levels comparable to the most violent modern

urban centers[23]. Levelling was maintained through the credible threat of lethal force, debunking the romance of the peaceful primitive[23].

Colin Turnbull's (1965) observations of the Mbuti net-hunters of the Ituri Forest in the Belgian Congo offer a precise case of sanctioning theft and hoarding[25]. In 1957, a hunter named Cephu secretly placed his net in front of the community's line to capture the first animals driven from the brush[26]. The Mbuti explicitly stated that Cephu was stealing from the forest itself and acting like an animal, demanding his ostracism from the human community[27]. The record shows that the band deployed absolute silence, followed by extreme public ridicule, forcing Cephu to relinquish his meat and weep publicly before being reintegrated into the evening's *molimo* singing[27]. Scholarship infers that because ostracism in a dense forest environment is effectively a death sentence, Cephu's rapid capitulation demonstrates that the band's coalitional power easily overwhelmed individual economic defection[25].

Lethal levelling is starkly visible in the ethnography of the Aché of Paraguay, documented by Kim Hill and A. Magdalena Hurtado. Aché men who were habitually disruptive, violently domineering, or who threatened the cooperative fabric of the band were systematically clubbed to death by coalitions of weaker men[28]. Woodburn noted a similar dynamic among the Hadza of Tanzania, where universal male access to poisoned arrows acted as a profound political equalizer[16]. While the Hadza stated these weapons were for hunting game, the record shows that the danger of a lethal ambush while asleep was absolute, precluding any single individual from asserting physical dominance[16]. Scholarship infers that lethal weaponry fundamentally shifted the balance of power from the strongest individual alpha to the largest coalition, making tyranny physically impossible to maintain without majority consent[19].

Capture Test: Immediate-Return Foraging Band

A capture attempt in an immediate-return band occurs when a highly skilled, physically imposing hunter exhibiting psychopathic and narcissistic traits—characterized by high boldness, low empathy, and grandiosity—attempts to hoard meat, take multiple wives by force, and demand deference[30]. Historical cases demonstrate this happening periodically across foraging societies, such as the emergence of violent bullies among the Aché or the !Kung[28]. The primary feature that resisted and reversed this capture was universal access to lethal weapons combined with the biological necessity of sleep. An organized coalition of weaker men could easily execute a sleeping giant[16]. Furthermore, the extreme transparency of camp life made Machiavellian plotting difficult; secret alliances were quickly exposed by the continuous 24-hour proximity of the group. The capture fails because the coalition's cost of execution via ambush is near-zero, while the cost to the community of submitting to a tyrant is unacceptably high.

Scale Ledger: Immediate-Return Foraging Band

Scale Rung	Population	Status	Rationale / Outcome

Household	4 to 10	Works	Operates effectively via daily intimate sharing and familial bonds. However, it remains highly vulnerable to patriarchal dominance due to physical sexual dimorphism unless nested within a broader kin network that can intervene against domestic abusers.
Hamlet/Band	30 to 50	Optimal	The absolute peak environment for reverse dominance. High mobility, absence of wealth storage, and daily face-to-face interaction ensure 100% participation in gossip networks and the immediate, frictionless enforcement of leveling sanctions.
Village	150 to 500	Fails	Immediate-return economies cannot support populations of 150 or more in one location without rapid local resource depletion. Surviving at this scale requires a transition

			to delayed-return systems (agriculture/storage), which fundamentally alters the power dynamics.
Parish/District	1,500 to 5,000	Never Tried	Nomadism and immediate-return foraging physically collapse at this population density due to basic ecological carrying capacity constraints.
Town/Watershed	15,000 to 50,000	Never Tried	Ecologically impossible without intense agricultural surplus and corresponding hierarchical management.
Region/Bioregion	0.5 to 5 million	Never Tried	Beyond the organizational capacity of informal consensus and immediate consumption.
Nation/Continent	50 to 500 million	Never Tried	Incompatible with the required mobility and lack of stored capital.
Planet	8 billion	Never Tried	Incompatible with the planetary carrying capacity

			for wild foraging.

Governance Arrangement 2: The Delayed-Return / Storing Village

When societies shifted to delayed-return economies—investing labor in assets that yield a return over time, such as fish weirs, agricultural plots, or substantial food storage—the political landscape was permanently altered. Alain Testart (1982) argued that food storage was the critical threshold that enabled socioeconomic inequality among hunter-gatherers[32]. Woodburn concurred, noting that delayed-return systems bind people to specific territories and fixed assets, fundamentally raising the cost of desertion[16]. Once people could no longer easily vote with their feet, the primary non-violent leveling mechanism vanished, forcing governance to adapt.

The Inuit of the North American Arctic represent a quintessential delayed-return society, highly reliant on cached meat and specialized technology (such as *umiaqs* and harpoons) to survive extreme winters. Jean Briggs (1970) documented the Utku Inuit, noting severe cultural conditioning against expressions of anger; those who failed to conform to the emotional baseline were entirely ostracized and treated as non-persons[1]. Because physical desertion during the arctic winter meant freezing or starving, the Inuit developed formalized mechanisms for managing conflict. E. Adamson Hoebel (1954) explored Inuit jurisprudence, highlighting "song duels" as an institution for resolving disputes[35]. The Inuit stated that song duels resolved grievances through public performance, determining a winner based on audience applause and laughter rather than physical combat, thus restoring community harmony[36]. The record shows that while song duels resolved minor disputes over property or insults, chronic bullies and murderers were dealt with via capital punishment. Executions were carefully negotiated with the offender's family to secure their prior consent, thereby avoiding the outbreak of disastrous blood feuds[37]. Scholarship infers that confinement in winter camps eliminated the option of fluid fission and fusion. Therefore, leveling mechanisms had to be formalized into quasi-legal structures (song duels) and strictly coalitional executions were necessary to preserve the trapped wintering group from internal psychopathic predators[38].

Capture Test: Delayed-Return Village

A capture attempt in a delayed-return village occurs when a Machiavellian individual successfully accumulates a massive surplus of stored food or physically restricts access to a vital ecological chokepoint, such as a localized salmon run. This individual utilizes their surplus to feed and equip a small, loyal group of psychopathic retainers, effectively establishing a monopoly on violence and overriding the traditional leveling coalition. Historical cases demonstrate this happening extensively across the Pacific Northwest Coast, where societies like the Kwakiutl transitioned from egalitarian foragers into highly stratified, slave-holding societies dominated by hereditary chiefs who controlled surplus[17]. Some delayed-return

villages resisted capture through ritualized wealth destruction or mandatory redistribution (e.g., early forms of the potlatch acting as a leveling mechanism before being weaponized for status competition). However, because the average citizen could not easily abandon their stored food or fixed assets, the ultimate leveling tool—desertion—was neutralized. If the village failed to coordinate the execution of the upstart in the early stages of their accumulation, the upstart's hired thugs made coalitional execution too physically costly, resulting in a successful, permanent capture of the governance structure.

Scale Ledger: Delayed-Return Village

Scale Rung	Population	Status	Rationale / Outcome
Household	4 to 10	Works	Asset management (caches, boats, traps) tightly binds the household together, aligning economic incentives.
Hamlet/Band	30 to 50	Works	Seasonal fission and fusion, such as dispersing for summer hunting, allows temporary relief from the social friction generated by winter confinement and storage inequalities.
Village	150 to 500	Works (Strained)	Reverse dominance functions but requires formal dispute mechanisms (song duels, structured feasts) to manage tensions. Storage allows certain individuals to

			accumulate surplus, leading to nascent prestige hierarchies and "Big Men" who constantly test the boundaries of egalitarianism.
Parish/District	1,500 to 5,000	Fails	At this scale, wealthy lineages can leverage stored agricultural or foraging surplus to fund private retainers and military specialists, effectively breaking the levelling coalition and establishing hereditary chiefdoms.
Town/Watershed	15,000 to 50,000	Never Tried	Informal village-level levelling mechanisms lack the bureaucratic reach to monitor wealth accumulation across a watershed.
Region/Bioregion	0.5 to 5 million	Never Tried	Requires state-level administrative apparatuses, rendering informal levelling obsolete.
Nation/Continent	50 to 500 million	Never Tried	Incompatible with village-level

			structures.
Planet	8 billion	Never Tried	Incompatible.

Governance Arrangement 3: Nested Councils and the Iroquois Longhouse

To maintain egalitarianism and reverse dominance beyond the scale of a single village, some societies developed complex, nested institutions. The Haudenosaunee (Iroquois) Confederacy united five, and later six, distinct nations spanning thousands of people and vast territories across what is now New York State and Ontario[39].

The Haudenosaunee explicitly stated that their Great Law of Peace united the warring nations into a metaphorical longhouse to end endemic blood feuds, maintain spiritual harmony, and protect the people from external threats[39]. The historical record shows that governance was heavily structured and tiered. Villages were governed by local councils, and at the confederacy level, the Great Council of Chiefs operated by strict consensus[41]. Crucially, while titles were hereditary within specific clans, the Clan Mothers—senior women of the lineage—held the absolute power to nominate leaders. If a chief acted tyrannically, selfishly, or displayed narcissistic traits, the Clan Mothers possessed the constitutional authority to assassinate his political career by "dehorning" him, effectively vetoing his position and removing him from the council[41]. Modern scholarship infers that this system was a highly advanced constitutional framework of reverse dominance engineered for scale. It successfully separated the prestige and execution of leadership (held by men) from the ultimate power of appointment and recall (held by older women)[43]. By placing the ultimate sanctioning power in the hands of a demographic less likely to engage in violent, proactive aggression, the system stabilized egalitarianism across thousands of individuals.

Capture Test: Nested Councils

Capture of a nested council system is attempted when a highly charismatic, Machiavellian war leader seeks to bypass the agonizingly slow consensus process and the female veto to consolidate unilateral military and economic power. Historical cases show this occurring during the colonial period with the creation of "Pine Tree Chiefs"[39]. The introduction of the global fur market and devastating colonial warfare allowed certain warriors to accrue massive personal wealth and military prestige, elevating themselves to the council outside of the traditional hereditary structure controlled by the Clan Mothers[39]. The system's primary defense was its structural separation of powers and the strict requirement for total consensus, which neutralized grandiose narcissists who sought to issue unilateral dictates. The absolute recall power of the Clan Mothers initially resisted capture by stripping titles from arrogant men. However, the system was ultimately reversed and captured when an overwhelming external shock—European capitalism and firearms—allowed Machiavellian actors to fund their own

power bases independently of the traditional clan economy, rendering the Clan Mothers' veto socially unenforceable.

Scale Ledger: Nested Councils

Scale Rung	Population	Status	Rationale / Outcome
Household	4 to 10	Works	The matrilineal longhouse integrated multiple families, with senior women managing domestic economic distribution and mitigating domestic tyranny.
Hamlet/Band	30 to 50	Works	Easily subsumed into the larger clan structure.
Village	150 to 500	Optimal	Clan segments live together in close quarters. Daily observation by Clan Mothers ensures highly accurate assessment of leaders' moral character and immediate detection of Dark Triad traits.
Parish/District	1,500 to 5,000	Works	Representatives are sent to higher councils. The absolute veto of the local clan acts as a tether, preventing the representative from acting

			autonomously or consolidating power away from the village base.
Town/Watershed	15,000 to 50,000	Works	The Haudenosaunee Confederacy maintained internal peace and coordinated external policy across tens of thousands of individuals through deep institutional nesting and strict consensus rules.
Region/Bioregion	0.5 to 5 million	Captured	As European contact introduced massive economic disparities (the fur trade) and systemic warfare, the consensus model cracked. Wealthy warlords bypassed traditional leveling mechanisms.
Nation/Continent	50 to 500 million	Never Tried	The consensus requirement becomes mathematically paralyzing at this scale without transitioning to majoritarian representation.

Planet	8 billion	Never Tried	Incompatible with the requirement for deep kinship bonds and local veto power.

Evolutionary Dynamics: The Dark Triad and the Goodness Paradox

From an evolutionary standpoint, the persistent necessity of levelling mechanisms raises a critical question: if egalitarian coalitions actively suppressed and executed tyrannical alphas for hundreds of thousands of years, why did Dark Triad traits survive in the human genome?
The survival of these traits is explained by negative frequency-dependent selection[44]. Psychological and evolutionary models demonstrate that Machiavellianism and psychopathy yield extremely high fitness payoffs only when they are rare within a population composed predominantly of trusting, cooperative individuals[45]. A lone psychopath in a village of cooperators can easily exploit social norms to hoard resources and maximize reproductive success[46]. However, if the frequency of exploiters rises too high, the society either collapses into a Hobbesian war of all against all, or the cooperators become hypersensitive to exploitation and systematically kill the offenders[46]. Thus, Dark Triad traits persistently re-emerge at low baseline frequencies in every human generation, dictating that no governance system can rely on universal goodwill; continuous social vigilance and anti-capture mechanisms are biologically mandated[46].
This dynamic sits at the heart of what primatologist Richard Wrangham (2019) terms the "Goodness Paradox"[29]. Humans exhibit incredibly low rates of reactive aggression—the impulsive, hot-blooded violence within a group typical of chimpanzees—but possess an unparalleled capacity for proactive aggression, which is premeditated, cold-blooded, and coalitionary[19]. Wrangham argues that the evolution of sophisticated language allowed weaker males to form conspiracies and leveling coalitions[19]. These coalitions systematically executed the most violently reactive, bullying alpha males over millennia[49]. This relentless capital punishment resulted in "self-domestication"—selecting for a tamer, more docile species capable of cooperating with strangers and adhering to social norms[31]. However, the paradox remains: the exact same capacity for coalitionary proactive aggression that birthed human morality, suppressed domestic tyrants, and enforced egalitarianism is the very mechanism that enables the horrors of organized human warfare and genocide[51]. Egalitarianism was not maintained by pacifism, but by the overwhelming, premeditated violence of the majority against the tyrannical minority.

The Strongest Case Against Uniform Egalitarianism

While Boehm's thesis of reverse dominance is highly influential, it is heavily contested by

scholars who argue it simplifies the archaeological record, sanitizes prehistoric violence, and marginalizes female agency.

The most prominent modern assault on Boehm's framework is presented by David Graeber and David Wengrow in *The Dawn of Everything* (2021)[53]. They argue that the concept of a 200,000-year block of uniform egalitarianism is a modern myth that fundamentally erases the political imagination and agency of prehistoric humans[53]. Citing monumental architecture and rich burials from the Pleistocene—such as Sungir and Göbekli Tepe—they argue that early humans frequently experimented with complex hierarchies[55]. According to Graeber and Wengrow, humans often adopted hierarchy seasonally, submitting to a chief during a large-scale mammoth hunt or nut harvest, and then intentionally dispersing into egalitarian bands during the winter[55]. They accuse Boehm of cherry-picking his 150 immediate-return societies and ignoring complex foragers, arguing that humans consciously chose to dismantle hierarchies, making egalitarianism a political ideology rather than an evolutionary hardwiring[54].

Furthermore, the romanticization of reverse dominance is challenged by the brutal reality of prehistoric violence. Bruce Knauft (1987) demonstrated that simple foragers are decidedly not peaceful[24]. Homicide rates among the Gebusi of New Guinea and the Ju/'hoansi (!Kung) far exceed those of modern state societies[23]. Knauft noted that Gebusi homicide rates reached staggering levels, accounting for up to 35% of adult male deaths, driven by executions for sorcery, disputes over women, and retaliatory blood feuds[24]. Attempting to map Pleistocene egalitarianism onto modern governance risks romanticizing a system where justice was frequently delivered via a spear in the back or a club in the night. The "equality" of the band was purchased with astronomical per capita murder rates[24].

Finally, evolutionary anthropologists like Camilla Power heavily criticize both Boehm and Wrangham for a distinct patriarchal bias[52]. Wrangham's focus on male "coalitionary proactive aggression" and Boehm's emphasis on male hunters executing alpha males largely ignores the driving role of female coalitions[52]. As seen in the nested councils of the Iroquois and the behavior of bonobos, female alliances, reproductive strikes, and cooperative breeding were arguably the primary drivers of male pacification, entirely separate from the threat of male-led lethal weapons[19].

Conclusions

Summary of Robust Findings

Reverse dominance reveals that prehistoric egalitarianism was an actively engineered political equilibrium maintained through the relentless suppression of upstarts, not a passive state of nature. The levelling repertoire functioned universally as a graduated escalation of sanctions, moving from the reputation dissemination of gossip to ridicule, disobedience, ostracism, and ultimately, execution. The democratization of lethal projectile weapons fundamentally shifted power from the strongest individual to the largest coalition, rendering physical tyranny biologically unsustainable. Language and gossip were the technological prerequisites for this system, allowing the weak to form a consensus and coordinate proactive aggression against bullies. However, the transition from immediate-return foraging to delayed-return economies based on storage trapped populations geographically, neutralizing the power of desertion and

paving the way for wealth accumulation and hierarchical capture. The psychological traits of the Dark Triad—narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy—survive today via frequency-dependent selection, ensuring that human societies will continuously produce individuals attempting to capture governance systems. To scale egalitarianism beyond the village, systems like the Iroquois Longhouse successfully utilized a strict separation of powers, isolating the execution of leadership from the absolute power of appointment and recall. Ultimately, the human capacity for vast social cooperation was birthed by the same psychological mechanism—coalitionary proactive aggression—that enforces domestic equality and enables systemic external warfare.

Contested or Open Questions

- Did anatomically modern humans live in a uniform state of evolutionary egalitarianism for 200,000 years, or did they frequently and consciously toggle between hierarchy and equality based on seasonal ecology, as Graeber and Wengrow assert?
- To what extent did female coalitions, sexual selection, and cooperative breeding drive human self-domestication, compared to the male-dominated model of coalitionary execution proposed by Wrangham?
- Can the psychological mechanisms of reverse dominance, which evolved in face-to-face environments with high physical stakes, operate effectively in anonymized or digital spaces where physical execution and spatial ostracism are impossible?

5 to 10 Sources to Read in Full

1. Boehm, C. (1999). *Hierarchy in the Forest: The Evolution of Egalitarian Behavior*. Harvard University Press.
2. Boehm, C. (2012). *Moral Origins: The Evolution of Virtue, Altruism, and Shame*. Basic Books.
3. Wrangham, R. (2019). *The Goodness Paradox: The Strange Relationship Between Virtue and Violence in Human Evolution*. Pantheon Books.
4. Graeber, D., & Wengrow, D. (2021). *The Dawn of Everything: A New History of Humanity*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
5. Lee, R. B. (1979). *The !Kung San: Men, Women, and Work in a Foraging Society*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Turnbull, C. M. (1965). *Wayward Servants: The Two Worlds of the African Pygmies*. Natural History Press.
7. Knauft, B. M. (1987). "Reconsidering Violence in Simple Human Societies: Homicide among the Gebusi of New Guinea." *Current Anthropology*.
8. Woodburn, J. (1982). "Egalitarian Societies." *Man*.
9. Testart, A. (1982). "The Significance of Food Storage Among Hunter-Gatherers: Residence Patterns, Population Densities, and Social Inequalities." *Current Anthropology*.
10. Wiessner, P. W. (2014). "Embers of society: Firelight talk among the Ju/'hoansi Bushmen." *PNAS*.

Implementability after the Fall

In a post-collapse environment devoid of state reach, industrial supply chains, and digital infrastructure, communities must consciously construct viable governance architectures that

account for the inevitable re-emergence of Dark Triad traits. The minimum viable versions of reverse dominance at varying scales are as follows:

The Household (4 to 10 people)

- *Minimum Viable Version*: A cooperative, asset-sharing unit based on daily face-to-face interaction, communal caloric distribution, and divided but interdependent labor.
- *Requirements to Start*: A shared physical shelter, a common hearth for group coordination, and a strict internal ethos of resource transparency.
- *First Failure to Expect*: Patriarchal capture. Because the threat of external desertion in a harsh post-collapse environment is incredibly high, and physical disparities within a single household are absolute, an abusive or Machiavellian patriarch can easily physically dominate the unit unless the household is tightly nested within a broader, protective village kin network willing to intervene.

The Village (300 people)

- *Minimum Viable Version*: A delayed-return community bound by geographic proximity and agricultural/foraging storage, utilizing hyper-local gossip and structured ridicule to maintain harmony, culminating in formalized expulsion or execution for recidivists.
- *Requirements to Start*: A central physical meeting place (a plaza or longhouse) to facilitate community consensus, shared storage protocols to monitor wealth accumulation, and a cultural mechanism for public grievance airing without immediate bloodshed (akin to Inuit song duels).
- *First Failure to Expect*: Factionalism and resource hoarding. Because individuals cannot easily walk away from their stored food and winter shelters, a Machiavellian individual may stockpile resources during a localized shock, buy the loyalty of a few psychopathic enforcers, and permanently override the unarmed leveling coalition.

The District (3,000 people)

- *Minimum Viable Version*: A nested council system utilizing a strict separation of powers. Local village councils elect representatives to a district board, but absolute recall power (the veto) remains fiercely held at the local level by a specific demographic less prone to warfare or resource hoarding (e.g., a council of elders or clan mothers).
- *Requirements to Start*: High-level literacy for administrators, physical ledgers to accurately track resource allocations and inter-village grievances, a shared calendar to coordinate periodic physical assemblies, and a secure, neutral central meeting ground.
- *First Failure to Expect*: Bureaucratic capture. The individuals responsible for maintaining the ledgers and coordinating the calendar may leverage their information asymmetry to become an entrenched administrative elite, quietly bypassing the physical veto of the local villages through complex legalism or control of logistics.

Principles

- **The Principle of Scalable Sanctions**: Governance systems must possess a graduated, culturally enforced escalation of social sanctions—from gossip to expulsion—to correct antisocial behavior before lethal force becomes necessary.
- **The Principle of Exit Costs**: The ease with which an individual or family can abandon a governance structure inversely correlates with the degree of hierarchy and coercion that

can be imposed upon them.

- **The Principle of Coalitionary Supremacy**: Egalitarianism is only stable when the cost of organizing a defensive coalition is vastly lower than the physical, economic, or military power of the strongest individual.
- **The Principle of Separated Recall**: At population scales exceeding daily face-to-face contact, the power to appoint and recall a leader must be structurally isolated from the power to execute the leader's policies.
- **The Principle of Frequency-Dependent Vulnerability**: Because exploitative Dark Triad traits are evolutionarily persistent at low frequencies, any governance architecture that assumes universal goodwill will inevitably be captured.

Works cited

1. Hierarchy in the Forest: The Evolution of Egalitarian Behavior, https://www.goodreads.com/en/book/show/2131522.Hierarchy_in_the_Forest
2. Hierarchy in the Forest: The Evolution of Egalitarian Behavior, https://opac.uma.ac.id/repository/0674390318.pdf
3. Boehm, Hierarchy in the forest (1999) - CogWeb, http://cogweb.ucla.edu/Abstracts/Boehm_99.html
4. Human social organization during the Late Pleistocene, https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2efb/2642cf1005aca8f7d2eaccd3beefa16f1b32.pdf
5. Evolution's Arrow - The Evolutionary Manifesto, https://www.evolutionarymanifesto.com/EvArrowBook.pdf
6. The Dark Triad Of Personality - Narcissism, Machiavellianism, And, https://www.sakkyndig.com/psykologi/artvit/paulhus2002.pdf
7. The Dark Triad of personality: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and, https://www.academia.edu/91650008/The_Dark_Triad_of_personality_Narcissism_Machiavellianism_and_psychopathy
8. Dark Tetrad Test · Free & Confidential - Psychology.com, https://psychology.com/tests/dark-tetrad
9. Introducing the Short Dark Triad (SD3) : Assessment - Ovid, https://www.ovid.com/journals/asses/fulltext/10.1177/1073191113514105~introducing-the-short-dark-triad-sd3-a-brief-measure-of-dark
10. Embers of society: Firelight talk among the Ju/'hoansi Bushmen, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266028760_Embers_of_society_Firelight_talk_among_the_Ju'hoansi_Bushmen
11. Embers of society: Firelight talk among the Ju/'hoansi Bushmen, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1404212111
12. Explaining the evolution of gossip - PNAS, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2214160121
13. (PDF) Explaining the evolution of gossip - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378340038_Explaining_the_evolution_of_gossip
14. GOSSIP: A PREVALENT HUMAN BEHAVIOR "Thou shalt not go up,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369960206_GOSSIP_A_PREVALENT_HUMAN_BEHAVIOR_Thou_shalt_not_go_up_and_down_as_a_gossiper_among_the_people_Leviticus_1916
15. Interpersonal Communication Overview | PDF - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/828497257/interpersonal-communication
16. Immediate Return vs. Delayed Return Societies - P2P Foundation Wiki, https://wiki.p2pfoundation.net/Immediate_Return_vs._Delayed_Return_Societies
17. ARTICLE: Play as a Foundation for Hunter-Gatherer Social Existence., https://cdn2.psychologytoday.com/assets/attachments/1195/play-h-g-social-existence-ajp.pdf
18. Prehistoric Capital Punishment and Parallel Evolutionary Effects, https://humansandnature.org/prehistoric-capital-punishment-and-parallel-evolutionary-effects/
19. Hypotheses for the Evolution of Reduced Reactive Aggression in the, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01914/full
20. Social inequality and the transmission of cultural traditions in forager, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351579113_Social_inequality_and_the_transmission_of_cultural_traditions_in_forager_societies
21. The Dobe Ju/'hoansi, https://voidnetwork.gr/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/The-Dobe-Ju-hoansi-by-Richard-B.-Lee.pdf
22. Anthropology: Understanding Humanity | PDF - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/965062658/Full-10
23. Hunter-Gatherers and Human Evolution: New Light on Old Debates, https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev-anthro-102116-041448
24. Reconsidering Violence in Simple Human Societies, https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdf/10.1086/203549?download=true
25. Wayward Servants - The Ted K Archive, https://www.thetedkarchive.com/library/colin-turnbull-wayward-servants
26. Turnbull and the Mbuti / FrontPage, https://turnbullandthembuti.org/MBUTI.html
27. Annals of Ostracism (2)—The Crime of Cephu - Round and Square, http://robert-lafleur.blogspot.com/2011/08/annals-of-ostracism-2the-crime-of-cephu.html
28. Google Sports Data, https://support.google.com/knowledgepanel/answer/9787176
29. Richard Wrangham, The Goodness Paradox // Reviewed by Ronald, https://www.thebsps.org/reviewofbooks/planeronwrangham/
30. Dark triad - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dark_triad
31. Targeted conspiratorial killing, human self-domestication and the, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10427284/
32. alain testart - be you., https://redefineschool.com/alain-testart/
33. Some Major Problems in the Social Anthropology of Hunter, http://www.alaintestart.com/UK/documents/pbsocanth.pdf
34. Boehm, Christopher - Moral Origins - The Evolution of Virtue ... - Scribd,

https://www.scribd.com/document/491957817/Boehm-Christopher-Moral-Origins-the-Evolution-of-Virtue-Altruism-And-Shame-Basic-Books-2012
35. Law and Society | PP OTODA, https://ppotoda.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/Steven-Vago-Steven-E.-Barkan-Law-and-Society-2017-Routledge-1.pdf
36. A Theory of Primitive Society, with Special Reference to Law, https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdfplus/10.1086/466951
37. After the Bloodbath - Project MUSE, https://muse.jhu.edu/book/67926/pdf?pvk=book-67926-2fd403aa59799d82282cb3ae2819fd51
38. (PDF) Violent structures - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284904249_Violent_structures
39. Encyclopedia of The Haudeno - (Z-Library) | PDF | Iroquois - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/853165543/Encyclopedia-of-the-Haudeno-Z-Library
40. Introduction to Politics: Textbook - Studylib, https://studylib.net/doc/27034724/introduction-to-politics-second-canadian-edition-2nbsped-...
41. 2016 - History and More, https://historandmor.blogspot.com/2016/
42. An Introduction to Anthropology - Ethnology, https://archive.org/download/introductiontoan0000barn_n9p2/introductiontoan0000barn_n9p2.pdf
43. Robert Garner, Peter Ferdinand, Stephanie Lawson, David B, https://www.scribd.com/document/1038764869/Robert-Garner-Peter-Ferdinand-Stephanie-Lawson-David-B-MacDonald-Introduction-to-Politics-Third-Canadian-Edition-Oxford-University-Press
44. Is it good to be bad? An evolutionary analysis of the adaptive, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/evolutionary-human-sciences/article/is-it-good-to-be-bad-an-evolutionary-analysis-of-the-adaptive-potential-of-psychopathic-traits/D3BF1647779B06D0B16018C0CC13FCA0
45. (PDF) The Evolutionary Life History Model of Externalizing Personality, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319910710_The_Evolutionary_Life_History_Model_of_Externalizing_Personality_Bridging_Human_and_Animal_Personality_Science_to_Connect_Ultimate_and_Proximate_Mechanisms_Underlying_Aggressive_Dominance_Hostility_an
46. The evolution of personality disorders: A review of proposals - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9922784/
47. Reimagining Malevolence: A Primer on ... - Effective Altruism Forum, https://forum.effectivealtruism.org/posts/DsqGTCi4vLniMtYrw/reimagining-malevolence-a-primer-on-malevolence-and
48. The Goodness Paradox PDF - Bookey Book Summary, https://cdn.bookey.app/files/pdf/book/en/the-goodness-paradox.pdf
49. Infanticide and Human Self Domestication, https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1259&context=economics_articles

50. Video: Self-Domestication in Bonobos and Other Wild Animals, https://peabody.harvard.edu/video-self-domestication-bonobos-and-other-wild-animals
51. The evolution of war: theory and controversy, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-theory/article/evolution-of-war-theory-and-controversy/5A5760116BF737360CF7A1768E1DD785
52. critique of “The Goodness Paradox : How Evolution Makes Us More, https://blackbooksdotpub.wordpress.com/2023/06/06/critique-of-the-goodness-paradox-how-evolution-makes-us-more-and-less-violent-by-richard-wrangham/
53. David Graeber's False Dawn (2022) - Socialist Standard Past & Present, http://socialiststandardmyspace.blogspot.com/2022/05/david-graebers-false-dawn-2022.html
54. Chris Knight: Wrong About (Almost) Everything - FocaalBlog, https://www.focaalblog.com/2021/12/
55. 10.3 The Ingredients of Dominance Hierarchy: Graeber, https://worldwidescrotes.wordpress.com/2022/02/22/10-3xcript/
56. Special Issue on The Dawn of Everything | The Ted K Archive, https://www.thetedkarchive.com/library/various-authors-special-issue-on-the-dawn-of-everything
57. Lethal Violence – A Global View on Homicide - MPG.PuRe, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3157810_2/component/file_3157820/content
58. Data review: ethnographic and archaeological evidence on violent, https://ourworldindata.org/ethnographic-and-archaeological-evidence-on-violent-deaths
59. Managing the stresses of group-living in the transition to village life, https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:17da5d81-c154-4c97-8d27-eb1ee5a945bc/files/scf95jc81g
60. Gender egalitarianism made us human: A response to David, https://libcom.org/article/gender-egalitarianism-made-us-human-response-david-graeber-david-wengrows-how-change-course