DT-09 How the state arrived, and how often it left again

The emergence of the state in human history represents not a sudden, teleological leap toward civilization, but rather a highly contingent, fiercely contested, and frequently reversible adaptation. For the vast majority of human evolutionary history, the state did not exist. When it did arrive, it proved to be an exceptionally fragile administrative apparatus. Early agrarian states routinely collapsed under the weight of epidemiological crises, ecological exhaustion, and internal factionalism. The historical and archaeological records indicate that state formation was met with intense resistance, resulting in a cyclical rhythm of centralization and collapse. This document synthesizes the primary theoretical models of state formation, quantifies the base rates of state endurance and morbidity, and evaluates the diverse mechanisms by which populations actively dismantled hierarchical governance or fled to stateless frontiers. The objective is to establish a rigorous base rate for how frequently hierarchical power structures capture human populations, and how often they lose them again.

The Arrival of the State: Competing Theoretical Models

The debate over the origins of the state is characterized by several competing paradigms, each emphasizing a different prime mover. Modern scholarship has largely abandoned unilineal evolutionary models that assume a natural progression from bands to tribes, chiefdoms, and states. Instead, the focus has shifted to the precise ecological, ideological, and institutional mechanisms that permitted the monopolization of violence and surplus extraction.

Environmental Circumscription and Coercive Confinement

Robert Carneiro's circumscription theory (1970) posits that autonomous agricultural villages never willingly surrender their sovereignty to a centralized political authority. In this model, the state is exclusively a coercive mechanism born of trapped populations. Carneiro demonstrated that in areas with unbounded arable land, populations defeated in warfare simply relocated. However, in geographically circumscribed environments—such as the narrow coastal valleys of Peru or the desert-bound Nile Valley—defeated populations had nowhere to flee. They were forced to submit to the victors, paying tribute and yielding autonomy. The state, therefore, arrived as a military protection racket that could only consolidate power when geographic barriers (deserts, mountains, oceans) or high regional population densities eliminated the possibility of flight.

Ideology and the Invention of Hereditary Rank

While environmental circumscription explains the physical inability to flee, Kent Flannery and Joyce Marcus (2012) detail the cognitive and cultural shifts required to legitimize state power in *The Creation of Inequality*. They argue that the transition from egalitarian foraging bands to stratified states required the dismantling of an ancient, achievement-based logic. In early agricultural societies, prestige was earned through individual merit and actively suppressed by

leveling mechanisms to prevent it from becoming permanent or inheritable. The arrival of the state required a profound ideological innovation: the invention of ancestor cults and divine descent. By claiming that certain lineages possessed exclusive, hereditary descent from divine or semi-divine founders, emerging elites transformed temporary prestige into permanent rank. This ideological manipulation allowed early chiefs to bypass egalitarian leveling mechanisms and demand tribute, labor, and obedience as a religious obligation rather than a purely military exaction[1].

Grain, Legibility, and the Agrarian Manpower State

James C. Scott (2017) fundamentally challenges the classical narrative that the transition to sedentary agriculture naturally and inevitably led to the state. Analyzing the Mesopotamian alluvium, Scott demonstrates that the "domus complex"—the unprecedented concentration of humans, domesticated plants, and livestock—created a "late Neolithic multispecies resettlement camp"[3]. This crowding triggered a perfect epidemiological storm of zoonotic diseases, resulting in chronic, severe labor shortages for early agrarian elites. Consequently, early states were primarily "manpower states" obsessed with population control rather than territorial expansion. Scott argues that the defining features of the early state were walls (constructed to keep taxpayers confined as much as to keep barbarians out), captive-taking, and grain[3]. The state required a highly specific ecological base: cereal grains (wheat, barley, rice). Unlike tubers or legumes, grain matures simultaneously, grows above ground (making it highly visible to tax assessors), and can be easily confiscated, divided, and stored. The early state was, therefore, a machinery of bondage and legibility, arriving only where the ecology permitted the intense taxation of cereal crops.

Collective Action and Institutionalized Good Government

In stark contrast to models relying purely on despotic coercion, Richard E. Blanton and Lane F. Fargher (2008) argue that collective action played a central role in state formation and endurance. Through a systematic analysis of 30 pre-modern polities, they demonstrate that state power was not universally despotic[6]. Using the provisioning of public goods (infrastructure, security, water management) as a proxy for collective action, Blanton and Fargher found that highly effective states relied on broad-based taxation. When a state extracted its revenue broadly from the populace—rather than relying on highly concentrated, point-source wealth like localized gold mines or external plunder—the rulers were forced into a negotiated relationship with their subjects.

This dynamic generated institutionalized "good government." To maintain compliance and reduce the friction of tax collection, rulers had to provide equitable taxation, suppress elite free-riding, and establish non-hereditary, merit-based bureaucracies[7]. This model suggests that the state arrived and stabilized not merely through violence, but when institutions successfully managed the inherent social divisiveness of large, dense populations by delivering collective benefits.

Warfare, Asabiya, and Cultural Multilevel Selection

Peter Turchin approaches state formation through the lens of cultural multilevel selection, utilizing the concept of *asabiya*—Ibn Khaldun's term for collective solidarity and social

cohesion[9]. Turchin posits that the state arrived as an evolutionary response to intense inter-societal competition. In environments characterized by existential military threats, particularly along "meta-ethnic frontiers" where nomadic pastoralists clashed with sedentary agriculturalists (e.g., the Eurasian steppe frontier), the evolutionary pressure was immense. Polities that developed high internal cooperation (*asabiya*) consistently defeated, absorbed, or eradicated polities with lower internal cohesion[9].

Turchin's team utilized the *Seshat: Global History Databank* to empirically test this dynamic. The dataset comprises 51 variables coding sociopolitical complexity across 372 unique polities and 414 unique data points in 30 Natural Geographic Areas, covering 10,000 years of history[12]. Principal component analysis revealed a single dimension (SPC1) that explains approximately 77 percent of the variance in global social organization[14]. The data robustly demonstrate that the rise of sociopolitical complexity is a massive, long-term cyclical process driven by military competition and agricultural productivity. Crucially, the *Seshat* data refutes the "Big Gods" hypothesis; moralizing, universalizing religions did not drive state formation, but rather emerged *after* states had already achieved massive scale, functioning as secondary stabilizing mechanisms rather than prime movers[16].

The Tripartite Realities of State Governance

To objectively evaluate the arrival of the state, rigorous scholarship must separate the ideological claims of the participants from the empirical realities of the archaeological record, and further distinguish these from the structural inferences of modern analysts.

Governance Arrangement	**What the arrangement's own people said it did**	**What the empirical and archaeological record shows it did**	**What modern scholarship infers it structurally achieved**
Flannery/Marcus: Hereditary Chiefdom	Connected the community to divine ancestors, ensuring agricultural fertility and cosmic order through exclusive chiefly rituals.	Concentrated luxury goods (jade, gold) in elite burials; restricted access to monumental architecture; suppressed horizontal kin-networks.	Transformed temporary, merit-based prestige into permanent, institutionalized rank, allowing for the intergenerational accumulation of capital and authority.
Scott: Agrarian	Defended	Erected defensive	Functioned as an

"Manpower" State	civilization against barbarian hordes; provided paternalistic security; civilized the wild frontiers.	walls facing inward; concentrated populations in disease-prone urban centers; relied heavily on slave raiding and forced resettlement.	epidemiological and coercive trap; prioritized agricultural legibility (cereal grains) to maximize the efficiency of surplus extraction and state taxation.
Blanton/Fargher: Collective-Action State	Executed the mandate of heaven; ensured justice, internal harmony, and equitable distribution of resources across the realm.	Built extensive public infrastructure (roads, granaries, aqueducts); implemented broad-based taxation; reduced the localized power of hereditary aristocracies.	Forged a social contract out of necessity; states lacking concentrated point-source wealth had to purchase civilian compliance through the costly provisioning of public goods.
Turchin: Meta-ethnic Empire	Unified disparate peoples under a universal, divine mandate; brought peace and moralizing religion to a chaotic world.	Expanded aggressively along steppe-agrarian frontiers; experienced cyclical, catastrophic collapses driven by elite overpopulation; standardized military technologies.	Acted as an engine of cultural multilevel selection, where intense external warfare acted as an evolutionary sieve, selecting for highly cooperative, ultra-social institutional structures.
Richardson: The Presumptive State	Delivered universal justice (e.g., Code of Ur-Nammu); managed all economic activity; ruled the "four	Relied on local, autonomous kin-groups for dispute resolution; law codes were monumental	Functioned as a "capstone" institution sitting lightly atop a self-sufficient agrarian base;

	corners of the world."	propaganda rarely used in actual jurisprudence.	utilized strategic ambiguation and propaganda to project a non-existent infrastructural hegemony.

The Fragility of the State and the Base Rates of Collapse

If the state was a mechanism of coercion, legibility, and surplus extraction, it was an incredibly fragile one. Norman Yoffee (2005) dismantled the neo-evolutionary myth of a continuous, unidirectional march toward increasing complexity. Early states were fiercely contested, top-heavy structures that routinely fractured, inaugurating periods that later state-centric historians derisively termed "dark ages"[18].

Quantifying Imperial Lifespans

The historical record indicates that state collapse was the statistical norm, not an aberration. Rein Taagepera (1978, 1979) conducted a foundational quantitative analysis of the size and duration of 41 major empires operating between 3000 BCE and 600 CE. Taagepera demonstrated that imperial lifespans fit an exponential distribution model ($y = e^{-\lambda t}$) with a parameter value of $\lambda = 0.46$. This yielded an expected mean imperial lifetime of approximately 220 years[20]. A state holding a territory for more than three centuries was a profound statistical anomaly.

Peter Turchin's analysis of the *Seshat* database's principal component of sociopolitical complexity (SPC1) corroborates this fragility. Logistic regression models applied to 23 geographic areas indicate that while the macro-evolutionary cycle of peak complexity growth takes roughly 2,500 years, this trajectory is punctuated by severe, recurrent collapses[22]. Polities frequently lost immense fractions of their territory, administrative capacity, and population within mere decades due to the exhaustion of *asabiya* and the onset of elite overproduction[9].

Base Rates of Capture and Loss: Regional Timelines

The cyclical nature of hierarchy capturing and losing regions is empirically verifiable across primary global state-formation zones.

Ancient Egypt: The unified pharaonic state was repeatedly interrupted by "Intermediate Periods." These were characterized by the collapse of central taxation, the fragmentation of political authority into regional nomarchies, and the cessation of state-sponsored monumental architecture.

Governance Era	Duration	Percentage of Previous State's Lifespan	Characteristics of Governance
Old Kingdom (~2686–2181 BCE)	505 years	N/A	High centralization; pyramid construction; peak royal authority[23].
First Intermediate (~2181–2055 BCE)	126 years	~25.0%	Central collapse; famine; regional governors (nomarchs) assumed autonomous control[23].
Middle Kingdom (~2055–1650 BCE)	405 years	N/A	Reunification; shift toward broad-based administration and expansion[23].
Second Intermediate (~1650–1550 BCE)	100 years	~24.7%	State fractured: Hyksos controlled the Delta, Thebans in the south, Abydos dynasty in middle Egypt[24].
New Kingdom (~1550–1069 BCE)	481 years	N/A	Imperial peak; vast territorial expansion into the Levant and Nubia[23].
Third Intermediate (~1069–664 BCE)	405 years	~84.2%	Fractured power among Libyan and Nubian ruling families; deep decentralization[24].

Mesopotamia: Seth Richardson (2012, 2017) argues that early Mesopotamian states were "presumptive" rather than absolute. Analyzing the Old Babylonian period (c. 2000–1600 BCE), Richardson demonstrates that states wielded "infrastructural power" largely through strategic

ambiguation and propaganda[19]. The famous Law Code of Ur-Nammu (c. 2112 BCE) was not a functional statute utilized by a vast judiciary, but monumental propaganda intended to launder the brute power of an invading conqueror into divine legitimacy[29]. The state claimed universal hegemony, but its administrative grip rarely extended beyond the city walls. Patricia Crone (1989) reinforces this, noting that pre-modern states were "capstone" structures operating with extensive rather than intensive power; they sat lightly on top of self-sufficient villages, capable of tax extraction but incapable of deep social reorganization[31].

China and Mesoamerica: State lifespans followed similarly turbulent cycles. In China, periods of intense centralization (e.g., the Han Dynasty, terminating in 220 CE) were followed by massive interregna (the Han dark age, 220–c. 580 CE), a 360-year period where hierarchical control was lost to regional warlords and decentralized agricultural networks[33]. In Mesoamerica, the collapse of the Classic Maya resulted in a complete demographic shift away from southern lowland city-states toward the northern Yucatan, where populations reorganized into expansive, decentralized economic confederacies (e.g., the League of Mayapan)[8].

The Bioarchaeological Reality of Inequality

When states successfully captured populations, the biological and economic costs were severe. Mummert et al. (2011) conducted a systematic review of the bioarchaeological record, finding a robust, globally replicated decline in adult human stature and a sharp increase in skeletal markers of infectious disease and malnutrition corresponding directly with the transition to intense agriculture and state confinement[34].

Timothy Kohler and Michael E. Smith (2018) quantified the economic disparities of this transition utilizing Gini coefficients derived from archaeological household sizes. Analyzing 62 archaeological cases comprising over 1,100 sites and 47,000 residential units spanning 10,000 years, they found that persistent wealth inequality lagged behind the onset of plant domestication by more than a millennium[36]. Inequality only spiked dramatically when populations were subjected to multi-tiered settlement hierarchies (states). Interestingly, Old World agrarian states achieved significantly higher baseline inequality (Gini > 0.5) than New World states. This divergence occurred because the Old World possessed large domesticated animals (beasts of burden), which allowed elites to monopolize traction, plow agriculture, and long-distance transport, massively accelerating the concentration of wealth[38].

The Architecture of Evasion: How the State Left Again

Because the early state operated as a machine for generating inequality, morbidity, and bondage, populations did not accept it passively. The historical and ethnographic records are replete with stateless governance models that operated effectively during interregna or in deliberate geographic refuges.

1. Reverse Dominance Hierarchies (Hunter-Gatherers)

Christopher Boehm (1999) analyzed 48 foraging societies to demonstrate that egalitarianism is not a passive, natural default. Because humans share a common ancestor with hierarchical primates, we possess innate psychological drives for dominance[40]. Hunter-gatherers actively counteract this through a "reverse dominance hierarchy," wherein the physically or politically weak form a united coalition to violently suppress alpha upstarts. This requires constant

vigilance, utilizing leveling mechanisms such as gossip, ridicule, exclusion, and, ultimately, the execution of individuals attempting to consolidate coercive authority[42].

2. Seasonal Dualism and Conscious Institutional Design

David Graeber and David Wengrow (2021) reject the Rousseauian notion that early humans lived in innocent, egalitarian bands purely due to a lack of technological sophistication[44]. They present archaeological evidence indicating that early societies engaged in "seasonal dualism." These populations adopted authoritarian, top-down structures during certain times of the year (e.g., intensive harvests or specific collective hunts) and deliberately dismantled them in favor of radically egalitarian, dispersed structures during the remainder of the year[45]. This indicates that early human populations were highly conscious political actors who recognized the utility of hierarchy for specific tasks, but actively dismantled state-like structures before they could permanently calcify.

3. Zomia: Escape Agriculture in the Asian Massif

James C. Scott (2009) identified "Zomia"—a massive, mountainous region of Southeast Asia spanning 2.5 million square kilometers and containing an estimated 80 to 100 million minority peoples—as a deliberate refuge from the coercive valley states of Burma, Thailand, and China[5]. The administrative reach of pre-modern agrarian states faded roughly 300 kilometers from the capital due to the immense friction of terrain[46]. The hill peoples actively engineered their societies to evade capture. They adopted "escape agriculture," relying on root crops like cassava and yams that mature underground and cannot be easily burned by invading armies or quantified by tax assessors[5]. Furthermore, they adopted flexible, non-lineage social structures and, in some instances, deliberately abandoned written language to prevent state legibility and auditing[5].

4. The Ahwar (Mesopotamian Marshes)

The *Ahwar*, or Mesopotamian Marshes in southern Iraq, covered up to 20,000 square kilometers at the confluence of the Tigris and Euphrates rivers[48]. For over 5,000 years, this wetland served as an impenetrable refuge from the coercive states of the Mesopotamian alluvium. The Ma'dan (Marsh Arabs) built highly decentralized communities on artificial islands constructed from layers of mud and reeds[49]. The labyrinthine, aquatic geography entirely negated the chariot- and infantry-based military advantages of central empires. This allowed stateless, kin-based governance to thrive continuously amidst the successive rise and collapse of Babylonian, Persian, and Ottoman empires[49].

5. Quilombo dos Palmares (Maroon Republic)

In the 17th century, enslaved Africans who escaped the brutal Portuguese colonial state in Brazil established *Quilombos* (maroon settlements) in the deep interior. The largest and longest-lasting, Quilombo dos Palmares (c. 1605–1694), spanned 13,000 square miles in the state of Alagoas and housed tens of thousands of inhabitants[51]. Integrating Central African political models, indigenous horticultural practices, and defensive guerilla warfare, Palmares operated as a highly sophisticated, self-sustaining confederacy. It maintained a decentralized network of *mocambos* (fortified hideouts) united under elected leaders like Ganga-Zumba and

Zumbi. Through extreme decentralization and martial readiness, Palmares successfully resisted Dutch and Portuguese military incursions for nearly a century[51].

6. The Zaporozhian Sich (Cossack Republic)

The Zaporozhian Sich (c. 1552–1775) was a highly militarized, autonomous proto-state located in the "Wild Fields" along the lower Dnieper River[54]. Founded by Ruthenians fleeing serfdom in the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth and the Tsardom of Russia, the Sich peaked at over 100,000 members in the mid-17th century[55]. It was governed by the *Sich Rada* (a general assembly) which democratically elected the *Kish otaman* (supreme commander) and other executive officers[55]. Operating entirely without written laws, it relied on customary traditions and offered a radically egalitarian (though strictly militarized and exclusively male) alternative to feudal serfdom, successfully maintaining autonomy until it was liquidated by Catherine the Great's imperial expansion in 1775[55].

Scale Ledger & Capture Test: Architectures of Evasion

Governance Arrangement	Scale Ledger (Where it worked, failed, and why)	Capture Test (Dark Triad Vulnerability, Historical Cases, Resistance)
Reverse Dominance Hierarchy (Boehm)	**Worked:** Band (30-50). Universal face-to-face surveillance makes collective coalition building mathematically stable. **Failed:** Parish (1,500+) to Planet (8b). Anonymity at scale allows alphas to recruit sub-factions and hide hoarded resources without detection.	**Capture:** A psychopathic actor uses charm to slowly divide the egalitarian coalition, accumulating resources covertly before violently purging critics. **History:** The historical transition to chiefdoms frequently occurred when an alpha secured external military backing or monopolized a new resource. **Resistance:** Lethal leveling mechanisms; execution, ridicule, or permanent exile of upstarts by communal consensus[42].
Seasonal Dualism	**Worked:** Village (150) to	**Capture:** A Machiavellian

(Graeber/Wengrow)	Town (15k). Allowed flexible scaling for resource-intensive seasons (harvests) followed by egalitarian dispersal. **Failed:** Nation (50m). Industrial infrastructure and capital accumulation require continuous, year-round maintenance and security.	leader appointed for the authoritarian season refuses to dismantle their power apparatus when the season ends, citing an ongoing manufactured "crisis." **History:** The transition from temporary Roman Dictatorships to the permanent Principate under Augustus. **Resistance:** Deep cultural taboos against retaining seasonal power; ritual humiliation of leaders at the end of their tenure.
Escape Agriculture (Scott's Zomia)	**Worked:** Village (150) to Region (100m total, but functionally fragmented). Extreme geographic friction prevents centralization and taxation. **Failed:** Planet (8b). The strategy depends entirely on the existence of remote, inaccessible frontiers, which modern technology has eliminated.	**Capture:** A narcissistic trader establishes a choke-point on a vital external resource (salt or iron), centralizing economic power over scattered hill tribes. **History:** Local hill chiefs frequently acted as proxy tax-farmers for lowland valley states in exchange for luxury goods[5]. **Resistance:** Deliberate physical dispersal; reliance on untaxable root crops; fierce cultural rejection of written ledgers[5].
Maroon Republic (Palmares)	**Worked:** Parish (1,500) to Town (30k). A shared,	**Capture:** A military leader leverages the perpetual

	existential external threat (slavery) enforced extreme internal solidarity and cooperation. **Failed:** Nation (50m). Could not sustain the industrial military logistics required to permanently defeat imperial colonial powers.	state of emergency to declare martial law, eliminating democratic councils in favor of hereditary rule. **History:** Internal factional friction between Ganga-Zumba (who sought a peace treaty) and Zumbi (who overthrew him to continue the war)[51]. **Resistance:** Highly decentralized networks of *mocambos* providing redundancy; election of leaders strictly by martial merit and communal approval.
Cossack Rada (Zaporozhian Sich)	**Worked:** Town (50k) to Region (100k+). An egalitarian military brotherhood scaled effectively via intense cultural initiation and mobility. **Failed:** Continental (500m). Ultimately lacked the bureaucratic depth and diplomatic leverage to resist total imperial modernization.	**Capture:** A charismatic *otaman* refuses to step down after a military campaign, utilizing captured plunder to bribe the *starshyna* (senior officers)[57]. **History:** Catherine the Great's subversion of the elite officer class, leading to the eventual liquidation of the host in 1775[55]. **Resistance:** Strict, boisterous democratic elections at the *Rada*; the universal, violently enforced right of all Cossacks to depose leaders via assembly.

The Strongest Case Against: Critiques of Stateless and "Low-Power" Histories

The anti-state narratives championed by Scott, Graeber, and Wengrow face severe scholarly pushback. It is vital to avoid the naturalistic fallacy and the romance of the primitive: "stateless" does not inherently mean "peaceful" or "free," and life outside the state often required trading the oppression of a distant tax collector for the suffocating conformist surveillance of a village or the brutal violence of tribal warfare.

1. **Critique of Scott's Zomia:** Victor Lieberman heavily challenges Scott's thesis, arguing that the empirical evidence for massive, deliberate population displacement from lowlands to highlands is exceptionally thin. Lieberman contends that outside of specific corridors like central Vietnam, the historical record of flight is limited. He suggests Scott overstates the agency, anarchist intentions, and demographic size of hill peoples, projecting a modern anti-statist ideology onto populations that were simply acting on ecological drift[58].
2. **Critique of Graeber and Wengrow:** Chris Knight heavily criticizes *The Dawn of Everything* for glossing over the strict biological and evolutionary realities of early humans. Knight argues that Graeber and Wengrow's dismissal of the egalitarian hunter-gatherer baseline ignores the evolutionary constraints (e.g., massive brain size, cooperative breeding requirements) that biologically *necessitated* intense, fiercely enforced egalitarianism to support human speciation[59]. Furthermore, Adrian Ivakhiv points out that Graeber and Wengrow cherry-pick archaeological data to support an anarchist political project, ignoring instances where "conscious political choices" led directly to catastrophic violence and entrenched hierarchy[60].
3. **Critique of the "Totalizing" State Model:** Seth Richardson critiques models like Scott's that treat all archaic states as monolithic engines of slavery. Richardson and Yoffee warn against flattening the historical record, noting that early urban centers offered genuine attractions, economic synergies, and social mobility that pulled people in voluntarily, rather than relying exclusively on walls and coercion[18].

10-Line Summary of Robust Findings

1. Early states functioned primarily as mechanisms for taxing grain and mobilizing captive labor, not as benevolent providers of cosmic or social order.
2. The archaic state was statistically fragile; Taagepera's data shows mean imperial lifespans hovered around 220 years before collapsing into interregna.
3. Bioarchaeological records confirm that the transition to state-managed agriculture uniformly decreased adult human stature and increased infectious disease.
4. Wealth inequality (measured by Gini coefficients) remained low for millennia after plant domestication, spiking only when multi-tiered state hierarchies emerged.
5. Early states were "presumptive," projecting an aura of totalitarian infrastructural power while actually functioning as weak capstones reliant on local autonomous elites.
6. Human egalitarianism is not a natural baseline, but requires active, sometimes lethal, engineering (reverse dominance) to suppress innate primate drives for hierarchy.

7. Populations actively fled coercive states into geographic friction zones (mountains, marshes) to establish decentralized, "escape" agricultural societies.
8. Non-state societies utilized seasonal dualism, temporarily adopting hierarchical structures for specific resource-intensive tasks and dismantling them afterward.
9. "Good government" (public goods provisioning) emerges not from elite benevolence, but when states are forced to rely on broad-based taxation rather than point-source wealth.
10. The greatest threat to complex hierarchical governance is not external conquest, but internal elite overproduction, leading to factionalism and systemic collapse.

Contested or Open Questions

- To what extent was the migration into "Zomia" and other stateless zones driven by conscious ideological resistance, versus simple ecological drift or local population pressures?
- Are the bioarchaeological declines in health seen in early states solely the result of state coercion and wealth hoarding, or the unavoidable epidemiological consequence of increased population density and zoonotic crossovers?
- Can "collective action" states (republics) survive in resource-rich environments where elites do not rely on the population for tax revenue (the resource curse), or does this inevitably lead to despotic capture?
- Is the "seasonal dualism" identified by Graeber and Wengrow a viable governance model for post-industrial, permanently settled populations, or is it strictly limited to mobile foraging ecologies?

Sources to Read in Full

1. **Scott, James C. (2017).** *Against the Grain: A Deep History of the Earliest States.*
2. **Boehm, Christopher. (1999).** *Hierarchy in the Forest: The Evolution of Egalitarian Behavior.*
3. **Kohler, Timothy A., and Michael E. Smith, eds. (2018).** *Ten Thousand Years of Inequality: The Archaeology of Wealth Differences.*
4. **Turchin, Peter. (2006).** *Historical Dynamics and Development of Complex Societies.*
5. **Graeber, David, and David Wengrow. (2021).** *The Dawn of Everything: A New History of Humanity.*
6. **Blanton, Richard E., and Lane F. Fargher. (2008).** *Collective Action in the Formation of Pre-Modern States.*
7. **Richardson, Seth. (2012).** "Early Mesopotamia: The Presumptive State," *Past & Present* 215.
8. **Flannery, Kent, and Joyce Marcus. (2012).** *The Creation of Inequality.*
9. **Scott, James C. (2009).** *The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia.*
10. **Taagepera, Rein. (1979).** "Size and Duration of Empires: Growth-Decline Curves, 600 B.C. to 600 A.D." *Social Science History*.

Implementability after the Fall

To establish a minimum viable version of resilient, stateless governance in a post-collapse

environment (no state, no electrical grid, no internet), communities must engineer structures that actively prevent dark-triad capture while avoiding the fragility of the "presumptive state."

Household (4 to 10 people):

- **Minimum Viable Version:** Rotational leadership based on strict task competency (e.g., foraging, defense, child-rearing), mirroring hunter-gatherer flexibility.
- **Requirements:** A shared hearth for nightly debriefings; absolute veto power for any adult member on major logistical or rationing decisions to prevent coercion.
- **First Failure to Expect:** Charismatic dominance by the most physically capable member during a prolonged crisis, shifting temporary authority into permanent household tyranny.

Village (300 people):

- **Minimum Viable Version:** A Reverse Dominance Assembly. A council of all adults that explicitly retains the right to mock, exile, or physically punish anyone attempting to hoard resources or weaponize violence.
- **Requirements:** A physical meeting plaza capable of holding 300 people; a shared lunar calendar to synchronize mandatory, periodic assemblies; widespread physical armament among the populace to prevent any single faction from achieving a monopoly on violence.
- **First Failure to Expect:** A charismatic protector successfully repels an external raid, claims the title of permanent chief, and uses captured wealth to pay a loyal, specialized bodyguard (mimicking Peisistratos's club-bearers in Athens).

District (3,000 people):

- **Minimum Viable Version:** A Collective-Action Confederacy. A decentralized network of 10 villages united solely for mutual defense and dispute resolution, funded by broad-based, highly visible taxation (e.g., grain contributions) that directly and exclusively funds public goods (irrigation, watchtowers).
- **Requirements:** Basic literacy for ledger-keeping to ensure tax equity; physical tokens or receipts; a designated neutral ground for inter-village assemblies (mirroring the Cossack Rada).
- **First Failure to Expect:** The delegation of administrative ledger-keeping to a specialized, literate priesthood or bureaucracy, which subsequently obfuscates the records to embezzle public goods and transform civic authority into hereditary rank.

Principles

1. **The Legibility Principle:** A state's ability to exert control over a population is strictly proportional to its ability to quantify, map, and standardize that population's caloric output and geographic location.
2. **The Asabiya Principle:** Sociopolitical complexity and institutional resilience scale in direct proportion to the intensity of external existential competition faced by the group.
3. **The Reverse Dominance Principle:** Egalitarianism is biologically unnatural and degrades into hierarchy unless actively and continuously enforced through institutionalized levelling mechanisms (ridicule, exile, execution).
4. **The Capstone Principle:** State infrastructural power is often an illusion generated by propaganda; effective governance relies entirely on the state's ability to negotiate with and co-opt preexisting, localized power structures.

5. **The Tax-to-Services Principle:** A governing body will only consistently provide public goods if its financial survival depends on broad-based, equitable taxation extracted from the general populace, rather than monopolized point-source wealth.
6. **The Elite Overproduction Principle:** The lifespan of a complex society is inversely correlated with the number of highly resourced, status-seeking elites competing for a finite number of administrative and economic positions.
7. **The Epidemiological Trap Principle:** The concentration of populations required for state-level surplus extraction inevitably triggers high morbidity rates due to zoonotic disease crossover and sanitation failures.
8. **The Geographic Friction Principle:** Hierarchical capture fails where rugged terrain, marshes, or extreme distances render the energetic cost of military projection and tax collection higher than the extractable caloric yield.
9. **The Seasonal Plasticity Principle:** Populations can avoid the calcification of permanent hierarchy by constitutionally restricting authoritarian command structures to specific, time-bound ecological or military crises.
10. **The Ideological Ratchet Principle:** Temporary, merit-based prestige only becomes permanent, inescapable inequality when elites successfully invent religious or biological mythologies that restrict high status to hereditary bloodlines.

Works cited

1. Crowning affairs: sacred sovereigns in the Pre-Columbian world, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21500894.2025.2450230
2. Feasting and Polis Institutions 900435672X, 9789004356726, https://dokumen.pub/feasting-and-polis-institutions-900435672x-9789004356726.html
3. Against the Grain | The Ted K Archive, https://www.thetedkarchive.com/library/james-c-scott-against-the-grain
4. State Capacity, Security, and Welfare in Ancient Southwest Asia, https://events.bse.eu/live/files/5314-baten-benati-szoltysiak-tagini-upf-seminar-7-x-24
5. The Art of Not Being Governed - Yale Law School, https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/Intellectual_Life/LTW-Scott.pdf
6. Collective Action in the Formation of Pre-Modern States, https://books.google.bf/books?id=MwFGv6nQ45AC
7. Collective action, premodern good government, and the ... - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-dynamics/articles/10.3389/fhumd.2024.1454235/full
8. Premodern Confederacies: Balancing Strategic Collective Action, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/political-science/articles/10.3389/fpos.2022.807239/pdf
9. a radical new theory of world history - Global Systemic Risk, https://risk.princeton.edu/img/Historical_Collapse_Resources/Turchin_War_and_P

eace.pdf
10. Historical Dynamics and Development of Complex Societies. Moscow, https://www.academia.edu/33838128/Historical_Dynamics_and_Development_of_Complex_Societies_Moscow_URSS_Editorial_2006
11. Is War Creative? | Peter Turchin, https://peterturchin.com/is-war-creative/
12. Complex societies precede moralizing gods throughout world history, https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:ec4933d8-0999-45f5-8991-7d0d0b2f4630/files/m6a27166d50a02c718c5d7d1d0626d257
13. Explaining the rise of moralizing religions: a test of competing, https://www.research.unipd.it/bitstream/11577/3553084/1/2022_Explaining%20the%20rise%20of%20moralizing%20religions%20a%20test%20of%20competing%20hypotheses%20using%20the%20Seshat%20Databank.pdf
14. Quantitative historical analysis uncovers a single dimension ... - PNAS, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1708800115
15. Scale and information-processing thresholds in Holocene social, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7224170/
16. Full article: Testing the Big Gods hypothesis with global historical data, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/2153599X.2022.2074085
17. (PDF) Testing the Big Gods hypothesis with global historical data, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361631607_Testing_the_Big_Gods_hypothesis_with_global_historical_data_a_review_and_retake
18. Myths of the Archaic State Reviewed | PDF | Anthropology - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/785296621/Yoffee-et-al-2005
19. The 'Mesopotamian trap': from the 'first' international to dynamic, https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/articles/journal_contribution/The_Mesopotamian_trap_from_the_first_international_to_dynamic_multiplicity/29809127/1/files/56855198.pdf
20. 14 - Length of time such civilizations release detectable signals into, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/drake-equation/length-of-time-such-civilizations-release-detectable-signals-into-space-l-1961-to-the-present/8B9BF410029D0C1061922ECF9C7BFBB7
21. The Life-Spans of Empires, https://pdodds.w3.uvm.edu/files/papers/others/2011/arbesman2011a.pdf
22. The characteristic time scale of cultural evolution - Oxford Academic, https://academic.oup.com/pnasnexus/article/3/2/pgae009/7601430
23. Egyptian Old Kingdom Dynasties - Discovering Egypt, https://discoveringegypt.com/ancient-egyptian-kings-queens/egyptian-old-kingdom-dynasties/
24. Historical Overview of Ancient Egyptian Dynasties | Art History I, https://fiveable.me/art-prehistoric-to-middle-ages/unit-4/historical-overview-ancient-egyptian-dynasties/study-guide/TTWrfGyJbsSkoMib
25. Second Intermediate Period of Egypt - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Intermediate_Period_of_Egypt
26. Ancient Egypt - The Old Kingdom (c. 2543–c. 2120 bce) and the First, https://www.britannica.com/place/ancient-Egypt/The-Old-Kingdom-c-2543-c-2120-bce-and-the-First-Intermediate-period-c-2118-c-1980-bce

27. Before Things Worked: A "Low-Power" Model of Early Mesopotamia, https://www.academia.edu/35643715/Before_Things_Worked_A_Low_Power_Model_of_Early_Mesopotamia_Pp_17_62_in_Ancient_States_and_Infrastructural_Power_Europe_Asia_and_America_ed_C_Ando_and_S_Richardson_U_Pennsylvania_Press_2017_
28. Seth Richardson - ISAC Museum - The University of Chicago, https://isac.uchicago.edu/people/richardson-seth
29. Full article: Why the rule of law? A historical perspective, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/2049677X.2024.2418274
30. Why the rule of law? A historical perspective, https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:a6192152-eeb0-4342-8e0d-7844c41815e4/files/r7s75df02p
31. The Pre-Modern Order - Econlib, https://www.econlib.org/library/columns/y2024/klingpremodernorder.html
32. Military Academies in the Fight for Modern China - UC Berkeley, https://escholarship.org/content/qt30k0k6gs/qt30k0k6gs.pdf?v=lg
33. Named Empires - Medium, https://medium.com/@alysion42/named-empires-47235719faf8
34. Stature and robusticity during the agricultural transition - IDEAS/RePEc, https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ehbiol/v9y2011i3p284-301.html
35. Is there a well-researched depiction of what the transition ... - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskAnthropology/comments/ochrpm/is_there_a_wellresearched_depiction_of_what_the/
36. Ten Thousand Years of Inequality: The Archaeology of Wealth, https://oasis.library.unlv.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1501&context=anthro_fac_articles
37. Economic inequality is fueled by population scale, land-limited, https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/225525/1/kohler-et-al-economic-inequality-is-fueled-by-population-scale-land-limited-production-and-settlement-hierarchies.pdf
38. Timothy A. Kohler, Michael Ernest Smith | PDF | Economic Inequality, https://www.scribd.com/document/515182063/Timothy-A-Kohler-Michael-Ernest-Smith-Ten-Thousand-Years-Of-Inequality-The-Archaeology-Of-Wealth-Differences-2018-The-University-Of-Arizona-Pre
39. EARLIEST HOUSES, BUILDINGS, POSSESSIONS AND WOODEN, https://factsanddetails.com/world/cat56/sub362/item1501.html
40. Hierarchy in the Forest: The Evolution of Egalitarian Behavior, https://opac.uma.ac.id/repository/0674390318.pdf
41. (PDF) Human social organization during the Late Pleistocene, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362113644_Human_social_organization_during_the_Late_Pleistocene_Beyond_the_nomadic-egalitarian_model
42. Hypotheses for the Evolution of Reduced Reactive Aggression in the, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01914/full
43. 4. Leadership and followership - OSF, https://osf.io/download/r7ekn/

44. The Dawn of Everything by David Graeber and David Wengrow, https://docdrop.org/download_annotation_doc/The-Dawn-of-Everything-by-David-Graeber-David-Wengrow-z-lib.-zmbbo.pdf
45. Powerlessness, Warfare, and the Politics of Imagination - ejournals, https://ejournals.eu/en/journal_article_files/full_text/019c9f1e-9304-73e8-8c78-e18932c6935d/download
46. Zomia — James Scott on highland peoples - Understanding Society, https://undsoc.org/2010/03/25/zomia-james-scott-on-highland-peoples/
47. The art of not being legible - MPG.PuRe, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3008171_4/component/file_3008597/content
48. Hidden beauty of Mesopotamia: Iraqi Marshes - Daily Sabah, https://www.dailysabah.com/gallery/hidden-beauty-of-mesopotamia-iraqi-marshes/images
49. The Marshlands of Mesopotamia, IRAK, https://medomed.org/featured_item/the-marshlands-of-mesopotamia-cultural-landscape-irak/
50. The Disappearing Heritage of Iraq's Marshlands - The Otter, https://theotter.ca/the-disappearing-heritage-of-iraqs-marshlands/
51. Quilombo - A Dica do Dia, Discover Brazil and Rio, https://rioandlearn.com/quilombo/
52. Cultural Resistance in the African Diaspora, https://scholarship.claremont.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4341&context=cmc_theses
53. Slavery in Brazil - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slavery_in_Brazil
54. Cossacks - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cossacks
55. Zaporozhian Sich - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zaporozhian_Sich
56. Back in times when Cossacks were a social class, what nations, https://www.quora.com/back-in-times-when-Cossacks-were-a-social-class-what-nations-members-joined-Cossack-most
57. Cossacks - Alchetron, The Free Social Encyclopedia, https://alchetron.com/Cossacks
58. Zomia reconsidered - Understanding Society, https://understandingsociety.blogspot.com/2010/10/zomia-reconsidered.html
59. Special Issue on The Dawn of Everything | The Ted K Archive, https://www.thetedkarchive.com/library/various-authors-special-issue-on-the-dawn-of-everything
60. The dawn of everything good? - The Immanent Frame, https://tif.ssrc.org/2022/07/14/the-dawn-of-everything-good/
61. Ancient Near Eastern Weltanschauungen in Contact and in Contrast, https://dokumen.pub/ancient-near-eastern-weltanschauungen-in-contact-and-in-contrast-rethinking-ideology-and-propaganda-in-the-ancient-near-east-wedge-2-3963271868-9783963271861.html