

The Agronomy and Processing of *Triticum aestivum* and *Triticum durum*: Cultivation, Harvesting, Threshing, and Winnowing Dynamics

Introduction to Wheat Taxonomy and End-Use Modalities

The cultivation, harvesting, and processing of wheat represent a highly orchestrated sequence of agronomic, mechanical, and biochemical interventions designed to tailor the grain for specific culinary applications. The fundamental divergence in wheat processing pathways—specifically between hard winter wheat utilized for bread and pasta, and soft spring wheat utilized for pastries and cakes—originates at the genetic and genomic levels.

Modern cultivated wheat is categorized primarily into hexaploid bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, comprising genomes A, B, and D) and tetraploid macaroni wheat (*Triticum durum*, comprising genomes A and B)¹. The extreme physical hardness of durum wheat, which dictates its specialized use for pasta production, is a direct consequence of its ploidy; it lacks the D genome and, consequently, the Hardness (*Ha*) locus located at the distal end of chromosome 5DS². In hexaploid *T. aestivum*, the *Ha* locus harbors the *Pina* and *Pinb* genes, which encode puroindoline A and puroindoline B². These lipid-binding proteins, collectively known as friabilin, coat the surface of starch granules and act as a biochemical "nonstick" boundary layer between the starch and the surrounding protein matrix⁶.

When both *Pina* and *Pinb* are present in their wild-type, functional state, the resulting kernel texture is soft, yielding fine flour with minimal starch damage, which is highly prized for pastry, cake, and biscuit production⁴. Conversely, specific mutations or deletions in either the *Pina* or *Pinb* alleles result in a hard kernel texture⁵. This hard texture requires significantly more mechanical energy to mill and produces coarse flour with high levels of damaged starch—an attribute that is mechanically and chemically ideal for the high water-absorption requirements of bread dough⁵. Furthermore, experimental introgression of the *Ha* locus into durum wheat transforms its extremely hard texture into a soft-kernel phenotype, dramatically altering its milling quality and opening new culinary applications beyond traditional pasta².

Coupled with these endosperm variations are the phenological growth habits: winter wheats require vernalization (a period of prolonged cold) to transition from vegetative to reproductive growth, whereas spring wheats do not¹¹. Understanding the interplay between these genetic traits, their localized cultivation requirements, and their mechanical behavior during harvesting, threshing, and winnowing is paramount for optimizing both agronomic yield and downstream flour quality.

Cultivation and Agronomic Design

Vernalization and Phenological Development

The decision to plant hard winter wheat versus soft spring wheat fundamentally alters the phenological timeline of the agricultural operation. Winter wheat cultivation is governed tightly by the *VERNALIZATION1* (*VRN1*) gene, a MADS-box transcription factor that initiates the transition to reproductive competence¹¹. During autumn sowing, as day length decreases, the floral repressor *VRN2* suppresses the central flowering activator *FT1* (Flowering Locus T1)¹¹. The plant physically requires a vernalization period—typically three to eight weeks of low, non-freezing temperatures—to induce epigenetic modifications, specifically histone methylation (H3K4me3 and H3K27me3), at the *VRN1* chromatin locus¹². This methylation process down-regulates *VRN2* expression in the leaves, releasing the suppression of *FT1* and allowing the plant to achieve reproductive competence by spring¹³. Spring wheats, conversely, possess at least one dominant *VRN1* allele, bypassing this epigenetic requirement entirely and allowing them to be sown directly in the spring¹². The operational growing window for these crops is dictated by regional frost dates and the accumulation of thermal energy, quantified as Growing Degree Days (GDD)¹⁶.

Frost Threshold	Last Spring Occurrence	First Autumn Occurrence	Resulting Frost-Free Days
Air Frost ($0^{\circ}C$ / $32^{\circ}F$)	May 8	September 22	137 days
Light Ground Frost ($-1^{\circ}C$ / $30^{\circ}F$)	April 26	October 6	~163 days
Hard Ground Frost ($-2^{\circ}C$ / $28^{\circ}F$)	April 5	October 28	~206 days
Table 1: Example of meteorological constraints dictating the growing season in temperate European climates (USDA Zone 7b),			

illustrating the critical operational windows for spring planting and autumn harvesting ¹⁶ .			
---	--	--	--

Leaf and stem expansion kinetics are highly temperature-dependent, with optimal leaf expansion occurring near 28°C and halting below 0°C or above 38°C ¹. Alterations in the final stature of the wheat plant, which ranges from 30 to 150 cm, are attributed to environmental influences on internode dimensions rather than the total number of internodes¹.

Pedology, Humus Balance, and Nitrogen Dynamics

Cultivating high-protein hard wheats demands rigorous nitrogen management and profound soil stewardship, particularly in regions dominated by fragile, coarse-textured soils such as Pleistocene-derived glacial sands and sandy loams (Arenosols)¹⁶. These soils frequently exhibit critically low agricultural yield indices¹⁶. While their abundance of macropores ensures excellent aeration and allows for mechanical cultivation under virtually any moisture regime, they suffer from an exceptionally low Available Water Capacity (AWC) and a severe vulnerability to the

downward leaching of water-soluble macronutrients—particularly nitrate (NO_3^-) and potassium (K^+)—during winter precipitation events¹⁶.

To maintain soil organic carbon (SOC) and provide the requisite nitrogen for heavy-feeding wheat crops, agronomic models utilize the Humus Balance framework established by the Association of German Agricultural Analytic and Research Institutes (VDLUFA)¹⁶. Winter cereals, such as hard winter wheat, induce moderate soil organic carbon depletion, operating at approximately -280 kg Humus-C/ha¹⁶. This depletion occurs as mechanical cultivation shatters soil aggregates, exposing protected carbon to enzymatic microbial oxidation¹⁶. To mitigate this, a rigorously structured multi-year crop rotation is mandatory¹⁶.

The incorporation of crops like the Blue Lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) enables massive biological nitrogen fixation via symbiotic root infection by *Bradyrhizobium* species, achieving fixation rates of 150 to 180 kg N/ha¹⁶. The release of this biologically fixed nitrogen to a subsequent wheat crop follows a highly predictable first-order exponential decay model based on residue mineralization kinetics:

$$N_{min}(t) = N_0(1 - e^{-kt})$$

where $N_{min}(t)$ is the mineralized nitrogen at time t , N_0 is the potentially mineralizable nitrogen pool, and k is the mineralization rate constant¹⁶.

The manipulation of this nitrogen pool is strictly dependent on the desired end-use of the wheat. Soft spring wheats, intended for low-protein pastry applications, require careful

moderation of available nitrogen to prevent excessive protein accumulation, which would increase dough tenacity and negatively impact the delicate, crumbly texture required for biscuits and cakes¹⁸. Conversely, hard winter wheats suffer from a well-documented protein-yield trade-off: as primary grain yield increases due to favorable environmental conditions, the relative concentration of protein in the mature grain typically decreases¹⁹. To overcome this inverse relationship and achieve the high Grain Protein Deviation (GPD) necessary for strong bread flours, precision foliar nitrogen applications are deployed at anthesis¹⁹. Experimental data confirms that late-season foliar spraying of urea-ammonium nitrate (UAN) utilizing fine droplets, or aqueous urea utilizing coarse droplets, can increase grain protein concentration by up to 12% without sacrificing total grain yield¹⁹. This late-stage nitrogen assimilation bypasses root uptake, directly entering the vegetative tissues for post-anthesis remobilization into the developing endosperm¹⁹.

Phytopathological Constraints and Bio-Amelioration

Crop rotation must strategically isolate wheat from devastating soil-borne pathogens. While wheat itself is largely immune to certain broadleaf pathogens, it serves as a critical break crop to suppress the buildup of inoculum for other susceptible phases of the rotation¹⁶. For example, a strict minimum rotational interval of 4 to 6 years is necessary to starve the resilient resting spores of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot), an obligate biotrophic protist that attacks cruciferous crops¹⁶. The use of wheat, followed by non-cruciferous cover crops like Phacelia and Bristle Oat, ensures absolute starvation of these latent resting spores¹⁶.

Intensive nitrogen application during wheat cultivation exponentially increases the risk of nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions due to transiently anaerobic microsites forming within the soil matrix following rainfall¹⁶. N_2O is a potent greenhouse gas possessing a 100-year global warming potential 273 times greater than carbon dioxide¹⁶. Advanced agronomic interventions actively curtail these emissions through the incorporation of engineered biochar (pyrolyzed at temperatures exceeding $450^\circ C$)¹⁶. Biochar physically adsorbs labile ammonium and nitrate via pseudo-second-order kinetics, preventing rapid conversion into volatile intermediates¹⁶. More profoundly, metagenomic transcriptomic analyses unequivocally demonstrate that biochar directly upregulates the *nosZ* clade II functional gene within the soil microbiome¹⁶. Organisms carrying this gene synthesize nitrous oxide reductase, the only known biological enzyme capable of driving the terminal step of denitrification—the reduction of highly toxic N_2O into entirely benign dinitrogen gas (N_2)¹⁶.

To address potassium deficiencies in sandy soils without relying on synthetic muriate of potash, elite strains of Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria (KSB), such as *Bacillus mucilaginosus*, are inoculated¹⁶. These bacteria execute localized acidolysis and complexolysis, exuding low-molecular-weight organic acids that physically destabilize primary aluminosilicate minerals (e.g., feldspar), mobilizing entrapped potassium ions directly into the soil solution for the wheat root network¹⁶.

Pre-Harvest Physiology and Maturation Risks

Moisture Content Kinetics and Harvest Timing

Determining the precise harvest window is a critical calculation balancing the physical maturity of the kernel against the omnipresent risk of environmental degradation. The optimum seed moisture content for harvesting hard winter and soft spring wheat is strictly between 15% and 17%¹⁵. Harvesting when the grain exceeds 20% moisture exponentially increases the risk of catastrophic mechanical damage during threshing¹⁵. High moisture leaves the caryopsis highly susceptible to severe internal bruising and fissure development, and promotes rapid colonization by molds and bacteria during bulk storage¹⁵.

Conversely, delaying the harvest until ambient relative humidity drops the seed moisture below 13% invites severe shattering losses, where the brittle rachis snaps and mature kernels naturally detach, falling to the soil surface¹⁵. It is generally advised to initiate harvesting operations when roughly 15% to 20% of the seed is slightly immature, anticipating that the crop will continue to dry down over the two to three weeks required to complete a large-scale harvest¹⁵.

Enzymatic Degradation: PHS vs. LMA

During the final maturation phases, the wheat endosperm is highly vulnerable to enzymatic degradation of its starch reserves, a process primarily mediated by alpha-amylase. The concentration of alpha-amylase is not measured directly; instead, it is assessed indirectly using the Hagberg-Perten Falling Number (HFN) test²³. In this viscometric analysis, a standardized flour-water slurry is heated and agitated for exactly 60 seconds, gelatinizing the starch²⁴. A metal plunger is then allowed to fall a fixed distance through the paste. The total time taken, measured in seconds, is the "falling number"²⁴.

End-Use Classification	Ideal Falling Number (Seconds)	Agronomic/Processing Implication
Hard Winter Wheat (Bread/Pasta)	250 – 300	Sound starch integrity; robust gas retention; optimal crumb structure.
Soft Spring Wheat (Biscuits/Pastry)	130 – 180	Acceptable range for low-hydration, non-leavened products requiring crumbly texture.
Degraded/Sprouted Wheat	< 150	Catastrophic starch liquefaction; sticky dough; machinery fouling; rejected by mills.

Table 2: Quality thresholds for the Hagberg Falling Number and their implications for processing ²⁴ .		
--	--	--

A high-quality hard wheat intended for breadmaking requires an HFN between 250 and 300 seconds, indicating minimal enzyme activity²⁵. Values significantly below 150 seconds indicate excessive alpha-amylase activity, which rapidly liquefies the starch chains during dough fermentation²⁴. This results in a sticky, gummy crumb, severely reduced loaf volume, and catastrophic handling failures as the over-hydrated dough adheres to industrial processing belts and rollers²⁴. For soft wheats destined for biscuits and pastries, lower falling numbers are occasionally tolerated, as the lack of a strong elastic gluten network and the high fat/sugar content of the dough mitigate the effects of localized starch hydrolysis²⁵.

Two distinct physiological phenomena trigger catastrophic drops in the falling number:

1. **Pre-Harvest Sprouting (PHS):** PHS is the physical initiation of grain germination prior to harvest²⁵. It occurs when mature, field-standing wheat is exposed to prolonged rain and high humidity, causing the seed to break dormancy²⁴. The germinating embryo releases massive quantities of alpha-amylase to mobilize starch, fueling seedling growth²⁴. Soft white wheats exhibit extreme genetic vulnerability to PHS, whereas hard red wheats possess significantly greater natural dormancy and tolerance²⁵.
2. **Late Maturity Alpha-Amylase (LMA):** LMA is a strictly genetic, developmental defect triggered by environmental stress—specifically, a sudden "cold shock" or extreme temperature fluctuation during the late grain-filling stage (roughly 26 to 30 days post-anthesis)²⁴. LMA results in the synthesis of the high-pI TaAMY1 alpha-amylase directly within the aleurone layer, occurring entirely in the absence of rain and without any actual loss of seed dormancy or visual signs of sprouting²⁴. Consequently, visually sound grain may suffer severe downgrading at the elevator due to undetectable internal enzyme accumulation²⁶.

Harvesting and Threshing Mechanics

Once the wheat reaches physiological maturity and the threat of PHS/LMA has been successfully navigated, mechanical extraction is executed via combine harvesters. The architectural choice of the combine is dictated by the scale of the operation and field topography. Wheeled combines provide superior speed and road transportability for dry, flat terrain³³. Tracked (crawler) combines, conversely, utilize wide continuous tracks to distribute the machine's immense weight, mitigating soil compaction and maintaining vital traction in wet, soft, or muddy field conditions³³.

The header of the combine severs the crop stalk. The cutting height is typically adjusted to leave 10 to 20 cm of stubble (approximately one-third of the total crop height) standing in the field³⁴. This minimizes the total volume of Material-Other-than-Grain (MOG) entering the feeder house and provides structural support to hold the windrow together if a two-stage

harvesting process is employed²².

Cylinder Speed and Concave Clearance Dynamics

Inside the combine, threshing—the violent physical detachment of the wheat kernels from the glumes, lemma, and palea—takes place in the microscopic clearance between the rapidly rotating cylinder (or rotor) and a stationary, perforated concave grating³⁵. The mechanics of this violent impact must be precisely calibrated to the specific wheat type, its inherent hardness, and its instantaneous moisture content³⁶.

The two primary adjustable parameters in the threshing unit are the cylinder speed and the concave clearance²¹.

Crop / Parameter	Peripheral Cylinder Speed (m/s)	Front Concave Clearance (mm)	Rear Concave Clearance (mm)
Wheat (General)	24 – 35	12 – 20	4 – 10
Oats	27 – 35	12 – 20	3 – 10
Barley	27 – 34	10 – 18	3 – 10
Table 3: Standard peripheral speeds and peripheral clearances for optimal threshing dynamics across cereal crops ²¹ .			

The hardness of the wheat kernel directly governs the required impact energy. Hard winter and durum wheats, owing to the strong biochemical adhesion between their protein matrix and starch granules (a result of mutated puroindolines), require greater impact forces to completely shatter the spikelet⁴. Soft spring wheats are significantly more friable and can be threshed efficiently at the lower end of the speed spectrum⁹.

Excessive cylinder speed exponentially increases the collision energy between the rasp bars and the grain. Research unequivocally indicates that increasing cylinder speeds from standard operational levels (e.g., 697 rpm) to aggressive speeds over 1200 rpm increases the broken grain fraction catastrophically from a negligible 0.0384% to over 3.4052%³⁶. High moisture levels (above 17%) drastically exacerbate this mechanical damage; rather than shattering cleanly, moist kernels absorb the kinetic energy as plastic deformation, causing internal microscopic fissures and deep structural bruising that permanently impairs subsequent germination and reduces total flour yield¹⁵. To mitigate this in highly sensitive crops, operators

must utilize gentler settings: specifically, a reduced cylinder speed and a narrowed concave clearance to maintain throughput without inducing extreme point-impact trauma²¹.

Mathematical Modeling of Threshing Power

The threshing unit consumes the vast majority of the combine harvester's total engine power³⁷. For modern axial-flow rotors, which utilize a spiraling multipass threshing action as opposed to the single-pass tangential strike of conventional combines, the power requirement (P) is a complex function of the rotor's kinetic energy, volumetric air movement, and the MOG feed rate³⁶. The power required to accelerate the crop mass and overcome localized friction can be generalized by the equation:

$$P = \frac{1}{2} J \omega^2 k L R$$

where J is the moment of inertia, ω is the angular velocity of the rotor, R is the rotor radius, L is the rotor length, and k is a coefficient accounting for crop density and internal friction³⁷. As MOG moisture increases, the specific energy requirement for threshing and separation increases linearly, demanding massive horsepower reserves (often exceeding 300 HP for large-scale operations) to maintain optimal throughput without stalling the rotor³³.

Winnowing, Cleaning, and Aerodynamic Separation

Following the violence of the threshing cylinder, the commingled mass of heavy wheat grain, lightweight chaff, and fragmented straw passes into the cleaning shoe. Here, separation is achieved entirely through applied fluid dynamics and physical sizing³⁸.

Aerodynamic Properties and Terminal Velocity

Winnowing relies on the differential aerodynamic drag between the dense wheat kernels and the low-density material-other-than-grain. The fundamental metric dictating separation

efficiency is the terminal velocity (V_t)—defined as the steady vertical air velocity at which the gravitational force pulling the particle downward is exactly counterbalanced by the upward aerodynamic drag force, leaving the particle suspended in the air stream³⁹.

Terminal velocity is mathematically expressed as:

$$V_t = 1.74 \sqrt{\frac{gd(\rho_p - \rho_f)}{\rho_f}}$$

where g is the acceleration due to gravity, d is the equivalent spherical diameter of the particle, ρ_p is the particle density, and ρ_f is the fluid (air) density⁴¹.

Extensive aerodynamic testing utilizing vertical wind columns and hot-wire anemometers has established that the terminal velocity of wheat kernels ranges precisely between 6.0 m/s and 6.9 m/s, heavily dependent on the specific cultivar and internal moisture content³⁹. As grain moisture increases, the terminal velocity increases slightly due to the corresponding increase in overall particle density³⁹. In stark contrast, lightweight chaff, straw nodes, and fragmented leaf

matter exhibit vastly lower terminal velocities, ranging from 1.5 m/s to 3.69 m/s⁴¹.

Winnowing Machine Optimization

In a standard centrifugal winnower or the cleaning shoe of a combine harvester, the blower fan must generate a highly calibrated upward air stream. This air stream must exceed the terminal velocity of the chaff (blowing it outward and out the rear of the machine) but remain strictly below the terminal velocity of the wheat grain (allowing the heavy grain to fall through the oscillating sieves into the clean grain auger)⁴¹.

Optimal separation and maximum cleaning efficiency (often exceeding 95%) are achieved when the centrifugal fan maintains an air velocity of approximately 3.05 to 4.56 m/s⁴¹. If the operator incorrectly calibrates the blower speed to exceed 6.0 m/s, severe grain loss occurs as perfectly sound, harvestable wheat reaches its terminal velocity and is ejected alongside the

chaff³⁸. The power required to drive this centrifugal fan (P_f) must be carefully engineered, calculated as:

$$P_f = \frac{C_p \times \rho \times W^3 \times d^2}{5}$$

where C_p is the power coefficient of the fan blades, ρ is air density, W is blade velocity, and d is the fan diameter⁴³. This precise control of torsional moments ensures that winnowing achieves a delicate balance between maximum cleanliness and zero grain waste³⁸.

Post-Harvest Storage and Entomological Threats

Once harvested and cleaned, the grain must be transported to bulk storage silos. The primary threat during this phase is entomological, specifically the Granary Weevil (*Sitophilus granarius*)⁴⁴. *S. granarius* is a devastating storage pest that bores directly into the wheat endosperm to lay its eggs, subsequently sealing the microscopic hole with a mucilaginous plug of saliva⁴⁴. The hatching larvae consume the kernel from the inside out, reducing test weight and ruining flour quality⁴⁴.

The biology of the granary weevil is tightly constrained by temperature and humidity. The insect can only successfully breed in grain possessing a moisture content greater than 9.5% and at

ambient temperatures ranging between $13^{\circ}C$ and $35^{\circ}C$ ⁴⁴. At an optimal $18^{\circ}C$ to $20^{\circ}C$, the eggs hatch rapidly in 8 to 11 days⁴⁴. To arrest the development of this pest and prevent catastrophic storage losses, modern silos enforce strict environmental controls, actively chilling

the grain mass to below $15^{\circ}C$ and desiccating the moisture content to firmly below 15%⁴⁴.

Milling: Roller Configurations and Particle Classification

The ultimate destiny of the harvested wheat depends on a highly technical milling process that reduces the starchy endosperm into flour while completely stripping away the fibrous bran and lipid-rich germ. The physical properties of hard versus soft wheat dictate the precise

mechanical configuration of the mill. Modern roller milling is not a singular crushing event; it is a gradual, sequential reduction process designed to maximize extraction efficiency⁴⁶.

Break Rolls vs. Reduction Rolls

The process is divided into two distinct mechanical phases: the break system and the reduction system⁴⁷.

1. **The Break System:** Raw, tempered grain first enters the break rolls (sequentially designated B1, B2, B3, B4). These massive cylinders are manufactured from centrifugally cast, chilled iron and feature deeply fluted (corrugated) surfaces with sharp ridges⁴⁶. The hallmark of the break system is its high differential speed ratio, typically operating at 2.5:1 (one roll spins 2.5 times faster than its opposing partner)⁴⁶. This severe speed differential creates an intense shearing and tearing action designed to scrape the endosperm away from the bran without pulverizing the bran into microscopic, un-siftable dust⁴⁶. The disposition of the flutes is usually "dull-to-dull" to maximize this scraping efficiency and yield⁴⁶.
2. **The Reduction System:** The purified, coarse endosperm chunks (termed middlings) are subsequently fed into the reduction rolls (C1, C2, etc.). Unlike break rolls, reduction rolls feature mirror-smooth, polished steel surfaces and operate at much gentler differential speeds (typically 1.25:1 or 1.5:1)⁴⁶. Their primary function is not shearing, but rather applying immense compressive pressure to crush the middlings into fine, silky flour⁴⁷.

Sifting Architecture and Particle Size Distribution

Following each passage through the rollers, the commingled material must be classified by size to separate the finished flour from the oversize material requiring further grinding. This is achieved using square plansifters⁴⁹. A plansifter utilizes vertically stacked sieve boxes, often containing nylon or stainless steel sieve fabrics, undergoing a precise oscillatory gyratory motion⁴⁹.

The terminology of the final product is strictly defined by its particle size distribution (PSD). Flour is defined as particulate material fine enough that at least 98% passes through a 212-

μm sieve⁵¹. Semolina, the primary product of durum wheat milling used for premium pasta, is

distinctly coarser; at least 90% of the milled particles must be retained above a 180- μm sieve⁵¹.

The genetic hardness of the wheat fundamentally dictates its behavior in the mill and its ultimate Particle Size Index (PSI). Soft spring wheats, possessing intact puroindolines, are highly friable. They fracture easily and cleanly directly across the cell walls, producing flours with a highly fine particle size distribution and a high PSI (frequently > 30)⁵¹. Hard winter and durum wheats, conversely, possess a tightly bound protein matrix that forces the mechanical fracture planes directly through the starch granules themselves⁴. This requires immense grinding energy and results in a coarse flour with a low PSI (typically < 16)⁵¹.

Air Classification and Advanced Fractionation

For advanced dietary, functional, and industrial requirements, air classification can be utilized as

a post-milling processing step. Air classification is an advanced dry-fractionation technique that separates flour particles based on size and density utilizing centrifugal force and counter-current air streams⁵³.

By feeding finely milled flour into a specialized classifying wheel spinning at high velocities (e.g., up to 8,000 rpm), operators can establish a highly precise "cut point"—typically around 15 μm

⁵³. Because individual wheat protein bodies are ultra-fine (averaging 1 to 3 μm) and possess low density, they are swept into the fine fraction by the air stream⁵⁵. The much larger, denser

starch granules (averaging 14 to 17 μm) overcome the air drag via centrifugal force and fall into the coarse fraction⁵⁵. This elegant physical separation allows millers to produce highly concentrated, protein-enriched wheat flour fractions entirely without resorting to expensive, water-intensive wet-chemical extraction methodologies⁵³.

Rheology, Starch Damage, and End-Use Quality

The final baking quality of the flour is dictated by the complex rheological interplay between damaged starch and the hydration of gluten proteins. Rheology—the study of how materials deform and flow under applied forces—views wheat dough as a highly complex viscoelastic system, exhibiting both the non-Newtonian viscous flow of a fluid and the Hookean elastic properties of a solid⁶⁰.

Starch Damage and Dough Hydration

The trans-cellular fracturing inherent in milling hard winter wheat results in significantly higher levels of damaged starch (often ranging from 5% to 8%) compared to soft wheats²⁹. Damaged starch is technologically critical for breadmaking. While intact, native starch granules can only absorb water up to 40% of their weight, mechanically damaged granules can absorb up to 300% of their weight⁶¹. This drastically increases the overall water absorption capacity of the flour, allowing the dough to maintain maximum hydration and structural integrity during the long, rigorous fermentation cycles required for bread⁶¹.

However, excessive starch damage—caused by applying too much compressive pressure on the reduction rolls, or by milling extremely hard wheat without adequate moisture tempering—is highly detrimental. It results in a dough that cannot be fully hydrated by the available water⁶¹. This stiff, excessively sticky structure is highly susceptible to enzymatic degradation by background amylases, ultimately leading to a gummy crumb and total collapse of the loaf volume during baking⁶¹. Soft wheats exhibit naturally low starch damage, making them perfectly suited for low-hydration pastry batters where excessive water binding would result in dense, rubbery cakes rather than delicate, crumbly textures⁶.

Protein Functionality: The Glutenin-to-Gliadin Ratio

Beyond starch hydration, the unique viscoelastic properties of wheat dough arise almost entirely from the hydration and subsequent interaction of two primary storage proteins: gliadin and glutenin⁶⁰.

- **Gliadins** are monomeric proteins that behave as a viscous liquid within the dough matrix,

contributing primarily to the dough's extensibility, plasticity, and ability to flow during expansion⁶⁰.

- **Glutenins** are massive, highly polymeric structures linked by strong intermolecular disulfide bonds. They behave as an elastic solid, providing the dough with its sheer structural strength, resilience, and resistance to deformation⁶⁰.

Hard winter wheats bred specifically for breadmaking feature a high total protein content (typically 12% to 15%) and, crucially, a high glutenin-to-gliadin ratio¹⁸. Specific high molecular weight glutenin subunits (HMW-GS), particularly the 5+10 allelic combination at the *Glu-D1* locus, drive massive polymer cross-linking⁶⁵. This yields robust, highly elastic doughs capable of trapping the carbon dioxide gas expanding during yeast fermentation without rupturing, directly maximizing final bread loaf volume⁶⁰.

Rheological assessment instruments are heavily utilized in modern mills to quantify these traits.

The Extensograph measures dough strength as a function of resistance to extension (R_{50}) and total deformation energy (E , measured as the area under the curve in cm^2)⁶⁸. The

Alveograph inflates a bubble of dough to measure tenacity (P) and extensibility (L), yielding the critical P/L ratio⁶². High glutenin-to-gliadin ratios result in elevated P/L ratios and high deformation energy, indicating exceptional baking strength⁶².

Conversely, soft spring wheats exhibit lower total protein (8% to 10%) and lower glutenin-to-gliadin ratios¹⁸. This relative dominance of monomeric gliadin over polymeric glutenin yields weak, highly extensible doughs that cannot sustain significant gas pressure¹⁸. However, this exact lack of elasticity perfectly aligns with the requirements of biscuits, cookies, and pie crusts, where a strong gluten network would cause the product to shrink, warp, and become unacceptably tough during baking¹⁸.

For durum wheat, which is utilized for premium pasta rather than leavened bread, the parameters shift slightly. Pasta processing requires a highly cohesive, extrudable dough that yields a hard, brittle dried product capable of maintaining its structure during boiling⁷¹. Durum semolina provides intense firmness and elasticity, resisting surface disintegration and stickiness during cooking⁷². Advanced formulations may substitute a percentage of durum semolina with detoxified legume flours (e.g., matri flour) to boost the nutritional profile, though this invariably increases cooking loss (from ~4.8% up to 5.8%) due to the disruption of the gluten matrix⁷³. To counteract this structural degradation, hydrocolloids such as xanthan gum are frequently integrated to reinforce the starch-protein network, restoring hardness and limiting cooking loss to acceptable commercial thresholds⁷³.

Conclusion

The successful transition of *Triticum aestivum* and *Triticum durum* from seed to finished flour requires a profound understanding of the deeply interconnected nature of biology, climatology, and physics. The cultivation of hard winter wheat for bread and pasta demands rigorous nitrogen protocols, crop rotation, and vernalization phases, while soft spring wheat requires

distinct thermal windows and careful moderation of protein accumulation. The exact timing of the harvest is strictly dictated by the threat of pre-harvest sprouting and late-maturity alpha-amylase, hidden enzymatic threats that can catastrophically lower the Hagberg Falling Number and ruin bread volume.

Harvesting and threshing mechanics—specifically rotor speeds, kinetic energy transfer, and concave clearances—must be dynamically adjusted to the inherent friability of the kernel and its moisture content to prevent permanent structural damage. Simultaneously, winnowing utilizes the precise calculation of terminal velocities and aerodynamic drag to achieve perfect purification without yield loss. Ultimately, the genetic destiny of the wheat—its puroindoline status, its ploidy, and its HMW-GS alleles—is realized under the massive pressures of the roller mill. Here, the expert manipulation of break and reduction rolls dictates the critical level of damaged starch and final particle size, ensuring that hard wheats develop the formidable elastic gluten networks necessary for bread and pasta, while soft wheats retain the delicate, crumbly viscosity demanded by premium pastries.

Works cited

1. Wheat quality: A review on chemical composition, nutritional, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/nutrition/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023.1053196/full>
2. Expression of Puroindoline a in Durum Wheat Affects Milling and, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.00482/full>
3. Expression of Puroindoline a in Durum Wheat Affects Milling and, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.00482/pdf>
4. Wheat puroindolines interact to form friabilin and control wheat grain, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15067395/>
5. Characterization and Differentiation of Grain Proteomes from Wild, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10224366/>
6. The Occurrence of Friabilins in Triticale and Their Relationship with, <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jf0345853>
7. Wheat: chemistry and technology [4th ed] 9781891127557, <https://dokumen.pub/wheat-chemistry-and-technology-4th-ed-9781891127557-1891127551.html>
8. Single run HPLC separation coupled to evaporative light scattering, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253340960_Single_run_HPLC_separation_coupled_to_evaporative_light_scattering_detection_unravels_wheat_flour_endogenous_lipid_redistribution_during_bread_dough_making
9. Puroindolines: The molecular genetic basis of wheat grain hardness, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11370679_Puroindolines_The_molecular_genetic_basis_of_wheat_grain_hardness
10. Expression of Puroindoline a in Durum Wheat Affects Milling ... - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6482235/>

11. VERNALIZATION1 controls developmental responses of winter, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6382010/>
12. Contemplation on wheat vernalization - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9853533/>
13. Vernalization treatment induces site-specific DNA hypermethylation, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3890506/>
14. Epigenetic Control of Plant Cold Responses - Frontiers, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.01643/full>
15. Parameters for Optimal Seed Harvesting - Scholars Junction, <https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1241&context=seedsman-short-course>
16. 24 Berlin Crop Rotation Schedule.pdf
17. Mitteilungen der Gesellschaft für Pflanzenbauwissenschaften Band 34, https://gpw-online.de/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/20250827_Tagungsband2025_final.pdf
18. Wheat flour - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wheat_flour
19. Optimizing Spray Technology and Nitrogen Sources for Wheat Grain, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390692633_Optimizing_Spray_Technology_and_Nitrogen_Sources_for_Wheat_Grain_Protein_Enhancement
20. Box plot comparing GPD of cultivars bred outside the UK (non.UK, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Box-plot-comparing-GPD-of-cultivars-bred-outside-the-UK-nonUK-and-in-the-UK-The_fig3_342337970
21. Reducing grain damage in naked oat through gentle harvesting, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265025691_Reducing_grain_damage_in_naked_oat_through_gentle_harvesting
22. Wheat Harvesting Machines Overview | PDF | Mower - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/429849147/Harvesting-machine>
23. Repeatability Precision of the Falling Number Procedure Under, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275723827_Repeatability_Precision_of_the_Falling_Number_Procedure_Under_Standard_and_Modified_Methodologies
24. What causes low, falling numbers in wheat?, https://www.canr.msu.edu/news/what_causes_low_falling_numbers_in_wheat
25. Pre-Harvest Sprouting and Falling Number - Field Crop News, <https://fieldcropnews.com/2025/09/pre-harvest-sprouting-and-falling-number/>
26. MANAGING THE RISK OF LOW FALLING NUMBERS IN WHEAT, <https://steberlab.org/FNWSUext.pdf>
27. Flour & Cereal Quality Control Equipment | Lab Instruments – Bastak, <https://www.bastak.com/blog>
28. A Guide to Wheat Hagberg Falling Number - ADM Agriculture, <https://www.adm-agri.co.uk/a-guide-to-wheat-hagberg-falling-number/>
29. Farinograph and Mixograph Overview | PDF | Flour | Breads - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/presentation/904443259/Farinograph-Apparatus>
30. Flour Water Absorption Guide: Why It's Key to Dough Yield | Bastak, <https://www.bastak.com/blog/what-is-water-absorption>

31. Wheat grain preharvest sprouting and late maturity alpha-amylase,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266246539_Wheat_grain_preharvest_sprouting_and_late_maturity_alpha-amylase
32. Does Late Maturity Alpha-Amylase Impact Wheat Baking Quality?,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30245701/>
33. A Complete Guide to the Different Types of Combine Harvester,
<https://www.fmworltagri.com/blog/types-of-combine-harvester-complete-guide-for-farmers.html>
34. cereal rye - grdc,
https://grdc.com.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0035/369359/GrowNote-Cereal-Rye-South-12-Harvest.pdf
35. A Review of Grain Kernel Damage: Mechanisms, Modeling, and,
<https://elibrary.asabe.org/azdez.asp?JID=3&AID=51224&CID=t2020&v=63&i=2&T=1&redirType=>
36. Grain kernel damage during threshing: a comprehensive review of,
<https://www.agroengineering.org/jae/article/view/1674/1300>
37. Modeling of Power Requirement in Axial Threshing Units,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271438613_Modeling_of_Power_Requirement_in_Axial_Threshing_Units
38. test-run of performance efficiency of a locally made grain winnower,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383034201_TEST-RUN_OF_PERFORMANCE_EFFICIENCY_OF_A_LOCALLY_MADE_GRAIN_WINNOWER_PROTOTYPE
39. Effect of Moisture on Terminal Velocity of Wheat Varieties,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237283052_Effect_of_Moisture_on_Terminal_Velocity_of_Wheat_Varieties
40. DEVELOPMENT OF A TERMINAL VELOCITY MEASURING DEVICE,
<https://www.njestr.com.ng/downloads/research/1563808680NJESTR%20094.1.pdf>
41. Rice winnowing.pdf - Minna,
<http://irepo.futminna.edu.ng:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/7044/1/Rice%20winnowing.pdf>
42. Aerodynamic properties of tef grain and straw material - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248597859_Aerodynamic_properties_of_tef_grain_and_straw_material
43. Development and Performance Evaluation of a Castor Seed (Ricinus,
<https://journal.engineering.fuoye.edu.ng/index.php/engineer/article/view/430/pdf>
44. Grain Weevil - Bayer Crop Science UK,
<https://cropscience.bayer.co.uk/agronomy-id/pest-and-slugs/grain-weevil>
45. Grain storage pests Identification Guide - Quanturi,
<https://quanturi.com/blogs/grain/grain-storage-pests-identification-guide>
46. Understanding Different Types of Grinding Rolls in Wheat Milling,
<https://agriculture.institute/wheat-maize-coarse-grains-milling/different-types-grinding-rolls-wheat-milling/>
47. Understanding Roller Mills: Construction, Working, and Benefits,
<https://agriculture.institute/wheat-maize-coarse-grains-milling/understanding-roll>

[er-mills-benefits/](#)

48. Break Rolls in Wheat vs. Reduction Rolls? - CMS Industries,
[https://cmsindustries.org/post/break-rolls-in-wheat-vs-reduction-rolls-which-on
e-matters-more/](https://cmsindustries.org/post/break-rolls-in-wheat-vs-reduction-rolls-which-one-matters-more/)
49. Square Plansifter Working Principle | PDF - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/948692505/Square-Plansifter>
50. technology of cereals,
[https://web2.mendelu.cz/af_291_projekty/files/23/23-technology_of_cereals_%C2
%AD_kucerova.pdf](https://web2.mendelu.cz/af_291_projekty/files/23/23-technology_of_cereals_%C2%AD_kucerova.pdf)
51. Particle Size | American Society of Baking, <https://asbe.org/article/particle-size/>
52. Particle Size | Baking Processes - BAKERpedia,
<https://bakerpedia.com/processes/particle-size/>
53. Composition of Fractions from Air-Classified Wheat Flour,
https://stud.epsilon.slu.se/2436/1/Lundgren_M_20110407.pdf
54. wet milling vs. air classification of field peas to iso - Biblioteka Nauki,
<https://bibliotekanauki.pl/articles/1399328.pdf>
55. Pre- and post-treatment enhance the protein enrichment from milling,
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272424210_Pre-_and_post-treatment
enhance_the_protein_enrichment_from_milling_and_air_classification_of_legume
s](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272424210_Pre-_and_post-treatment_enhance_the_protein_enrichment_from_milling_and_air_classification_of_legume_s)
56. What Is the Optimal Particle Size Range for ... - Protein-mill.com,
[https://www.protein-mill.com/what-is-the-optimal-particle-size-range-for-effecti
ve-air-classification-of-pulse-flours/](https://www.protein-mill.com/what-is-the-optimal-particle-size-range-for-effective-air-classification-of-pulse-flours/)
57. particle size characterization using fraunhofer diffraction,
[https://www.international-agrophysics.org/pdf-107066-37875?filename=Particle-
size-characteriz.pdf](https://www.international-agrophysics.org/pdf-107066-37875?filename=Particle-size-characteriz.pdf)
58. (PDF) Effect of Air Classifier Wheel Speed on Properties of Wheat,
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/404802072_Effect_of_Air_Classifier_W
heel_Speed_on_Properties_of_Wheat_Flour_Fractions](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/404802072_Effect_of_Air_Classifier_Wheel_Speed_on_Properties_of_Wheat_Flour_Fractions)
59. Milling and air classification of two different types of peas,
<https://library.wur.nl/ojs/index.php/njas/article/download/16639/16053>
60. Understanding the Rheology of Wheat Flour Dough in Baking,
[https://agriculture.institute/baking-and-flour-confectionary/rheology-wheat-flour
-dough-baking/](https://agriculture.institute/baking-and-flour-confectionary/rheology-wheat-flour-dough-baking/)
61. Influence of damaged starch on the quality parameters of wheat,
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336529568_Influence_of_damaged_sta
rch_on_the_quality_parameters_of_wheat_dough_and_bread](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336529568_Influence_of_damaged_starch_on_the_quality_parameters_of_wheat_dough_and_bread)
62. RELATIONSHIP OF STARCH DAMAGE TO WHEAT DOUGH,
<https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20173304760>
63. Effect of Mixing Time on Structural and Rheological Properties of,
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10942910903295939>
64. Effects of Gliadin/Glutenin and HMW-GS/LMW-GS Ratio on Dough,
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273158710_Effects_of_GliadinGlutenin
and_HMW-GSLMW-GS_Ratio_on_Dough_Rheological_Properties_and_Bread-Ma
king_Potential_of_Wheat_Varieties_Gluten_Proteins_Dough_Rheology_and_Bread](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273158710_Effects_of_GliadinGlutenin_and_HMW-GSLMW-GS_Ratio_on_Dough_Rheological_Properties_and_Bread-Making_Potential_of_Wheat_Varieties_Gluten_Proteins_Dough_Rheology_and_Bread)

Quality

65. CHAPTER 6: Structure and Functional Properties of Gluten,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289658762_CHAPTER_6_Structure_and_Functional_Properties_of_Gluten
66. Effects of allelic variation of HMW-GS and LMW-GS on mixograph,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257239177_Effects_of_allelic_variation_of_HMW-GS_and_LMW-GS_on_mixograph_properties_and_Chinese_noodle_and_steamed_bread_qualities_in_a_set_of_Aroona_near-isogenic_wheat_lines
67. Wheat Flour Quality Assessment by Fundamental Non-Linear ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10527890/>
68. JMBFS / Surname of author et al. 20xx x (x) x-xx,
<https://office2.jmbfs.org/index.php/JMBFS/article/download/8411/2616/30862>
69. Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods - qascf,
<https://qascf.com/index.php/qas/article/download/312/298>
70. Contribution of Protein, Starch, and Fiber Composition to the ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12939949/>
71. US5695804A - Production of chip-like durum wheat-based snacks,
<https://patents.google.com/patent/US5695804A/en>
72. Variation and Associations of Quality Parameters in Ethiopian,
<https://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=ijpbq.2012.17.31>
73. Fortification of durum wheat semolina with detoxified matri (Lathyrus,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5976595/>
74. (PDF) {"__content__"=>"Fortification of durum wheat semolina,
https://www.academia.edu/91458582/_content_Fortification_of_durum_wheat_semolina_with_detoxified_matri_flour_to_improve_the_nutritional_properties_of_pasta_i_content_Lathyrus_sativus