HI-04 Florence and the captured lottery

The collapse of industrial supply chains and the permanent retreat of the central state necessitate the rediscovery of durable governance mechanisms. As households consolidate into villages of three hundred, and villages network into districts of thousands, the structural challenge of self-governance remains identical to that faced by early complex societies: how to rotate executive power equitably while preventing its capture by individuals exhibiting dark-triad traits (narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy). For the forthcoming volume, *GOVERNING after the FALL*, the Republic of Florence (1328–1512) serves as the supreme historical laboratory for one specific mechanism: sortition, or selection by lottery.

To the modern observer, drawing political leaders from a hat appears inherently democratic, an anti-elitist safeguard against the consolidation of power. However, the exact mechanical history of the Florentine *tratte* (the draw) and the *squittinio* (the scrutiny or eligibility list) demonstrates the opposite. Over two centuries, Florence evolved from a volatile guild-corporatist commune into a plutocratic principate, not by abolishing its republican lottery, but by meticulously capturing it. By controlling the boundary of eligibility and weaponizing administrative rules, Machiavellian actors—culminating in the Medici dynasty—subjugated the republic while maintaining the flawless procedural theater of a free sortition system. The foundational lesson extracted from the Florentine record is empirical and absolute: sortition is rarely defeated at the moment of the draw; it is captured at the compilation of the list.

The Architecture of Florentine Sortition (1328–1378)

The institutional architecture of the Florentine Republic was engineered to prevent the entrenchment of executive power, emerging as a pragmatic adaptation to the endemic, blood-soaked violence between the Guelph and Ghibelline factions, and later between the Black and White Guelphs[1]. By 1328, the commune had formalized a complex, multi-stage sequence of vetting and lotteries to elect the *Tre Maggiori* (the Three Major Offices), which constituted the supreme executive branch[2].

The structural design of the *Tre Maggiori* relied on extreme temporal rotation. The supreme executive body was the Signoria, comprising just nine men: the *Gonfaloniere di Giustizia* (Standard-bearer of Justice) and eight *Priori* (Priors)[4]. They were supported by two advisory colleges: the Twelve *Buonuomini* (Good Men) and the Sixteen *Gonfalonieri di Compagnia* (Standard-bearers of the Companies)[4]. To prevent tyrannical accumulation of authority, the term limits for the Signoria were set at a remarkably brief two months[4]. The advisory colleges served similarly brief terms of three to four months.

Office within the Tre Maggiori	Number of Seats	Term Length	Annual Turnover Requirement
Signoria (Priors & Gonfaloniere)	9	2 months	54 individuals

Twelve Buonuomini	12	3 months	48 individuals
Sixteen Gonfalonieri di Compagnia	16	4 months	48 individuals

Over a single decade, the republic required 540 distinct individuals to fill the Signoria alone, demanding an exceptionally high participation rate from the eligible elite. Out of a total urban population that fluctuated between 50,000 and 70,000 in the early fifteenth century, roughly 5,000 men possessed the requisite guild status to be eligible for political office[6].

The mechanics of selection were divided into strictly compartmentalized phases, deliberately designed to balance broad guild representation with elite oversight:

First, the *squittinio* (the scrutiny) served as the mass vetting mechanism. Nominations were drawn from the major and minor guilds (*arti maggiori* and *arti minori*). The existing councils voted secretly on each nominee, utilizing black and white beans to signify approval or rejection. A candidate generally required a two-thirds supermajority to pass the scrutiny[1].

Second, the *imborsazione* (the bagging) transformed the approved list into a physical lottery. The names of those who survived the scrutiny were inscribed on small parchment tickets and placed into leather purses known as *borse*[1]. These bags were meticulously segregated by the four city districts (the *quartieri*) and by the candidates' respective guild status to ensure precise geographical and economic distribution.

Third, the *tratte* (the draw) was executed every two months. A few days before the incumbent Signoria's term expired, the *borse* were brought to a highly ritualized public ceremony. The *accoppiatori*—the officials entrusted with the keys and the physical bags—oversaw the blind extraction of names to form the incoming government[1].

Finally, the system enforced strict *divieti* (prohibitions). A drawn name could be immediately disqualified if the individual was currently absent from the city, if a close family member had recently served, or, most critically, if they were *allo specchio*—in arrears on their municipal taxes[14]. This final disqualification clause would eventually become the primary vector for oligarchic capture.

Separating Rhetoric, Record, and Scholarship

To extract actionable principles for post-collapse governance, it is necessary to separate the ideological claims of the historical participants from the empirical reality of the archival record, and further distinguish both from the structural inferences drawn by modern quantitative scholarship.

What the Florentines Claimed the System Did

Florentine republican ideology, heavily influenced by the rise of civic humanism, championed the *tratte* as the ultimate operationalization of civic equality among enfranchised citizens. Elite

chancellors and thinkers, from Leonardo Bruni in the early fifteenth century to Bartolomeo Scala decades later, framed the lottery system as a divine guarantor of liberty[6]. The official rhetoric asserted that drawing by lot entrusted the city's fate to divine providence while mechanically stripping ambitious, dark-triad actors of the ability to campaign, bribe, or buy votes. The agonizingly brief two-month terms were publicly praised as the great pedagogical engine of the republic, ensuring that citizens continuously rotated through the roles of ruling and being ruled, thereby preventing the formation of an entrenched political caste[6].

What the Archival Record Demonstrates

The physical archival record—specifically the massive *Tratte* volumes housed in the *Archivio di Stato di Firenze*—reveals a system that was perpetually in crisis, highly exclusionary, and subjected to frequent constitutional overrides[11]. The record shows that between 1343 and 1434, the regular, peaceful drawing of lots was repeatedly suspended. When factions reached an impasse, armed partisans would occupy the main piazza and call a *Parlamento*—a mass assembly of citizens. This assembly, operating under the threat of physical violence, would vote by acclamation to establish a *Balia*, an extraordinary commission granted dictatorial powers for a specified duration[2].

The *Balie* were the blunt instruments of regime change. The archival record demonstrates that these extraordinary commissions frequently purged the *borse*, burned the old parchment tickets, and repopulated the bags exclusively with their own partisans[2]. Therefore, the empirical reality of the Florentine lottery was not a smooth continuum of democratic rotation, but a cycle of short-term lottery governance punctuated by intense, extra-constitutional factional coups that reset the boundaries of the game.

What Modern Scholarship Infers

Modern institutional and quantitative historiography, spearheaded by John M. Najemy, Nicolai Rubinstein, and Guidubaldo Guidi, infers that the Florentine *tratte* functioned primarily as an elite management tool rather than a democratic mechanism[13]. In his seminal 1982 work, *Corporatism and Consensus in Florentine Electoral Politics, 1280-1400*, Najemy identifies a permanent, structural tension at the heart of the republic[2]. On one side was "guild corporatism," a movement demanding the broad, egalitarian inclusion of all guild members into the state. On the other was "consensus oligarchy," the reality that a few dozen ultra-wealthy patrician families sought to monopolize the *Tre Maggiori*[2].

Modern scholarship concludes that the patrician elite retained the lottery not out of a commitment to equality, but because it provided a necessary facade of legitimacy and prevented destructive, zero-sum infighting among the top fifty families. By randomizing the distribution of offices among themselves, the elite maintained class solidarity. Simultaneously, they developed covert, bureaucratic mechanisms to rig the *squittinio* and control the tax assessment boards, allowing them to legally disqualify political rivals without resorting to overt assassination[14].

Class Conflict and Oligarchic Reaction (1378–1434)

The vulnerability of the lottery system to sudden shifts in the definition of eligibility became violently apparent during the Ciompi Revolt of 1378. The *popolo minuto*—un-guilded manual

laborers, primarily wool carders known as the *Ciompi*—rebelled against their total exclusion from the political franchise. Understanding that the republic's power resided entirely in the *squittinio*, they forced the creation of three new guilds to represent the lower classes and demanded a fixed fractional representation in the Signoria, specifically claiming a permanent 3/9 share of the Priorate[3].

For a fleeting period between 1378 and 1382, the *borse* were radically expanded. The scrutiny was opened to thousands of previously disenfranchised workers, fundamentally altering the socio-economic composition of the state[3]. However, this expansion triggered a ruthless oligarchic reaction. By 1382, a conservative coalition led by the powerful Albizzi family crushed the new guilds, executed the populist leaders, and methodically purged the *borse* of lower-class names[2].

The Albizzi regime (1382–1434) formalized an increasingly closed oligarchy. Under the leadership of figures like Rinaldo degli Albizzi, the regime manipulated the scrutinies to ensure their loyalists dominated the *borse*[2]. To institutionalize their control, they relied heavily on the Council of the Two Hundred, a body that cemented the power of the ruling class while leaving the mechanical theater of the *tratte* intact for the lower offices[2].

The structural reality of this oligarchic dominance is perfectly captured by the 1427 *Catasto*, an unprecedented tax census driven by the republic's desperate need to fund wars against Milan. The data, meticulously coded by David Herlihy and Christiane Klapisch-Zuber, and later expanded by John F. Padgett, tracks 42,763 Florentine males and provides a staggering map of wealth inequality and commercial credit networks[6]. Padgett's analysis, which coded 65.4% of the commercial *bilanci* (balance sheets) in core industries, reveals that a handful of patrician families commanded resources equivalent to sovereign states[22].

This extreme economic leverage provided the exact tool necessary to definitively compromise the political lottery. Because being in tax arrears (*allo specchio*) legally disqualified a citizen whose name was drawn from the *borse*, wealthy patrons could weaponize their capital. They could pay the tax assessments of their clients to ensure their eligibility, or aggressively call in commercial debts to bankrupt their political rivals, thereby striking them from the *tratte* entirely[14].

The Medici Capture: Machiavellianism and the List (1434–1494)

The definitive, terminal capture of the Florentine lottery occurred under Cosimo de' Medici, following his return from a brief, Albizzi-orchestrated exile in 1434[16]. The Medici regime presents a historically peerless case study of how individuals exhibiting Machiavellian traits—characterized by high strategic manipulation, deceit, long-term planning, and the masking of intent—can subjugate a lottery-based democracy without ever officially abolishing it.

Nicolai Rubinstein's definitive history, *The Government of Florence under the Medici (1434 to 1494)*, meticulously documents the mechanics of this capture. Cosimo understood that overtly declaring himself a prince or a dictator would invite immediate assassination by rival patricians. Instead, he maintained the entire republican apparatus—the Signoria, the rapid two-month

terms, the *borse*, and the public ceremony of the *tratte*—but seized absolute, uncompromising control of the input mechanisms[9].

The mechanics of the Medici capture were executed in several distinct phases:

First, upon his return in 1434, Cosimo's partisans called a *Parlamento* and established a Medicean *Balìa*. This extraordinary commission was granted the unilateral power to select the *accoppiatori* (the purse wardens)[9].

Second, the regime institutionalized the *accoppiatori a mano* (hand-picking). This was the most devastating subversion of the lottery system. Instead of blindly drawing random names from the *borse* to fill the Signoria, the *Balìa* empowered the loyalist *accoppiatori* to literally open the bags, read the parchment tickets, and hand-pick the exact priors they desired for the next two months[13]. The random drawing by lot was transformed into an appointment by committee, strictly controlled by the Medici faction.

Third, when public pressure occasionally forced the regime to restore blind drawing to placate the citizenry (such as the brief republican reaction of 1465–1466), the Medici simply shifted their manipulation further upstream to the *squittinio*[13]. They utilized *borse larghe* (wide purses containing many names to create the illusion of broad democratic participation) alongside *borse più ristrette* (narrow purses containing only hyper-loyalists)[25].

Finally, they weaponized the tax code. By manipulating the *Catasto* and subsequent *estimi* (tax assessments), the Medici artificially inflated the tax burdens of rival families, such as the Strozzi. This forced their opponents into arrears, rendering their drawn tickets legally void[14].

By the time Cosimo's grandson, Lorenzo the Magnificent, assumed control, the republican system was entirely hollowed out. Recognizing the inefficiency of manipulating a two-month lottery for long-term geopolitical strategy, Lorenzo established the *Consiglio dei Settanta* (Council of Seventy) in 1480[27]. This was a permanent body composed exclusively of Medicean loyalists, which effectively bypassed the Signoria and stripped the *Tre Maggiori* of all consequential foreign and domestic policymaking power[28].

The Savonarolan Reopening and the Final Collapse (1494–1512)

The fragility of a captured, hollowed-out lottery was exposed in 1494. The invasion of Italy by King Charles VIII of France catalyzed a massive popular revolt that terrified the Medici into fleeing the city[26]. The resulting power vacuum was filled by the Dominican friar Girolamo Savonarola, whose apocalyptic preaching mobilized a sweeping, radical republican revival[26].

Savonarola immediately dismantled the Medicean *Consiglio dei Settanta* and the *Consiglio dei Cento*, viewing them as instruments of oligarchic tyranny[26]. Seeking to permanently insulate the republic from future capture by elite factions, he engineered the creation of the *Maggior Consiglio* (Great Council), heavily modeled on the Venetian system[30].

The creation of the *Maggior Consiglio* represented the most radical expansion of the Florentine franchise since the Ciompi revolt a century prior. Eligibility was extended to any citizen whose father or grandfather had been eligible for the three major offices, effectively enfranchising over 3,000 citizens overnight[29]. The vast hall built specifically to accommodate them—the

Salone dei Cinquecento—became the physical, architectural manifestation of this broadened *squittinio*[29]. Under the principles outlined in Savonarola's *Trattato circa il reggimento e governo della città di Firenze* (1498), the *tratte* was fully restored, and the *borse* were populated by the expansive votes of the Great Council rather than tight oligarchic cliques[33].

However, this rapid, massive expansion suffered from severe scale failures and ideological fanaticism. Savonarola's moral puritanism alienated the traditional elite, while his direct confrontation with Pope Alexander VI led to his excommunication, trial, and execution in 1498[36]. Following his death, the Florentine elite attempted to stabilize the volatile, highly unpredictable Great Council by altering the executive structure, electing Piero Soderini as *Gonfaloniere* for life in 1502, effectively mirroring the Venetian Doge[26]. But the republic, deeply fractured and lacking the mercenary and financial leverage previously provided by the Medici bank, fell to a Spanish army in 1512. This resulted in the return of the Medici, the immediate abolition of the Great Council, and the permanent death of the broad lottery system[26].

The Strongest Case Against Sortition: The Elitist and Civic Critiques

The Florentine experiment provided the raw material for the birth of modern political science. The strongest, most enduring cases against sortition come directly from observers who participated in its administration and its ultimate collapse: Francesco Guicciardini and Niccolò Machiavelli.

Guicciardini, an aristocrat, diplomat, and statesman, articulated the definitive elitist argument against the lottery in his *Dialogo del reggimento di Firenze*[37]. He argued that sortition inherently degrades the quality of governance by substituting blind chance for meritocratic selection. Guicciardini contended that drawing lots inevitably empowers mediocrity, placing highly complex geopolitical, military, and economic decisions into the hands of provincial tradesmen utterly incapable of grand strategy. He explicitly preferred election over sortition, arguing that voting naturally elevates the "best" (the aristocracy and the educated), while lotteries flatten natural hierarchies, leading to administrative incompetence and forcing the true elites to govern via illicit shadow networks out of sheer necessity[26].

Machiavelli's critique, heavily present in his *Istorie Fiorentine* (Florentine Histories) and his *Discourse on Florentine Affairs*, is more subtle, structural, and foundational to republican theory[13]. Machiavelli did not inherently despise the common people; rather, he identified that the Florentine lottery promoted a toxic illusion of consensus that masked deep, unresolvable class conflicts. Machiavelli argued that by relying on the *squittinio* and the *tratte*, the republic forced ambitious men to achieve power through covert patronage, systemic corruption, and the creation of private factions (sects), which were ultimately fatal to civic liberty[38].

Furthermore, the extreme rotation speeds of the two-month terms destroyed institutional memory and made statecraft impossible[38]. Instead of the randomized lottery, Machiavelli famously favored the Roman model, which institutionalized class conflict transparently (e.g., the Senate versus the Tribunes of the Plebs), rather than attempting to randomize it away into a false, easily manipulated corporatist harmony[39].

It is vital here to avoid the naturalistic fallacy and the romance of the primitive. Old does not mean good, and small does not mean fair. The communal origins of the Florentine republic were drenched in elite violence, systemic exclusion, and ruthless plutocracy. The *tratte* was not an egalitarian paradise lost to time, but a highly specific conflict-resolution mechanism designed by and for a narrow commercial elite.

SCALE LEDGER: Florentine Tratte (Sortition by Scrutiny)

The following ledger assesses the efficacy and failure points of the Florentine lottery system across the required demographic rungs of nested governance, evaluating where the mechanism of scrutinies and purses remains viable.

Scale Rung	Population	Assessment of the Tratte and Squittinio Mechanics
Household	4 to 10	**Never tried / Inapplicable.** The lottery requires a separation between the governed and a distinct governing body. At the household scale, governance is strictly kinship-based or patriarchal. Randomized rotation of authority among 10 people destroys necessary domestic competence and division of labor.
Hamlet / Band	30 to 50	**Never tried.** The population is too small to justify the immense bureaucratic overhead of formal scrutinies, term-limit tracking, and physical infrastructure (bags, tickets). Conflict at this scale is managed via direct consensus or dominant personality; lotteries create

		unnecessary administrative friction.
Village	150 to 500	**Operated and Worked (Analogous to Florentine Guilds).** Internal guild elections in Florence often utilized sortition successfully[40]. At this scale, everyone possesses direct, reputational knowledge of everyone else, making the *squittinio* (vetting) highly accurate. Social shame and proximity prevent the nomination of outright psychopaths.
Parish / District	1,500 to 5,000	**Operated and Worked (The *Quartieri*).** The Florentine district administrations (*Gonfalonieri di Compagnia*) effectively used the lottery to rotate neighborhood militias and local tax assessors[1]. The pool of eligible enfranchised candidates citywide (~5,000) matches this scale perfectly, maintaining enough anonymity for fair voting but enough proximity for accountability.
Town / Watershed	15,000 to 50,000	**Operated but Highly Vulnerable.** This represents the entirety of the Florentine Republic (50k-70k population). The system functioned to rotate

		power among the elite but failed catastrophically when confronted with massive wealth inequality. The population is large enough that anonymity allows dark-triad actors to manipulate the vetting lists via proxy without facing immediate physical reprisal[6].
Region / Bioregion	0.5M to 5M	**Failed.** When Florence conquered Pisa, Arezzo, and the wider Tuscan bioregion, it explicitly refused to extend the *tratte* to the conquered subjects. Regional governance requires logistical speed, standing armies, and command structures that rapid two-month lottery cycles cannot sustain.
Nation / Continent	50M to 500M	**Never tried.** A centralized lottery at this scale requires a massive, uncorrupted bureaucratic apparatus to maintain continuous eligibility lists and track term limits, which is impossible without industrial or digital infrastructure.
Planet	8 Billion	**Never tried.**

CAPTURE TEST: The Dark-Triad Hijacking of the Lottery

The Florentine historical record provides an explicit, highly detailed blueprint of how a faction

led by individuals with dark-triad traits takes over a sortition system. The vulnerability is entirely isolated to the boundary-setting mechanisms and the administrative loopholes.

Capture Mechanism	Dark-Triad Execution Strategy	Historical Precedent	Features that Resisted or Reversed Capture
Capture of the Scrutiny (*Squittinio*)	The Machiavellian actor does not attack the draw itself; they manipulate the eligibility list. They use commercial patronage, bribery, and intimidation to ensure only their clients achieve the supermajority needed to enter the bags.	**1382 Albizzi Oligarchy.** Following the Ciompi revolt, the elite purged the *borse* of the *popolo minuto* and stacked them strictly with conservative loyalists[2].	**Broad Franchise Expansion.** Savonarola's *Maggior Consiglio* (1494) resisted capture by vastly expanding the list to over 3,000 men, making the electorate too large and unwieldy for a single faction to bribe or intimidate effectively[32].
The "Hand-Picked" Draw (*Accoppiatori a mano*)	The sociopath leverages a manufactured crisis to declare a state of emergency (*Balia*), gaining the legal right to abandon blind drawing. They hand-pick names directly from the bags, ensuring total executive control while maintaining the visual theater of the republic.	**1434 Cosimo de' Medici.** Returning from exile, his *Balia* empowered loyalist *accoppiatori* to literally open the bags and select the priors, entirely bypassing randomness[9].	**Strict Terms for Wardens.** Implementing strict, non-renewable terms for the *accoppiatori*, enforcing public ceremonies for the draw, and applying harsh penalties for opening the bags beforehand[13].
Weaponization of Disqualifications (*Divieti*)	The narcissist uses bureaucratic loopholes to legally	**Medici Tax Manipulation.** Lorenzo and	**Separation of Powers.** Removing the tax assessment

	eliminate rivals whose names are drawn. By controlling the tax assessors, they arbitrarily raise an opponent's taxes, placing them in arrears (*allo specchio*) and voiding their lottery ticket upon drawing.	Cosimo manipulated the *Catasto* to financially ruin rival patrician families like the Strozzi, legally stripping them of office[14].	board entirely from the executive branch, and instituting periodic debt jubilees prior to the *tratte*.
Creation of Shadow Councils	When the lottery cannot be fully controlled or operates too slowly for their ambition, the Machiavellian strips the lottery-elected body of its jurisdiction, transferring real state power to a newly invented, appointed shadow council.	**1480 *Consiglio dei Settanta*.** Lorenzo de' Medici created the Council of Seventy to handle all vital state matters, rendering the lottery-drawn Signoria an impotent ceremonial shell[27].	**Constitutional Supremacy.** The Savonarolan reforms of 1494 explicitly outlawed the *Settanta* and legally restored all legislative supremacy to the lottery-based bodies[29].

Summary of Robust Findings

The Florentine experiment demonstrates that sortition is a highly effective mechanism for preventing the entrenchment of executive autocracy among a designated peer group, but it remains structurally blind to socio-economic disparities outside the lottery itself. The system successfully managed horizontal rotation among the elites but catastrophically failed to manage vertical class integration, culminating in the Ciompi Revolt. Sortition does not fail at the moment of random selection; it fails at the *squittinio*—the determination of who is permitted into the draw. Dark-triad actors, notably the Medici, captured the republic not by abolishing the lottery, but by mastering the eligibility criteria, utilizing massive financial leverage and tax debt to systematically exclude rivals. Consequently, any lottery system is only as robust as the integrity, transparency, and broadness of the list it draws from. Finally, extreme rotation speeds—such as two-month terms—inhibit long-term strategic planning, incentivizing the

creation of unaccountable shadow councils to provide institutional memory and geopolitical direction.

Contested or Open Questions

The historical analysis leaves several critical questions unresolved for future implementation. First, regarding the competency deficit: Does randomized sortition fundamentally lack the capacity to produce competent leadership during acute military or economic crises, as Guicciardini argued, or was Florentine incompetence a result of Medicean sabotage and shadow governance rather than the lottery itself? Second, regarding the function of the *Balia*: Was the use of extraordinary commissions a subversion of the republic, or a necessary, pragmatic safety valve built into the system to handle emergencies that a randomly selected, rapidly rotating Signoria could not mathematically process? Finally, regarding economic preconditions: Can a lottery system ever survive in a society with vast disparities in commercial credit and net wealth, or does severe economic inequality inevitably result in the financial capture of the eligibility list, regardless of the procedural safeguards in place?

Sources to Read in Full

Author	Year	Work	Core Contribution
Najemy, J. M.	1982	*Corporatism and Consensus in Florentine Electoral Politics, 1280-1400*	Essential for understanding the mechanics of the *squittinio* and the corporatist tensions leading to the 1328 reforms.
Rubinstein, N.	1997	*The Government of Florence under the Medici (1434 to 1494)*	The definitive, granular account of how the Medici captured the *borse* and utilized the *accoppiatori* to control the state.
Padgett, J. F., & McLean, P. D.	2006	*Economic Credit in Renaissance Florence*	Crucial quantitative analysis of the 1427 *Catasto*, demonstrating how commercial financial leverage mapped directly

			onto political power.
Guidi, G.	1981	*Il governo della città-repubblica di Firenze del primo quattrocento*	Detailed institutional mapping of the Florentine bureaucracy and the daily operations of the *Tre Maggiori*.
Savonarola, G.	1498	*Trattato circa il reggimento e governo della città di Firenze*	The primary ideological text for the reopening of the franchise and the architectural creation of the *Maggior Consiglio*.
Machiavelli, N.	1520	*Discourse on Florentine Affairs*	Machiavelli's ultimate critique of Medicean shadow governance, factions, and his prescriptions for instituting a true, durable republic.
Guicciardini, F.	1521	*Dialogo del reggimento di Firenze*	The most articulate elitist critique of sortition, arguing that lotteries empower mediocrity and necessitate aristocratic oversight.

Implementability after the Fall

Implementing a sortition system in a post-collapse environment—characterized by the total absence of a central state, an electrical grid, and digital networks—requires stripping the Florentine model back to its physical and procedural essentials.

For a household of four to ten people, the mechanism is entirely non-viable; implementing a formal lottery at this scale destroys necessary familial hierarchies, specialized division of labor, and basic domestic competence.

For a village of 300 people, the minimum viable version requires the *squittinio* (scrutiny) to be conducted via a physical gathering in the village square. Eligibility must require the direct, public endorsement of three separate households to prevent the nomination of extreme outliers or known sociopaths. Approved names are carved on identical wooden tokens and placed in a communal clay jar. On the solstice and equinox, a blindfolded child—ensuring total randomization—draws three tokens to form the village executive tribunal for a strictly enforced three-month term.

For a district of 3,000 people, the system requires representatives from ten constituent villages. Each village conducts its own local scrutiny and sends ten approved tokens to the district center. A rotating council of *accoppiatori* (wardens), drawn simultaneously from different villages to prevent collusion, manages the central bag and executes the public draw.

What It Needs to Start: The system absolutely requires baseline literacy to write names on chits and maintain a physical ledger of who has already served, enforcing term limits (*divieti*). It requires a mathematically shared calendar, which is essential for the strict, unyielding enforcement of term expiries (e.g., exactly two or three months without drift). Finally, it requires the physical security of the randomizer—a heavy lockbox, a sealed leather purse, or a fired clay jar—and a highly ritualized, highly visible public meeting place for the draw to ensure absolute transparency.

The First Failure to Expect: The first catastrophic failure in a post-collapse setting will not be a tyrant's refusal to step down at the end of a drawn term; it will be the quiet, procedural capture of the eligibility list. A charismatic or Machiavellian faction will convince the community that certain individuals are "unfit," "dangerous," or "in debt to the village," successfully excluding their tokens from the jar. The moment the community accepts a constrained list curated by a faction, the lottery is captured, and a new oligarchy is born.

Principles

1. **The Principle of Boundary Vulnerability:** The integrity of any randomized selection system is inversely proportional to the strictness of its eligibility constraints; sortition is captured at the list, not at the draw.
2. **The Principle of Shadow Governance:** When a system enforces rapid, egalitarian rotation of executive power among actors of vastly unequal socioeconomic standing, true geopolitical and economic power will immediately migrate to unconstitutional, shadow advisory councils.
3. **The Principle of Weaponized Disqualification:** Dark-triad actors will rarely attack a popular democratic mechanism directly; instead, they will weaponize administrative rules—such as tax debts, residency requirements, or moral purity tests—to legally disqualify their opponents from the mechanism.

4. **The Principle of Scale Anonymity:** Sortition functions effectively when the community is small enough for the vetting process to rely on direct reputational knowledge, but fails to prevent sociopathic capture when the population scale grants anonymity to elite, proxy-based manipulation.
5. **The Principle of the Machiavellian Facade:** A highly manipulated republic is structurally more stable for an autocrat than an overt dictatorship, as the procedural theater of lotteries diffuses public anger while reliably achieving the exact same autocratic outcome.

Works cited

1. Institutional Practices of the Florentine Republic | Cairn.info, https://shs.cairn.info/journal-revue-francaise-de-science-politique-2014-6-page-1109?lang=en
2. Cosimo de' Medici's regime: his rise to power | Cairn.info, https://shs.cairn.info/journal-revue-francaise-de-science-politique-2014-6-page-1139?lang=en
3. Emergence of a Bureaucracy: The Florentine Patricians, 1530-1790, https://dokumen.pub/emergence-of-a-bureaucracy-the-florentine-patricians-1530-1790-course-booknbsped-9781400858262.html
4. Inequality and Politics, https://www.uni.lu/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2024/09/Inequality-and-Politics_presentation.pdf
5. Signoria of Florence - Grokipedia, https://grokipedia.com/page/Signoria_of_Florence
6. The Medici and a Florentine Plutocracy in the Quattrocento, https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3238&context=etd
7. MANIN, Bernard The Principles of Representative Government, https://presencial.moodle.ufsc.br/pluginfile.php/1568277/mod_resource/content/0/MANIN%2C%20Bernard%20The%20Principles%20of%20Representative%20Government%20Themes%20in%20the%20Social%20Sciences%20%201997.pdf
8. Storia di Firenze attraverso i libri - Carnesecchi, http://www.carnesecchi.eu/storia_di_Firenze.htm
9. MEDICI, Cosimo de' - Enciclopedia - Treccani, https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/cosimo-de-medici_(Dizionario-Biografico)/
10. Famiglie Iacopi nel mondo, https://www.iacopi.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=137
11. l'edizione de gli statuti della repubblica fiorentina del 1355 in, https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/irlh/it/article/download/21924/19569/65041
12. Late Medieval and Early Modern Ritual: Studies in Italian Urban, https://dokumen.pub/late-medieval-and-early-modern-ritual-studies-in-italian-urban-culture-europa-sacra-9782503541907-2503541909.html
13. The government of Florence under the Medici (1434 to 1494, https://dokumen.pub/the-government-of-florence-under-the-medici-1434-to-14

94-9780198174189.html
14. Patronage, Citizenship, and the Stalled Emergence of the Modern, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/comparative-studies-in-society-and-history/article/patronage-citizenship-and-the-stalled-emergence-of-the-modern-state-in-renaissance-florence/18B8754C8B96DC2AB7A862D7F6B1AB17
15. Bartolomeo Scala, 1430-1497, Chancellor of Florence - dokumen.pub, https://dokumen.pub/bartolomeo-scala-1430-1497-chancellor-of-florence-the-humanist-as-bureaucrat-9781400867530.html
16. Fubini - His Rise To Power (1434) | PDF | Florence, https://www.scribd.com/document/1061597709/Fubini-Cosimo-de-Medici-s-Regime-His-Rise-to-Power-1434
17. Full text of "Archivio storico italiano", https://archive.org/stream/s5archiviostoric19depuuoft/s5archiviostoric19depuuoft_djvu.txt
18. ISABELLA LAZZARINI Materiali per una didattica delle scritture, https://oajournals.fupress.net/index.php/scrineum/article/download/8761/8759/8638
19. Tirage au sort et politique : de l'autogouvernement ... - Cairn, https://shs.cairn.info/article/RAI_042_0159/pdf?lang=fr&ID_ARTICLE=RAI_042_0159
20. The Politics of Social Revolt in Medieval Europe, 1200-1425 - EPDF, https://epdf.pub/lust-for-liberty-the-politics-of-social-revolt-in-medieval-europe-1200-1425.html
21. Doctors and Medicine in Early Renaissance Florence [Course Book, https://dokumen.pub/doctors-and-medicine-in-early-renaissance-florence-course-booknbsped-9781400855001.html
22. (PDF) Economic Credit in Renaissance Florence - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/27653427_Economic_Credit_in_Renaissance_Florence
23. (PDF) Open Elite? Social Mobility, Marriage, and Family in Florence, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/44677693_Open_Elite_Social_Mobility_Marriage_and_Family_in_Florence_1282-1494
24. Cosimo de' Medici – Wikipedia, https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cosimo_de%E2%80%99_Medici
25. “Cosimo de' Medici” di Lorenzo Tanzini - Pandora Rivista, https://www.pandorarivista.it/articoli/cosimo-de-medici-di-lorenzo-tanzini/
26. Firenze - Enciclopedia - Treccani, https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/firenze_(Enciclopedia-machiavelliana)/
27. Machiavelli and Guicciardini : politics and history in sixteenth, https://dokumen.pub/machiavelli-and-guicciardini-politics-and-history-in-sixteenth-century-florence.html
28. THE MUNITIONS OF THE REPUBLIC. PRODUCTION, COMMERCE, https://www.research.unipd.it/retrieve/e14fb26f-ad54-3de1-e053-1705fe0ac030/Fabrizio_Ansani_tesi.pdf
29. Rome reborn on the Arno: Republican spatialities and the uses of,

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311983.2023.2264019
30. Firenze - The Art of War | Bernard Smith, https://www.bernardsmith.name/firenze_war/
31. Making the Memory of Duke Alessandro de' Medici in Renaissance, https://tracyerobey.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Robey.Glory_and_Infamy_Making_the_Memory.pdf
32. Politics in Renaissance Venice 9780813508887 - DOKUMEN.PUB, https://dokumen.pub/politics-in-renaissance-venice-9780813508887.html
33. Savonarola 9780300178487 - DOKUMEN.PUB, https://dokumen.pub/savonarola-9780300178487.html
34. Studies on Florence and the Italian Renaissance in Honour of F.W., https://dokumen.pub/studies-on-florence-and-the-italian-renaissance-in-honour-of-fw-kent-europa-sacra-9782503552767-2503552765.html
35. Communes and Despots in Medieval and Renaissance Italy [Reprint, https://dokumen.pub/communes-and-despots-in-medieval-and-renaissance-italy-reprintnbsped-0754665089-9780754665083.html
36. The impact of Savonarola on Florence can be ... - Brepols Online, https://www.brepolsonline.net/doi/pdf/10.1484/M.ES-EB.4.4013
37. Machiavelli and the Modern State: The Prince, the Discourses on, https://dokumen.pub/machiavelli-and-the-modern-state-the-prince-the-discourses-on-livy-and-the-extended-territorial-republic-1107061032-9781107061033.html
38. A Great and Wretched City Promise and Failure in Machiavelli's, https://www.scribd.com/document/1016987637/A-Great-and-Wretched-City-Promise-and-Failure-in-Machiavelli-s-Florentine-Political-Thought-Mark-Jurdjevic-z-library-sk-1lib-sk-z-lib-sk
39. Machiavellian Democracy 9780521530903, 9780521823906, https://dokumen.pub/machiavellian-democracy-9780521530903-9780521823906-2010022940.html
40. BROWN UNIVERSITY, https://cds.library.brown.edu/projects/tratte/historicalOverview.html