CA-12 Information capture: legibility, propaganda, surveillance and the records a village keeps

The architecture of governance is indistinguishable from the architecture of information. A political body, whether a household, a village, or a planetary hemisphere, can only govern what it can observe, record, and comprehend. Consequently, the mechanisms utilized to capture information—cadastral maps, physical ledgers, digital surveillance, and social credit systems—operate simultaneously as tools for collective coordination and as vectors for authoritarian capture. When industrial supply chains and state apparatuses permanently collapse, the surviving populations must reconstitute information systems from the ground up to prevent immediate subjugation. To ensure these systems resist co-optation by individuals exhibiting dark-triad traits (narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy), it is necessary to examine how information architectures have historically functioned, how they have been weaponized, and how civic countermeasures have succeeded or failed.

Information as the Lever of Capture: Legibility and Propaganda

The fundamental problem of governance at scale is opacity. Complex, organic social systems are inherently illegible to centralized authorities. To extract taxes, conscript soldiers, or impose ideological conformity, a central governing body must simplify the society it rules.

In James C. Scott's *Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed* (1998), the concept of "legibility" is established as the core driver of statecraft[1]. Scott argues that states mandate administrative legibility by homogenizing populations and standardizing weights, measures, and landholdings[1]. The primary instrument of this standardization is the cadastral map, which replaces customary, overlapping local land rights with unambiguous private property lines optimized for centralized taxation[2]. Scott identifies the confluence of administrative ordering, "high modernist" ideology, an authoritarian state, and a prostrate civil society as the recipe for catastrophic social engineering[3]. The historical record provides stark examples, such as Soviet collectivization in the 1920s and 1930s, and Tanzanian villagization (1973–1974) under Julius Nyerere, which forcibly relocated millions of peasants into grid-patterned villages to facilitate agricultural planning[1].

When examining the ideological capture of information, the physical legibility described by Scott is paired with epistemological monopoly. Hannah Arendt, in *The Origins of Totalitarianism* (1951), established that totalitarian movements rely on the complete destruction of objective truth, isolating the individual from verifiable reality to ensure that the populace must rely entirely on the state for its epistemic grounding. In the modern era, this dynamic has mutated. Peter Pomerantsev, in *Nothing Is True and Everything Is Possible* (2014) and *This Is Not Propaganda* (2019), demonstrates that modern authoritarianism does not capture information

through scarcity, but through a superabundance of noise. By capturing platform attention and flooding the information zone with contradictory narratives, the modern propagandist induces apathy and epistemological learned helplessness, neutralizing civic resistance without the need for physical gulags.

This arrangement of top-down legibility and narrative control is characterized by a distinct tripartite reality. What the architects stated was that high-modernist planning and unified narratives would increase yields, rationalize production, and elevate the populace out of primitive squalor. What the record shows is that forced villagization in Tanzania and collectivization in the USSR disrupted deeply adapted local ecological knowledge, leading to systemic agricultural failures, widespread resistance, and in the Soviet case, catastrophic famine. What modern scholarship infers is that legibility is a prerequisite for extraction, meaning the state optimizes for its own ability to read the landscape, even if that simplification destroys the underlying social and ecological carrying capacity[2].

The strongest case against this critique of legibility is fiercely articulated by agricultural historians, most notably Mark B. Tauger (1999). Tauger criticizes Scott for romanticizing primitive, local knowledge and ignoring the stark realities of pre-modern agriculture, which was frequently devastated by natural famines[4]. Tauger points out that Scott misrepresents empirical data; for instance, Soviet *kolkhozy* in the 1920s encompassed fewer than two percent of peasants but produced a disproportionately large share of farm products[4]. Furthermore, Tanzanian food production actually increased by an estimated ten percent by 1975 following villagization, generating an export surplus by 1978–1979[4]. Tauger argues that rejecting legibility risks the naturalistic fallacy—assuming that old, illegible, and small-scale systems are inherently superior, while ignoring the material improvements and safety buffers generated by state-led modernization.

Scale Ledger: Top-Down Legibility and Propaganda

Rung	Scale (Population)	Status	Rationale for Success or Failure
Household	4 to 10	Failed / Inapplicable	Informality and proximity make abstract legibility tools and broad propaganda redundant.
Hamlet	30 to 50	Failed	High-modernist mapping destroys the necessary complex local ecological sharing

			networks.
Village	150 to 500	Failed	Interpersonal trust networks are disrupted by imposed external grids and top-down lies.
Parish	1,500 to 5,000	Operated	Cadastral mapping begins to yield tax benefits for external authorities; local resistance remains high.
Town	15,000 to 50,000	Worked	Necessary for complex resource distribution, but breeds alienation and susceptibility to noise.
Region	0.5 to 5 million	Worked	Essential for state logistics; local knowledge is entirely overridden by bureaucratic standardization.
Nation	50 to 500 million	Worked	Enables mass conscription, taxation, and platform-scale propaganda capture.
Planet	8 billion	Untried	Lacks a unified global sovereign to enforce total

			legibility, despite globalization trends.

Capture Test: Legibility and Propaganda

To execute a capture of this arrangement, a Machiavellian actor assumes control of the central data repository or mapping authority, pairing it with platform control of the information space. A historical example of this vector is the deployment of IBM Hollerith punch-card systems to cross-reference census and parish data in 1930s Europe, allowing fascist regimes to achieve perfect demographic legibility for extermination campaigns, paired with Arendtian narrative control. The features that resist or reverse this capture include high degrees of physical illegibility (e.g., the complex, unmapped alleyways of Venice[2]), encrypted data silos, and a robust civil society that refuses standardization and maintains independent, verifiable communication channels.

Behavioral Surveillance and the Vulnerable World

In the digital era, legibility transitioned from physical mapping to psychological and behavioral extraction. Shoshana Zuboff's *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* (2019) details how technology platforms extract "behavioral surplus"—data exceeding what is required to provide a service—to create prediction products[6]. This results in "instrumentarian power," the ability to shape and predict behavior at scale without the need for ideological totalitarianism; it relies merely on behavioral compliance engineered through interface design[6].

The welfare effects of this platform capture are quantifiable. In a 2020 empirical study by Hunt Allcott, Matthew Gentzkow, et al., a sample of 2,743 Facebook users was randomized to deactivate their accounts for four weeks. The study found that users required a median compensation of $100 (mean $180) to deactivate[8]. Deactivation resulted in a 0.09 standard deviation increase in a subjective well-being index, encompassing happiness, life satisfaction, depression, and anxiety—an effect size equivalent to 25–40% of the impact of professional psychological interventions like individual therapy[8].

At the planetary scale, the centralization of surveillance is sometimes posited as a necessary defense mechanism against technological self-destruction. Nick Bostrom's *Vulnerable World Hypothesis* (2019) suggests that technological advancement acts as an urn from which humanity pulls balls; eventually, we may draw a "black ball," representing a technology that easily allows a single rogue actor to destroy civilization[9]. Bostrom posits that surviving such a vulnerability may require a "High-Tech Panopticon"—a system of ubiquitous, turnkey global surveillance to monitor all individuals continuously, thereby detecting rogue actors before they initiate an apocalyptic sequence[10].

What the architects of these systems (platform CEOs and existential risk theorists) state is that mass data collection provides customized utility, economic efficiency, and the ultimate safeguard against civilizational collapse. What the record shows is that behavioral surplus

extraction induces measurable psychological harm, enables political polarization, and creates vast centralized databases highly vulnerable to state and corporate abuse[8]. What modern scholarship infers is that instrumentarian power operates via a shallow gradient of normalization, slowly adjusting human behavior to serve the predicting entity without triggering the psychological tripwires that usually provoke civic resistance[6].

The strongest case against Bostrom's Panopticon and surveillance capitalism is articulated by privacy advocates and democratic theorists who argue that the proposed "cure" is as dangerous as the disease. Critics assert that Bostrom's Panopticon ignores the near-certainty of the surveillance apparatus itself falling into the hands of a dark-triad actor, ensuring an inescapable, technologically locked-in global tyranny[12]. The concentration of such absolute monitoring power creates a single point of failure for human freedom.

Scale Ledger: Behavioral Surveillance Systems

Rung	Scale (Population)	Status	Rationale for Success or Failure
Household	4 to 10	Failed	Interpersonal intimacy negates the need for algorithmic behavioral prediction.
Hamlet	30 to 50	Failed	Too small a sample size to train prediction algorithms effectively.
Village	150 to 500	Failed	Insufficient behavioral surplus generated to monetize or effectively model.
Parish	1,500 to 5,000	Operated	Viable for localized geofencing and targeted ad delivery, though noisy.

Town	15,000 to 50,000	Worked	Sufficient data scale for municipal monitoring and predictive policing deployments.
Region	0.5 to 5 million	Worked	Highly profitable scale for behavioral surplus extraction and political micro-targeting.
Nation	50 to 500 million	Worked	Enables instrumentarian power; standard scale for modern surveillance capitalism.
Planet	8 billion	Untried	Bostrom's Panopticon remains theoretically proposed but limited by geopolitical fragmentation.

Capture Test: Behavioral Surveillance

A psychopathic or narcissistic actor takes over this arrangement by acquiring the corporation that holds the behavioral surplus or by legally compelling platform compliance via state security apparatuses. Historical cases showing this include the utilization of localized social media surveillance by state intelligence agencies to identify and arrest dissidents during the Arab Spring. Features that resist or reverse capture include end-to-end cryptographic encryption, strict legal requirements for data minimization, and decentralized, open-source communication protocols that do not aggregate behavioral surplus at a central node.

Internal Monitors and the Weaponization of Virtue

Governing bodies frequently attempt to solve the problem of local corruption, deviance, and information asymmetry by creating independent monitoring architectures tasked with policing morality and administrative fidelity.

One of the most enduring institutional monitors in human history was the Chinese Censorate (*yushitai* or *duchayuan*), which operated for over a millennium[13]. Established to combat corruption and maintain bureaucratic integrity, the Censorate functioned as the Emperor's independent eyes and ears[15]. During the Tang Dynasty (618–907), the institution was highly structured: it included one Censor-in-chief, two Vice Censors-in-chief, and ten Investigating Censors (*jiancha yushi*), supported by an administrative staff of 34 clerks and various scribes[14]. By the Qing Dynasty (1644–1912), it had expanded into the Six Offices of Scrutiny, deeply integrating into all ministries[14].

The contemporary descendant of this moral-administrative monitoring is the Chinese Social Credit System (SCS). Rather than solely relying on state bureaucrats, the SCS attempts to automate virtue and compliance through digital redlists (for good behavior) and blacklists (for non-compliance)[16]. Blacklists specifically target "Laolai" (willful defaulters on court orders), placing strict limits on their ability to purchase high-speed train tickets, book flights, or stay in star-ranked hotels[16]. In 2018 alone, over 17.5 million travel tickets were blocked[16]. Empirical research on the SCS reveals a complex civic response. A 2019 survey by Genia Kostka (sample size of 2,209) indicated that nearly 50% of participants strongly favored a nationwide SCS, with only 1% explicitly disapproving, viewing it as a tool for enforcing societal trust[18]. However, Shazeda Ahmed's empirical research demonstrates that the system is not a monolith but a highly fragmented, decentralized infrastructure operated by provincial and municipal actors[20]. Ahmed's analysis of 30 provincial-level administrative divisions found 273 unique blacklists and 154 redlists, focusing heavily on corporate debt and legal compliance rather than ubiquitous behavioral scoring[20].

What the architects of these systems stated was that the Censorate was an incorruptible guardian of Confucian morality, and the SCS is a modern mechanism to eliminate fraud and enforce the rule of law[15]. What the record shows is that the historical Censorate frequently devolved into an instrument of lethal factional warfare, where censors utilized their direct access to the emperor to pursue vendettas based on political expediency[15]. What modern scholarship infers is that an unconstrained monitoring body with direct access to executive violence inevitably becomes a target for institutional capture. It lacks an independent judiciary to verify its claims, making it an ideal weapon for autocratic centralization[15].

The strongest case against relying on internal moral monitors points to the inevitability of political weaponization when due process is bypassed. Critics argue that social credit systems and censorates replace the objective rule of law with subjective, algorithmic, or factional moralizing, ensuring that the system is used to punish dissent rather than simply combat corruption.

Scale Ledger: Centralized Censorates and Social Credit

Rung	Scale (Population)	Status	Rationale for Success or Failure
Household	4 to 10	Failed	Formal monitoring

			destroys familial bonds; relies on organic shame.
Hamlet	30 to 50	Failed	High overhead; gossip and direct observation suffice to enforce norms.
Village	150 to 500	Failed	Factional weaponization rapidly tears village social fabric apart into feuds.
Parish	1,500 to 5,000	Operated	Early forms of inquisitorial boards appear but require external backing to enforce rulings.
Town	15,000 to 50,000	Worked	Viable for identifying local tax evasion if backed by higher provincial courts.
Region	0.5 to 5 million	Worked	Effective at enforcing provincial administrative norms; fragmented modern SCS operates here.
Nation	50 to 500 million	Worked	Operates as the ultimate tool of imperial/executive control (Ming/Qing Censorate).

Planet	8 billion	Never tried	Lacks a unified executive sovereign to whom the censors would report.

Capture Test: Centralized Censorates

A psychopathic actor captures this arrangement by infiltrating the highest ranks of the monitoring body, purging legitimate auditors, and stocking the investigating roles with sycophants who use the moral mandate to torture political rivals. The premier historical case is the late Ming Dynasty. Between 1625 and 1627, the eunuch dictator Wei Zhongxian effectively captured the surveillance and censorship apparatus, specifically targeting the Donglin Academy—a faction of idealistic, reform-minded Confucian officials[22]. Operating through the secret police network (which had executed over 100,000 people in various purges since the dynasty's founding[23]), Wei fabricated charges against the Donglin members. The result was a spectacularly gruesome political repression, with hundreds of loyal officials tortured, driven from office, or murdered in secret prisons[22]. Features that resist capture include independent verification of claims by an external judiciary, strict term limits for monitors, and a legal mandate that monitors face the exact same judicial standards and punishments as those they investigate.

Civic Defences: Open Records and Accountable Monitors

If top-down legibility and internal censorates are structurally vulnerable to capture, governance requires mechanisms that distribute information access horizontally. Freedom of Information (FOI) laws and accountable community monitors act as systemic defenses against dark-triad concentration of power.

The concept of legally mandated transparency originated not purely as a democratic ideal, but as a weapon in a factional power struggle. In the Swedish Age of Liberty (1719–1772), the reduction of monarchical power resulted in a fierce rivalry between two political factions: the "Hats" and the "Caps"[25]. The Caps, seeking to expose the corruption and financial mismanagement of the long-ruling Hats, required access to official documents[26]. Championed by Anders Chydenius, a 37-year-old priest from Ostrobothnia, the Swedish parliament passed the Freedom of the Press Act in 1766[26]. This legislation simultaneously abolished preventive censorship and established the principle of public access to official records (*offentlighetsprincipen*)[25].

At the local resource level, the defense against resource depletion and factional capture relies on rigorous monitoring that is wholly accountable to the governed. Elinor Ostrom's Nobel-winning research on Common-Pool Resources (CPRs) defied the "tragedy of the commons" by demonstrating that local communities successfully self-govern when specific

design principles are met[28]. A 2010 meta-analysis of 91 empirical studies by Cox et al. confirmed the robust empirical validity of Ostrom's eight design principles[30]. Principle 4 specifically mandates that monitoring of both the resource and the users must be conducted by individuals who are either the resource appropriators themselves or are directly accountable to them[30].

A premier historical example is the *Tribunal de las Aguas* (Water Court) of the Vega de Valencia in Spain. For over 1,000 years, this court has met every Thursday outside the Apostles' door of the Valencia Cathedral to administer irrigation rights and arbitrate disputes[32]. The syndics (judges) are directly elected from the farming community; their monitoring is characterized by immediate, graduated sanctions, public transparency, and absolute local legitimacy[34]. Because the judges are farmers themselves, there is a total alignment of incentives.

What the architects of these civic defenses stated was that transparency allows the public to monitor those dispensing justice, and that local users are best equipped to manage their own resources[25]. What the record shows is that the Swedish 1766 Act caused a massive revitalization of political discourse and print output, while Ostrom's localized monitors have successfully prevented the collapse of commons across hundreds of documented empirical cases[25]. What modern scholarship infers is that information asymmetries are the root of authoritarian capture; horizontally distributing access to records and nesting monitoring authority directly within the user base eliminates the blind spots that Machiavellian actors exploit.

The strongest case against these civic countermeasures is that they struggle to scale. Critics of Ostrom note that while accountable monitoring works beautifully in a Spanish watershed, it fails when applied to planetary commons like atmospheric carbon or deep-sea fisheries, where users are disconnected and heterogeneous. Similarly, critics of FOI laws point out that the discomfort generated by the 1766 Act among conservatives eventually led to a constitutional change that temporarily curtailed the Swedish parliamentary system, proving that radical transparency often triggers reactionary elite crackdowns[25].

Scale Ledger: FOI and Accountable Monitors

Rung	Scale (Population)	Status	Rationale for Success or Failure
Household	4 to 10	Operated	Natural state of familial resource distribution; information is inherently open.
Hamlet	30 to 50	Worked	High visibility prevents

			free-riding; FOI is informal but absolute.
Village	150 to 500	Worked	Optimal scale for the *Tribunal de las Aguas*; high trust, rapid sanction.
Parish	1,500 to 5,000	Worked	Operates effectively for shared forests, pastures, and aquifers if boundaries are clear.
Town	15,000 to 50,000	Operated	Requires complex nesting of institutions (Ostrom Principle 8) to maintain accountability[35].
Region	0.5 to 5 million	Failed	Direct accountability decays; monitors become bureaucratic agents separated from users.
Nation	50 to 500 million	Failed (Monitors) / Worked (FOI)	Resource monitoring fails due to information asymmetries; FOI laws can work if enforced by independent courts.

Planet	8 billion	Failed	Tragedies of the global commons persist due to lack of accountable, unified monitors.

Capture Test: Civic Defences

A narcissistic actor attempts to bypass community rules by claiming exemptions, utilizing accumulated wealth to buy off the monitors, or intimidating the syndics with physical violence. A historical case of failure occurred in Mexican community forestry in Oaxaca, where absent social capital and systemic corruption allowed local strongmen to ignore forest monitors entirely[36]. Features that resist capture include graduated sanctions that rapidly escalate to total social ostracization, monitors who hold office only for short terms and must return to the ranks of standard appropriators, and an uncompromising legal guarantee of public access to the monitors' own records.

The Records a Village Keeps: Pre-Print Technologies

After a systemic collapse of industrial power and digital infrastructure, a recovering village of 300 people will require analog mechanisms to govern land, marriage, debt, and collective action. A village must keep three core categories of records to govern honestly:

1. **Land and Cadastral Records:** To prevent violent feuding over borders and carrying capacity.
2. **Minutes and Decision Tracking:** To prevent Machiavellian actors from gaslighting the populace about what was collectively agreed upon in assemblies.
3. **Accounts and Debts:** To manage the flow of resources, labor obligations, and taxes without relying on fiat currency.

These records must be available for viewing by any recognized citizen of the village under a localized FOI framework, ensuring that opacity cannot be used to consolidate power. Pre-print historical technologies offer robust templates for achieving local legibility while resisting forgery.

Cadastral Control: The Domesday Inquest

To re-establish sovereignty and tax obligations following the Norman Conquest, William the Conqueror commissioned the Domesday Book in 1086[37]. Modern scholarship, notably David Roffe (2000), argues that the physical book and the inquest itself were two distinct exercises[37]. The brilliance of the Domesday methodology lay in its verification protocol: royal commissioners extracted answers to standardized questions (e.g., "How many hides? How many plows in demesne?") through public inquests involving juries of the local hundreds, ensuring that claims of landholdings were publicly verified by neighbors who knew the truth[38]. This mechanism prevents a narcissistic elite from simply bribing a clerk to enlarge their borders; the neighbors must swear to the boundary's truth.

Hardware Hashing: The Tally Stick

To record debts and taxes without paper or widespread literacy, medieval Europe utilized the tally stick. Most prominently established under King Henry I in 12th-century England, a tally stick was a piece of hardwood (usually hazel) notched to indicate a specific debt[40]. The critical security feature was the split: the stick was cleaved down the middle, producing a "stock" (kept by the creditor) and a "foil" (kept by the debtor)[40]. Because the organic grain of the wood fractured in an irreproducible, highly complex pattern, forgery was practically impossible[40]. When the debt was settled, the two halves were brought together. If the grain, the length, and the notches matched, the transaction was verified. Tally sticks provided decentralized, peer-to-peer trust, functioning as a physical predecessor to cryptographic hashes, and were used by the Exchequer until 1826[40].

Legibility of Kinship: Parish Registers

Control of land and inheritance relies on the legibility of kinship. Prior to the mid-16th century, marriages in Europe were often customary, clandestine, and poorly recorded, leading to intense disputes over legitimacy and property. In 1563, the Council of Trent issued the *Tametsi* decree, requiring that marriages be contracted before a priest and witnesses, and officially recorded in a parish register[43]. In England, the drive to eliminate clandestine unions culminated in Lord Hardwicke's Marriage Act of 1753, which mandated that all marriages be conducted in a church after the reading of banns or the issuance of a license, and recorded in a standardized register[45]. By placing the parish register in a central, publicly accessible location, the community could permanently verify the legitimacy of heirs.

Auditable Bureaucracy: The Inka Quipu

In environments completely devoid of alphabetical writing, the Inka Empire maintained massive demographic and economic records using the *quipu*—a system of knotted strings. As analyzed by Gary Urton (2003), the quipu operated on a rigorous decimal hierarchy (chunka for 10s, waranqa for 1,000s, hunu for 10,000s)[47]. The quipu makers (*quipucamayocs*) utilized variations in knot direction, string color, and ply to encode binary data. Crucially, the system was continually audited by traveling supervisors, cross-referencing local village quipus against regional administrative records to prevent local lords from hiding surplus grain or manpower from the state.

What the architects of these analog systems stated was that they would render the realm legible, secure debts, and formalize kinship. What the record shows is that systems relying on physical constraints (wood grain) or public verification (Domesday juries) proved incredibly resilient to localized fraud. What modern scholarship infers is that the limitation of these systems is their physical vulnerability and their reliance on a chain of custody; if the physical repository burns, the societal memory is erased.

The strongest case against these pre-print methodologies is that they are entirely susceptible to catastrophic physical destruction. Furthermore, while the tally stick prevents forgery of the debt amount, it does not prevent a powerful creditor from simply destroying the debtor's foil through violence, nor does it function well across highly disparate geographies due to a lack of standardization[40].

Scale Ledger: Pre-Print Analog Records

Rung	Scale (Population)	Status	Rationale for Success or Failure
Household	4 to 10	Operated	Basic notches on doorframes for internal accounting.
Hamlet	30 to 50	Worked	Tally sticks manage bilateral debts perfectly without central authority.
Village	150 to 500	Worked	Parish registers secure marriage/inheritance; Domesday juries verify land.
Parish	1,500 to 5,000	Worked	Central repository for tally stocks and registers (e.g., local church/hall).
Town	15,000 to 50,000	Worked	Requires specialized literate clerks and heavy physical security against fire/theft.
Region	0.5 to 5 million	Operated	Quipu networks successfully aggregated regional demographic and tax data.
Nation	50 to 500 million	Failed	Physical record transport becomes too slow, cumbersome, and

			prone to loss.
Planet	8 billion	Failed	Impossible to synchronize global ledgers without digital transmission.

Capture Test: Analog Records

A Machiavellian clerk captures this arrangement by systematically altering the parish register to disinherit a rival family, or a psychopathic debtor uses force to steal and destroy the creditor's tally stock. A historical case demonstrating the vulnerability of centralized physical records is the burning of the Houses of Parliament in 1834, caused by the careless incineration of centuries of accumulated tally sticks[48]. While accidental, it demonstrates how a single point of physical failure can destroy institutional memory. Features that resist capture include the physical hardware-hash of the split tally stick (which cannot be altered once cut), and Domesday's reliance on public juries (neighbor verification) rather than a single clerk's isolated testimony.

Summary of Robust Findings

1. States inherently optimize their populations for legibility to enable resource extraction, frequently destroying the complex local knowledge (*metis*) required for ecological resilience.
2. The destruction of objective factuality and the flooding of the information zone with noise are primary mechanisms by which authoritarian actors capture populations without relying solely on physical coercion.
3. Centralized monitoring institutions, such as internal censorates, inevitably become weaponized in factional conflicts if granted unchecked executive power.
4. Surveillance architectures designed to track behavior extract measurable psychological welfare from the population, while concentrating instrumentarian power.
5. Transparency laws and freedom of information effectively trigger public accountability but frequently provoke elite backlash and reactionary crackdowns.
6. Common-pool resources are sustainably managed over deep time when monitors are users of the resource and are directly accountable to the local population.
7. Split tally sticks act as unforgeable physical ledgers, relying on the complex natural grain of hardwood to verify debts without a central banking authority.
8. The Domesday inquest proved that top-down mapping is only accurate when checked against localized, public jury verification.
9. Parish registers standardized the legibility of kinship, transitioning marriage and inheritance from a clandestine familial act to a matter of public, recorded fact.
10. Analog, pre-print accounting (like the Quipu) can scale to regional levels provided there is a rigorous, standardized base logic and continuous, independent auditing.

Contested or Open Questions

- Does top-down legibility genuinely destroy social carrying capacity, or does it provide necessary modern buffers against famine and conflict, as argued by Mark Tauger?
- Can a planetary "High-Tech Panopticon" be designed with enough cryptographic separation of powers to prevent turnkey totalitarianism, or is capture inevitable?
- At what precise population scale do Ostrom's localized, accountable monitoring principles irrevocably decay into bureaucratic tyranny?
- How can a post-industrial society maintain the benefits of freedom of information when the physical reproduction of analog texts is highly resource-intensive?

Sources to Read in Full

1. Scott, J.C. (1998). *Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*.
2. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*.
3. Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*.
4. Allcott, H., et al. (2020). *The Welfare Effects of Social Media*. American Economic Review.
5. Roffe, D. (2000). *Domesday: The Inquest and the Book*.
6. Bostrom, N. (2019). *The Vulnerable World Hypothesis*. Global Policy.
7. Urton, G. (2003). *Signs of the Inka Khipu: Binary Coding in the Andean Knotted-String Records*.
8. Arendt, H. (1951). *The Origins of Totalitarianism*.
9. Pomerantsev, P. (2019). *This Is Not Propaganda: Adventures in the War Against Reality*.
10. Cox, M., Arnold, G., & Tomás, S. (2010). *A Review of Design Principles for Community-based Natural Resource Management*. Ecology and Society.

Implementability after the Fall

To survive the permanent loss of industrial supply chains, a recovering society must rapidly implement minimum viable governance records.

For a Household (4 to 10 people):

- **MVP:** A basic ledger of stored calories, seed stock, and consumed rations.
- **Start Needs:** Charcoal, a smooth surface (plaster wall or wooden board), and basic numeracy.
- **First Failure to Expect:** A psychopathic family member quietly alters the tally to consume more than their share, leading to winter starvation before the discrepancy is noticed.

For a Village (150 to 500 people):

- **MVP:** Three records are mandatory to prevent violent conflict: a cadastral land map denoting who farms which plot; a parish-style register of births, deaths, and marriages to settle inheritance; and a split-tally stick system for tracking mutual debts and labor obligations. Additionally, a publicly accessible log of meeting minutes is required to record collective decisions.

- **Start Needs:** A literate clerk, a securely locked meeting place (functioning as an archive and civic center), specialized cutting tools for hardwood tallies, and a shared solar/lunar calendar to standardize debt maturity.
- **First Failure to Expect:** The designated clerk is bribed or bullied by a Machiavellian faction into altering the land register or the meeting minutes. Without multiple physical copies or public jury verification, the village fractures into violent feuding over property lines and fabricated laws.

For a District (1,500 to 3,000 people):

- **MVP:** An Ostrom-style monitor board made of local syndics representing the constituent villages; public records of inter-village resource boundaries (water and forests); a federated council modeled on Domesday inquests where disputes are settled by sworn juries of neighbors.
- **Start Needs:** Vellum/parchment or durable paper production for permanent archival records, safe transit routes for regional syndics, and a highly formalized oral tradition to back up written documents in case of fire.
- **First Failure to Expect:** An ambitious strongman seizes the central district archive, destroys the land records, and issues new, illegitimate deeds to their enforcers, capturing the district through a monopoly on legibility.

Principles

- **The Principle of Legible Extraction:** A governing body will systematically redesign its environment and population to make them easier to monitor, tax, and control, regardless of the ecological cost.
- **The Principle of the Weaponized Censorate:** Any internal monitoring body possessing investigative power without structural judicial constraints will inevitably be captured by psychopathic actors to eliminate political rivals.
- **The Principle of the Accountable Monitor:** Resource extraction rules are only sustainably followed when the individuals enforcing the rules are subject to the same rules and directly accountable to the community.
- **The Principle of Hardware Verification:** Trust in economic transactions can be established without centralized authority if the physical medium of the record (such as wood grain) cannot be physically or cryptographically replicated.
- **The Principle of Public Jury Verification:** Centralized top-down records will drift into inaccuracy or corruption unless continuously verified by public panels of local inhabitants possessing ground-truth knowledge.
- **The Principle of Epistemological Capture:** A populace can be subjugated not only by the violent suppression of truth, but by the deliberate flooding of the information space with contradictory noise that induces civic apathy.

Works cited

1. Seeing Like a State - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seeing_Like_a_State
2. Book Review of SEEING LIKE A STATE by James C. Scott (1998), https://thechaostician.com/book-review-of-seeing-like-a-state-by-james-c-scot

t-1998/
3. Seeing Like a State by James C. Scott - Nat Eliason, https://www.nateliason.com/notes/seeing-like-a-state-james-c-scott
4. Tauger on James C. Scott, 'Seeing Like a State: How Certain ... - H-Net, https://networks.h-net.org/node/10000/reviews/10148/tauger-james-c-scott-seeing-state-how-certain-schemes-improve-human
5. JAMES C. SCOTT, SEEING LIKE A STATE - DergiPark, https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/6107545
6. Zuboff · Open threads - LeResearch, https://leresearch.org/threads/zuboff
7. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism, https://www.eecis.udel.edu/~mlm/teaching/Computing-For-Social-Good-s23/docs/The-Age-Of-Surveillance-Capitalism-Zuboff.pdf
8. The Welfare Effects of Social Media - Stanford University, http://web.stanford.edu/~gentzkow/research/facebook.pdf
9. Enlightenment Values in a Vulnerable World - LessWrong, https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/yHsWbgvQ78gzBrCPt/enlightenment-values-in-a-vulnerable-world
10. The Vulnerable World Hypothesis - Nick Bostrom, https://nickbostrom.com/papers/vulnerable.pdf
11. The Welfare Effects of Social Media - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339611796_The_Welfare_Effects_of_Social_Media
12. The Politics and Ethics of Transhumanism: Exploring Implications for, https://uel-repository.worktribe.com/OutputFile/481737
13. The Censorate: Guardians of Integrity in Imperial China - Medium, https://medium.com/@sutthisun/the-censorate-guardians-of-integrity-in-imperial-china-4fe3ea208672
14. yushitai 御史臺or duchayuan 都察院, the Censorate - Chinaknowledge, http://www.chinaknowledge.de/History/Terms/yushidafu.html
15. The Evolution and Impact of the Chinese Censorate | Algor Cards, https://cards.algoreducation.com/en/content/DF4Tt9Gz/chinese-censorate-history
16. How to Make the Perfect Citizen? Lessons from China's Model of, https://cadmus.eui.eu/bitstreams/a4f58af8-fbd0-55ea-88e8-31462d5d4794/download
17. Multiple social credit systems in China, https://d-nb.info/1217712534/34
18. Trust the Process: Evaluating China's Social Credit Systems, https://www.asianetworkexchange.org/article/id/11259/
19. Who Supports Expanding Surveillance? Exploring Public Opinion of, https://osf.io/download/9nu2t/
20. (PDF) Blacklists and Redlists in the Chinese Social Credit System, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353602055_Blacklists_and_Redlists_in_the_Chinese_Social_Credit_System_Diversity_Flexibility_and_Comprehensiveness
21. Why Did Eunuchs Have So Much Power in the Ming Dynasty?, https://mingdynastyhistory.com/why-ming-dynasty-eunuchs-had-power/

22. Wei Zhongxian | Ming Dynasty, Eunuch, Grand Secretary - Britannica, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Wei-Zhongxian
23. Ming Dynasty - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, http://taggedwiki.zubiaga.org/new_content/89eddb825ed68c5f4ada31943d96629b
24. The Donglin Faction and Its Repression, 1620–1627 - dokumen.pub, https://dokumen.pub/download/blood-and-history-in-china-the-donglin-faction-and-its-repression-1620-1627-9780824861643.html
25. Swedish Freedom of the Press Act - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Swedish_Freedom_of_the_Press_Act
26. Press Freedom - Anders Chydenius -säätiö, https://www.chydenius.net/en/press-freedom
27. Swedish politicians passed the Freedom of the Press Act in 1766, https://www.facebook.com/ancientsagapage/posts/swedish-politicians-passed-the-freedom-of-the-press-act-in-1766-granting-public-/1021656304159259/
28. Generalizing the core design principles for the efficacy of groups, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257365714_Generalizing_the_core_design_principles_for_the_efficacy_of_groups
29. POLYCENTRIC MULTILATERALISM: REIMAGINING THE ROLES, https://dlc.dlib.indiana.edu/dlc/bitstreams/5c8f70a5-3dd3-4de5-a92d-388ffdb26bba/download
30. Conservation & Society, https://repub.eur.nl/pub/77193/Metis_203362.pdf
31. Managing the Ocean as a Shared Resource: The Transition from the, https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/240b/f2d7830e30c5d10bef914546b76b602d3c5c.pdf
32. Tourism Ethics 9781845416362 - DOKUMEN.PUB, https://dokumen.pub/tourism-ethics-9781845416362.html
33. Incentivology | PDF | Incentive | Longitude - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/557706961/Incentivology
34. (PDF) Collaborative Conflict Management - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356410850_Collaborative_Conflict_Management
35. design principles - Social-Ecological Systems Meta-Analysis Database, https://sesmad.dartmouth.edu/theories/54
36. An Analysis of the Global Applicability of Ostrom's Design Principles, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/7/1287
37. Overview of the Domesday Book | PDF - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/503325872/Domesday-Book
38. What Was the Domesday Book & How Was It Produced?, https://www.thecollector.com/what-was-domesday-book-how-produced/
39. Domesday Inquest, https://www.domesdaybook.net/domesday-book/domesday-inquest
40. The Tally Stick System: An Early Form of Money - mentua.org, https://mentua.org/the-tally-stick-system-an-early-form-of-money
41. Medieval Tally Stick Discovered in Germany - Schneier on Security,

https://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2011/05/medieval_tally.html
42. Tally Sticks - The Tontine Coffee-House, https://tontinecoffeehouse.com/2022/11/21/tally-sticks/
43. Does the Priest Have to Be There? Contested Marriages before, https://journals.univie.ac.at/index.php/oezg/article/view/3880/3616
44. History of Polish Church Records, https://pgsa.org/history-of-polish-church-records/
45. Banns, licences and Hardwicke's Marriage Act - Regency History, https://www.regencyhistory.net/blog/marriage-licences-banns-regency-history-guide
46. 1753: 26 George 2 c.33: Lord Hardwicke's Marriage Act - ALSATIA, https://alsatia.org.uk/site/statutes/1753-lord-hardwickes-marriage-act/
47. El Inca y La Huaca | PDF | Imperio Inca | Perú - Scribd, https://es.scribd.com/document/493814931/El-Inca-y-la-huaca
48. Forgotten History – Tally Sticks - Calculating - WordPress.com, https://calculating.wordpress.com/2016/11/26/forgotten-history-tally-sticks/