GL-02 A world parliament, world federalism and the global citizens' assembly

The ambition to formalize governance at the planetary scale—uniting the human species under a single political, legal, or deliberative architecture—represents the uppermost rung of the governance ladder. Historically, the evolution of human governance has scaled sequentially from the household and the nomadic band to the village, the district, the region, and the nation-state. This scaling is consistently driven by the necessity to manage increasingly complex nested externalities, secure resource dependencies, and mitigate intergroup violence. The theoretical transition to the planetary scale, encompassing eight billion people, introduces unprecedented structural and psychological challenges. Proposals to democratize the planet range from federalist world states and parliamentary assemblies to cosmopolitan democracies and global citizens' assemblies chosen by lot.

However, scaling governance is not a linear mathematical expansion; it is a qualitative transformation. As the physical and institutional distance of decision-making increases from the household to the planet, the mechanisms of democratic accountability systematically degrade, the variance in preferences becomes unmanageable, and the vulnerability to capture by individuals exhibiting Dark Triad traits—narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy—intensifies exponentially[1]. In approaching this analysis, it is critical to avoid the naturalistic fallacy and the romance of the primitive. The fact that hominids evolved in bands of thirty to fifty individuals does not render that scale inherently fair or morally superior; anthropological records demonstrate that small groups frequently suffer from acute physical coercion and patriarchal tyranny. Scaling up mitigates localized physical domination but introduces distant bureaucratic abstraction. This report evaluates the historical precedents, modern proposals, and empirical viability of global governance structures, culminating in an assessment of nested polycentric alternatives and their implementability following a permanent loss of industrial supply chains and state reach.

I. Historical Precedent: The Capture of the Delian League (478–404 BC)

To understand the mechanics of federal scaling, centralized power, and eventual institutional capture, the Delian League serves as a foundational historical model of a supranational security federation transforming into a coercive empire. Formed in 478 BC following the Hellenic victories over the Achaemenid Persian Empire at Salamis (480 BC) and Plataea (479 BC), the League began as a mutual defense alliance encompassing between 150 and 330 Greek city-states across the Aegean[3].

What the arrangement's own people said it did was ensure collective security and the continued liberation of Ionian Greeks from Persian rule. Member states swore a solemn oath to have "the same friends and enemies," pledging to contribute either fully equipped warships or

financial tribute to a shared treasury initially located on the sacred, politically neutral island of Delos[3].

What the record shows it did was rapidly consolidate power into the hands of the strongest executive member, Athens, which leveraged its superior shipyards and large population of rowers (*thetes*) to dominate the League's military apparatus[3]. As smaller states opted to pay financial tribute rather than supply ships, Athens effectively disarmed its allies. The critical inflection point occurred in 454 BC when, under the pretext of security following a disastrous Athenian-led campaign against the Persians in Egypt (the Siege of Memphis, 459–455 BC), the treasury was moved from Delos to the Athenian Acropolis[3]. Athens subsequently mandated the use of Athenian silver coinage, weights, and measures across the League between 450 and 447 BC, stripping allies of economic autonomy[3]. Tribute was systematically diverted to fund the Athenian monumental building program, including the Parthenon, and to establish *cleruchies* (military colonies of Athenian citizens) in allied territories, such as the 4,000 cleruchs settled in Euboea after a revolt, effectively replacing local governance with an imperial garrison system[5].

What modern scholarship infers is that the Delian League represents a classic, mathematically predictable case of federal capture by a hegemon. Thucydides, alongside modern historians analyzing the *pentekontaetia* (the period from 479 to 431 BC), infer that voluntary supranational alliances inevitably succumb to the coercive power of the entity controlling the centralized administrative and military apparatus[3]. The centralization of naval infrastructure and finance eliminated the exit options for smaller states, transitioning the League into an empire and culminating in the catastrophic Peloponnesian War (431–404 BC)[4].

Scale Ledger: Mutual Defense Federations	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household (4–10)	Fails	Sub-political; defense handled internally.
Hamlet/Band (30–50)	Fails	Insufficient surplus to fund a standing defense pact.
Village (150–500)	Moderate	Works via ad hoc militia alliances, breaks down post-conflict.
Parish/District (1,500–5,000)	High	City-state leagues (e.g., Boeotian League) function with parity.
Town/Watershed	High	Operates effectively if power is symmetrically

(15k–50k)		distributed.
Region (0.5M–5M)	Moderate	Vulnerable to hegemon dominance (e.g., Athens).
Nation/Continent (50M–500M)	High	Modern treaty organizations (NATO) maintain stability via nuclear deterrence.
Planet (8 Billion)	Never Tried	Requires an external threat (alien/planetary) to incentivize a global defense pact.

Capture Test: Mutual Defense Federations	Dark Triad Vector	Historical Precedent	Resistance or Reversal Features
Takeover Mechanics	Machiavellian actors centralize the treasury and disarm allies, converting tribute into a personal or state military monopoly.	Delian League (Athens moved treasury in 454 BC); Pericles' imperial expansion[3].	Retaining independent local militias and decentralized treasuries prevents coercive hegemony.

II. The World Federalist Movement: From Kant to the Chicago Draft

The intellectual lineage of global democratization begins with Immanuel Kant's *Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch* (1795). Kant explicitly rejected the concept of a unitary global super-state, arguing that a unified world government would inevitably degenerate into a "soulless despotism" due to the sheer impossibility of administering the entire globe from a single bureaucratic center. Instead, he proposed a "pacific union"—a federation of free states governed by cosmopolitan right, where peace is maintained by the mutual republican constitutions of the member states.

What Kant said it did was provide a philosophical framework for perpetual peace without the tyranny of a global monarch. What the historical record shows it did was lay the intellectual groundwork for Michael Doyle's (1983) Democratic Peace Theory. What modern scholarship infers, backed by extensive empirical dyadic analyses, is that liberal democracies rarely engage in armed conflict with one another because leaders in societies with large winning coalitions are constrained by the electoral costs of war, a mechanism that autocratic leaders with small winning coalitions do not face[8].

Scale Ledger: Kantian Pacific Unions	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Village	Fails	Concepts of constitutional republicanism do not apply at kinship scales.
District to Region	High	Swiss cantonal system successfully federates distinct republics.
Nation/Continent (50M–500M)	High	The European Union operates as a pacific union of democratic states.
Planet (8 Billion)	Moderate	Fails when confronted with non-republican (autocratic) states that reject the union's norms.

Capture Test: Kantian Pacific Unions	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	Narcissistic leaders within the union use external threat inflation to centralize executive power, bypassing the republican constraints of the	Post-9/11 executive centralization in democratic federations.	Strong horizontal separation of powers and strict constitutional term limits.

	federation.		

The Post-War Zenith (1945–1958)

The advent of atomic weaponry and the devastation of the Second World War catalyzed an urgent, global grassroots demand for planetary governance. The World Movement for World Federal Government was established in August 1947, bringing together 327 delegates in Asheville, North Carolina, and subsequently issuing the Montreux Declaration[10]. The declaration explicitly called for a world federal government with coercive authority to enact, interpret, and enforce world law to prevent aggression[10].

The United World Federalists (UWF) emerged as the primary American advocacy group, led initially by Cord Meyer, a wounded Marine veteran. By 1949, the UWF reached a peak membership of 47,000, while Garry Davis's parallel campaign registered over 750,000 people from 150 countries as "world citizens" between 1948 and 1950[13]. State legislatures across the United States passed resolutions supporting world federation, yet the movement fractured intellectually between maximalist and minimalist constitutional visions.

The Maximalist Vision: The Chicago Draft (1948) Initiated by Robert Hutchins and Giuseppe Antonio Borgese at the University of Chicago, the *Preliminary Draft of a World Constitution* (1948) proposed a maximalist restructuring of global society[16]. The draft demanded the total surrender of national arms to a "Federal Republic of the World" and integrated profound economic justice mandates, declaring the life-giving resources of air, water, earth, and energy to be the common property of all humanity[18].

What the authors said it did was abolish the "competitive anarchy" of nation-states, replacing it with a unified government of justice and peace, arguing that peace and justice stand or fall together[19]. What the record shows it did was stimulate intense academic debate after publication in the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, but it failed to gain any traction among state executives and was swiftly neutralized by the sweeping geopolitical tides of the Cold War[16]. What modern scholarship infers is that the Chicago Draft was a utopian metaphysical exercise rather than a viable political blueprint. Its insistence on a maximalist world state ignored the realities of immense ideological, economic, and cultural divergence across the globe.

Scale Ledger: Maximalist World Constitutions	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Town	Fails	Constitutional maximalism is an over-engineered mechanism for small populations.
Region to Nation	High	Operates effectively as the

		foundation of modern unified nation-states.
Continent to Planet	Never Tried	Fails conceptually due to the impossibility of enforcing maximalist economic and social mandates across radically divergent cultures.

Capture Test: Maximalist World Constitutions	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	Machiavellian actors exploit the constitution's sweeping economic mandates (e.g., managing "common property") to seize total control of global resources and distribution networks.	Soviet collectivization; bureaucratic capture of post-colonial states.	Radical decentralization of resource management to local polycentric nodes.

The Minimalist Vision: World Peace Through World Law (1958) Conversely, Grenville Clark and Louis B. Sohn proposed a minimalist, functionalist approach in their 1958 work *World Peace Through World Law*[21]. Rather than drafting an entirely new constitution, they advocated a heavily revised United Nations Charter.

What Clark and Sohn said it did was provide a practical, legally binding framework to prevent atomic war without dictating the internal domestic policies of sovereign states. What the record shows it did was provide a rigorously structured, albeit unimplemented, model that heavily influenced subsequent international legal theory regarding disarmament. What modern scholarship infers is that their model accurately identified the structural flaws of the UN, particularly the Security Council veto, but failed to resolve the fundamental paradox of a monopoly on violence. Their proposal featured a reorganized General Assembly with voting power weighted by population in stiff brackets (granting thirty representatives to the four

largest nations of over 140 million people, and scaling down to one for the smallest) and an Executive Council replacing the Security Council, stripping the veto power from permanent members[21]. Crucially, it required the complete, phased disarmament of all nations, transferring a monopoly on violence to a permanent World Police Force[21]. Possessing a global monopoly on violence requires extreme institutional safeguards to prevent it from becoming a global tyranny—safeguards that are inherently fragile at the planetary scale.

Scale Ledger: Minimalist Security Charters	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Village	Fails	Security is managed through social pressure and kinship, not formal charters.
District to Nation	High	Constitutions specifically limiting central power to defense and dispute resolution (e.g., early US Articles of Confederation).
Planet (8 Billion)	Never Tried	Nations refuse to disarm and submit to a World Police Force due to the ultimate security dilemma.

Capture Test: Minimalist Security Charters	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	Psychopathic or Machiavellian commanders of the "World Police Force" stage a global coup d'état, facing no armed opposition since all nations are disarmed.	Roman Praetorian Guard assassinations and imperial auctions.	Maintaining a balance of power among regional militaries rather than a single global police force.

III. Parliamentary and Cosmopolitan Proposals

As the prospect of a world state faded, modern theorists pivoted toward democratizing international institutions without dissolving national sovereignty. This shift acknowledges that globalization has decoupled economic and environmental power from national territories, rendering state-bound democracy insufficient.

Cosmopolitan Democracy

Daniele Archibugi and David Held articulated "Cosmopolitan Democracy" as a modern revival of peace theories, arguing that democratic principles must be extended across borders. What Archibugi and Held said it did was create a parallel global governance system encompassing an international criminal court, an assembly of non-governmental organizations, and mechanisms for global referenda, operating independently of the nation-state[24]. What the record shows it did was inspire academic consensus on the need for global civil society, indirectly influencing the establishment of the International Criminal Court (ICC). What modern scholarship infers is that Cosmopolitan Democracy relies on a highly diffuse, optimistic view of authority. It assumes that a global civil society operating above and below the nation-state can effectively check the power of global financial and political networks without possessing hard enforcement power.

Scale Ledger: Cosmopolitan Democracy	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Town	Fails	Cosmopolitanism is inherently a macro-scale theory dealing with transnational flows.
Region to Nation	Moderate	NGOs and civil society influence state policy, but lack legislative authority.
Planet (8 Billion)	Moderate	Exists as a soft-power network; struggles to compel non-compliant autocratic states.

Capture Test: Cosmopolitan Democracy	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**

Takeover Mechanics	Machiavellian elites capture the NGOs and "civil society" organs through targeted funding, creating astroturfed global movements that serve corporate or hegemonic interests.	Corporate capture of environmental and trade NGOs.	Strict financial transparency and grassroots funding models for transnational civil society.

The United Nations Parliamentary Assembly (UNPA)

Jo Leinen and Andreas Bummel, in *A World Parliament: Governance and Democracy in the 21st Century* (2018), advocate for a United Nations Parliamentary Assembly (UNPA) as a strategic, incremental concept[27].

What Leinen and Bummel said it did was offer a pragmatic pathway to global democracy that bypasses the impossible hurdle of amending the UN Charter. They leverage Article 22 of the Charter, which allows the General Assembly to establish subsidiary organs by a two-thirds majority without Security Council vetoes[30]. What the record shows it did was generate endorsements from over 100 Swiss Parliamentarians, the Canadian House of Commons Standing Committee, and the European Parliament, though it remains unimplemented by the UN General Assembly[28]. What modern scholarship infers is that the UNPA assumes a historically unprecedented voluntary surrender of power by executive authorities. Leinen and Bummel outline a multi-staged evolutionary timeline: initially, the UNPA would consist of delegates selected from national parliaments, functioning strictly as an advisory body[28]. In later stages, delegates would be directly elected by citizens globally, eventually gaining co-decision rights over the UN budget and the election of the Secretary-General, and ultimately transforming into a global legislative body capable of adopting globally binding regulations[28]. History, however, demonstrates that executives rarely cede authority to legislative assemblies unless forced by severe fiscal crises or armed revolution.

Scale Ledger: Parliamentary Assemblies	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Village	Fails	Unnecessary bureaucracy; direct democracy is superior at this scale.

District to Region	High	Standard model for representative democracy (e.g., state legislatures).
Nation/Continent (50M–500M)	High	The European Parliament operates precisely on this model.
Planet (8 Billion)	Never Tried	Blocked by the institutional inertia of the UN Security Council and state executives.

Capture Test: Parliamentary Assemblies	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	Narcissists excel in mass electoral politics. At a global scale, the capital required to campaign across billions of voters guarantees that only Machiavellian elites backed by immense financial cartels secure seats.	Oligarchic capture of national parliaments via campaign finance.	Severe restrictions on campaign finance; public funding of elections.

IV. The Deliberative Alternative: The Global Citizens' Assembly

In direct response to the capture risks and electoral dysfunctions of a massive world parliament, deliberative democrats propose the use of *sortition*—the random selection of citizens by civic lottery—to form a global assembly.

Theoretical Foundation and Empirical Reality

John Dryzek, André Bächtiger, and Karolina Milewicz (2011) proposed a Deliberative Global Citizens' Assembly to inject democratic legitimacy into the UN framework[31]. They argued that multilateral institutions are highly exclusive, dominated by elite bureaucrats. A global assembly drawn by lot would bypass state-appointed diplomats, allowing marginalized citizens to reason together and offer a "transformative criticism" of global policies[31].

This theory was operationalized during the 2021 UN Climate Change Conference (COP26). What the organizers said it did was create the world's first citizens' assembly conducted at the global level, producing a "People's Declaration" to demand equitable climate action[32]. What the record shows it did was successfully execute a stratified sortition methodology to select 100 everyday people reflecting the diversity of the global population regarding geography, age, gender, education, and attitude toward climate change[32]. The assembly met digitally for 68 hours across 11 weeks[34]. Members spoke over 30 languages, 35 percent were not entirely literate, and the majority earned daily incomes of less than $10[34].

What modern scholarship infers is that while sortition neutralizes electoral capture, its impact is heavily mediated by its design. Decades of empirical data from James Fishkin's Deliberative Polling underpin the assembly model. Fishkin's method gathers a random sample (often ranging from N=105 to N=449) and measures attitudes before and after facilitated deliberation[36]. Empirical studies show that deliberation produces statistically significant shifts in opinion, enhancing cognitive complexity and reducing ideological rigidity[36]. Effect sizes in these experimental settings can be substantial; studies on perceived legitimacy of public decision-making post-deliberation have shown medium to large effect sizes (e.g., partial eta squared = 0.50, Cohen's d = 1.77)[36]. However, the Global Assembly remained purely advisory. Experimental data on group polarization demonstrates that "free" unstructured deliberation exacerbates extremism; the positive epistemic outcomes rely entirely on the presence of trained facilitators and explicit deliberative norms[39].

Scale Ledger: Citizens' Assemblies (Sortition)	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Hamlet	Fails	Sample size too small; the entire population can simply meet.
Village to District	High	Ancient Athenian courts and modern juries operate flawlessly.
Town to Region	Excellent	The Irish Citizens' Assembly successfully resolved highly contentious constitutional issues.

Nation/Continent (50M–500M)	Moderate	Statistical representation holds, but the broader public often feels disconnected from the randomly selected few.
Planet (8 Billion)	Implemented	100 citizens representing 8 billion pushes the mathematical limits of statistical representativeness and psychological legitimacy.

Capture Test: Citizens' Assemblies (Sortition)	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	Because random selection prevents narcissists from campaigning for a seat, Machiavellian actors instead capture the *administrative secretariat*. They manipulate the assembly by curating the expert testimonies, framing the deliberation questions, and instructing the facilitators to guide the consensus.	Bureaucratic steering of local deliberative polling exercises.	Absolute transparency in expert selection; allowing the assembly to independently subpoena experts.

V. The Strongest Case Against Planetary Democracy

The theoretical and practical limits of planetary governance are articulated by leading democratic theorists and geopolitical realists, centering on the physical laws of scale and the ultimate risks of consolidated power.

Dahl's Law of Democratic Scale (1999)

Robert Dahl (1999) advanced the strongest case against the feasibility of global democracy. Dahl demonstrated that as the size of a political unit increases, the capacity for genuine citizen participation diminishes exponentially. At the scale of a nation-state, democracy is already reduced to the periodic election of representatives. At the planetary scale (eight billion), a parliament of even 1,000 members means each representative speaks for 8 million people. Consequently, international organizations cannot be democratic in any meaningful sense. They are, at best, bureaucratic bargaining tables for national executives. Attempting to force democratic structures at the planetary level results in an illusion of participation that masks bureaucratic domination.

Deudney and the World-State Tyranny Risk

Daniel Deudney, in *Bounding Power* (2007), updates Kant's warning regarding a global despotism. Deudney applies republican security theory to the atomic age, acknowledging that nuclear weapons and global externalities necessitate global coordination. However, he argues that a world state that successfully established a monopoly on nuclear and conventional violence would possess unprecedented, irresistible coercive power[40]. If such a state were captured by a tyrannical faction, humanity would have no external refuge, no geographical frontier to escape to, and no internal means of armed resistance. The creation of a world state risks permanently extinguishing human liberty, making the fragmented anarchy of the current international system a necessary, albeit dangerous, safeguard.

VI. The Mechanics of Institutional Capture: The Dark Triad

Governance structures at any scale are continuously stress-tested by individuals exhibiting the Dark Triad of personality traits: Machiavellianism (strategic manipulation, duplicity), narcissism (grandiosity, entitlement, self-promotion), and sub-clinical psychopathy (lack of empathy, impulsivity, aggression)[1]. Empirical organizational psychology details precisely how these traits capture institutional machinery.

Individuals scoring high in Machiavellianism and narcissism exhibit exceptionally high "political skill." They excel at networking, self-monitoring, and impression management, projecting an apparent sincerity that conceals manipulative intentions[1]. Empirical studies measuring the relationship between the Dark Triad and political skill reveal strong positive correlations for Machiavellianism ($B=0.34$ to 0.62) and narcissism ($B=0.62$, $p < .001$)[1]. Narcissists, driven by a self-perceived superiority, are not only more interested in politics but are highly mobilized to participate and seek leadership roles[1].

Conversely, psychopathy correlates negatively with political skill (B=-0.34, p = .004) due to impulsivity and an inability to maintain cooperative interactions[1]. However, when psychopaths do achieve leadership, their impact is devastating. In empirical studies analyzing Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) across managerial (N=340) and non-managerial (N=378) samples, leaders perceived as possessing high Dark Triad traits severely depleted the "organizational citizenship behavior" (OCB) of their subordinates[42]. Psychopathic leaders use intimidation and aggressive persuasion, creating an atmosphere where employees feel pressured and undervalued, effectively converting a cooperative organization into a hostile, compliant hierarchy governed by fear[42]. At the scale of global governance, a planetary parliament would systematically select for narcissists capable of charming billions, while the sprawling global bureaucracy would be captured by Machiavellians pulling the administrative levers.

VII. The Nested Alternative: Polycentricity

If a unitary world state is existentially dangerous, a global parliament inevitably captured by the Dark Triad, and a global citizens' assembly mathematically strained and vulnerable to administrative manipulation, the most sustainable alternative is polycentric governance. Pioneered by Nobel laureate Elinor Ostrom, polycentricity describes systems where multiple, overlapping governing authorities operate at differing scales without a strict hierarchical monocentric command[44]. What Ostrom said it did was provide a resilient framework for the sustainable management of common-pool resources. What the record shows it did was successfully manage complex ecosystems, water rights, and local public goods globally, outperforming centralized state interventions. What modern scholarship infers is that complex collective action problems, such as climate change or pandemics, are not purely global externalities but "nested externalities" organized across multiple overlapping scales[45]. Polycentric systems manage these by matching the scale of the governance unit to the scale of the specific resource or problem[45]. The ultimate advantage of polycentricity lies in its resistance to capture. When a Dark Triad actor captures a single polycentric node (e.g., a town council or a regional water board), the local system may fail, but the broader planetary system survives, adapts, and can isolate the infection. In a monocentric world government, a single capture event results in inescapable global tyranny. Thus, the solution to planetary crises is not a unitary planetary parliament, but highly coordinated, fiercely autonomous, heavily nested networks of local and regional assemblies.

Scale Ledger: Polycentric Governance	**Operational Viability**	**Failure Mode or Reason Untried**
Household to Village	Excellent	Natural state of human organization; overlapping family, guild, and council ties.

District to Region	High	Effective management of watersheds and regional infrastructure.
Nation to Continent	High	Requires constant negotiation between overlapping jurisdictions; highly resilient.
Planet (8 Billion)	Moderate	Struggles to enforce rapid, unified action against acute global threats (e.g., immediate pandemic containment) due to coordination costs.

Capture Test: Polycentric Governance	**Dark Triad Vector**	**Historical Precedent**	**Resistance or Reversal Features**
Takeover Mechanics	A Machiavellian actor attempts to consolidate the overlapping nodes by eliminating redundancy, arguing that polycentricity is "inefficient," thereby centralizing power into a single hierarchy.	Corporate monopolies buying out decentralized competitors; state enclosure of the commons.	Institutional friction, overlapping veto points, and the preservation of legal exit options for constituent units.

VIII. Implementability after the Fall

Minimum Viable Version (No State, No Grid, No Internet)

To rebuild governance from the permanent collapse of industrial supply chains and the reach of the state, planetary schemes must be entirely discarded in favor of radical, polycentric subsidiarity.

- **Household (4 to 10 people):**
  - *Minimum Viable Version:* A kinship-based consensus mechanism guided by the most experienced members.
  - *What it needs to start:* A shared hearth, physical security, and basic agricultural or foraging knowledge.
  - *First failure to expect:* Dominance by the most physically aggressive or narcissistic member, leading to patriarchal or matriarchal tyranny, as exit options are limited by outside survival risks.
- **Village of 300:**
  - *Minimum Viable Version:* A monthly direct assembly (Town Meeting) of all adults to manage common-pool resources (water sources, timber, mutual defense).
  - *What it needs to start:* A central meeting place (a barn or village square), a shared calendar (lunar or solar tracking for agricultural and meeting synchronization), and basic literacy for maintaining written ledgers of land claims and dispute resolutions.
  - *First failure to expect:* Severe factionalism based on extended family alliances, resulting in a stalemate on resource allocation and the breakdown of mutual defense.
- **District of 3,000:**
  - *Minimum Viable Version:* A nested council of delegates sent from the 10 constituent villages, utilizing sortition to select a rotating jury of 30 citizens for inter-village dispute resolution and trade regulation.
  - *What it needs to start:* Messengers capable of safe transit, a secure neutral meeting ground, and robust written records for tracking inter-village commitments and resource exchanges.
  - *First failure to expect:* The district delegates collude to form a permanent ruling class, refusing to relinquish their positions to the villages and attempting to establish a standing militia, effectively recreating a coercive mini-state.

IX. Summary of Robust Findings

1. A centralized world state possessing a monopoly on violence poses an existential, mathematically severe risk of inescapable global tyranny.
2. Electoral systems scaled to millions or billions inherently and systematically favor actors exhibiting Machiavellian and narcissistic traits.
3. Random selection (sortition) successfully neutralizes electoral capture but shifts the vulnerability directly to the unelected administrators who frame the agenda.
4. Historical attempts at federations designed for mutual defense naturally centralize into coercive empires if one node controls the treasury or military apparatus.
5. Small-scale deliberation reliably shifts individual preferences toward cognitive complexity, but only when heavily structured by trained facilitators.
6. Maximalist utopian constitutional drafts fail entirely upon contact with the geopolitical realities of cultural and economic divergence.
7. The optimal structural defense against Dark Triad institutional capture is polycentricity: overlapping, nested jurisdictions that preserve exit options.
8. Dahl's law of democratic scale holds true: genuine citizen participation degrades

exponentially as the population of the polity increases.
9. The 2021 Global Assembly proved that stratified sortition across 8 billion people is logistically possible, but functionally toothless without executive compliance.
10. Long-term systemic resilience requires accepting fragmented, nested inefficiencies over the brittle vulnerabilities of centralized, hyper-efficient global commands.

X. Contested or Open Questions

- Can a global citizens' assembly generated by sortition ever achieve true psychological legitimacy and binding authority among 8 billion non-participants who do not understand the statistical math behind the lottery?
- Is it theoretically possible to establish a standing "World Police Force" capable of enforcing environmental or disarmament laws that is simultaneously immune to capture by a psychopathic executive?
- To what extent do explicit deliberative norms and hand-signals override the psychological dominance of charismatic narcissists in small-group breakout sessions?
- How can a polycentric system adequately manage a fast-acting, acute global externality (such as a rapid pandemic or an asteroid strike) without defaulting to a temporary global executive command?

XI. 5 to 10 sources to read in full

To deeply understand the mechanisms of global scaling and capture, one must engage with the foundational primary literature and empirical analyses. Immanuel Kant's *Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch* (1795) provides the indispensable philosophical bedrock for the concept of a pacific union rather than a world state. For the most rigorous attempt at functionalist global security, Grenville Clark and Louis B. Sohn's *World Peace Through World Law* (1958) details the minimalist vision of UN charter revision and global disarmament. Jo Leinen and Andreas Bummel's *A World Parliament: Governance and Democracy in the 21st Century* (2018) offers the most comprehensive modern argument for the incremental transition to an elected global assembly. Robert A. Dahl's essay "Can international organizations be democratic? A skeptic's view" in *Democracy's Edges* (1999) is critical reading for understanding the mathematical limits of democratic scaling. Daniel Deudney's *Bounding Power: Republican Security Theory from the Polis to the Global Village* (2007) masterfully applies classical security theory to the existential risks of a nuclear-armed world state. For the empirical realities of sortition, James S. Fishkin's *When the People Speak: Deliberative Democracy and Public Consultation* (2009) outlines the effect sizes and methodologies of deliberative polling. To understand the application of this theory to global institutions, John S. Dryzek, André Bächtiger, and Karolina Milewicz's "Toward a Deliberative Global Citizens' Assembly" in *Global Policy* (2011) is essential. Finally, Elinor Ostrom's *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action* (1990) provides the empirical and theoretical framework for the nested, polycentric alternative to monocentric global governance.

XII. Principles

- **The Principle of Scaling Degradation:** As the population of a political unit increases, the mathematical capacity for direct citizen participation decreases, necessitating

delegation that inevitably increases vulnerability to elite capture.

- **The Principle of the Dark Triad Advantage:** Electoral systems that require mass appeal and complex network navigation inherently select for Machiavellianism and narcissism over empathy and administrative competence.
- **The Principle of Administrative Capture:** While sortition successfully neutralizes the electoral advantage of charismatic actors, it simply shifts the locus of institutional capture to the unelected administrators who frame the agenda and select the experts.
- **The Principle of Hegemonic Centralization:** Voluntary defensive federations will reliably transform into coercive empires if a single member state achieves a monopoly on the administrative, financial, or military apparatus.
- **The Principle of Polycentric Resilience:** Nested, overlapping governance structures that distribute authority across multiple scales limit the catastrophic damage of localized Dark Triad capture far better than monocentric hierarchies.

Works cited

1. Dark triad traits and career adaptability: the mediating role of ... - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12359870/
2. Big Five, Dark Triad, and Performance at the Ballot Box, https://jspp.psychopen.eu/index.php/jspp/article/download/5193/5193.html?inline=1
3. Ancient Athens, the Delian League and Corruption | The Logical Place, https://www.ancientportsantiques.com/wp-content/uploads/Documents/PLACES/GreeceIslands/DelosLigue.pdf
4. Ancient Athens Timeline | Preceden, https://www.preceden.com/timeline/ancient-athens
5. Delian League's Shift to Athenian Empire | PDF | Athens - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/document/241830940/03-Delian-League-Athenian-empire-1-doc
6. Wars of the Delian League - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wars_of_the_Delian_League
7. The transformation of the Delian League into the Athenian Empire, https://search.informit.org/doi/pdf/10.3316/informit.515816561967203?download=true
8. The Capitalist Peace, https://pages.ucsd.edu/~egartzke/publications/gartzke_ajps_07.pdf
9. Democratic peace theory - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, http://taggedwiki.zubiaga.org/new_content/1e7b788d9c95d4d3dae83af236bc2e91
10. World Fec - Squarespace, https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5750629760b5e9d6697be6cd/t/5a6e56678165f5ccc7c057ad/1517180598295/World+Federalists+1947+-+1997+Fifty-Year+History.pdf
11. Montreux Declaration (23 August 1947) - WFM/IGP, https://wfm-igp.org/about-us/montreux-declaration/

12. The Global Ventotene - WFM/IGP, https://wfm-igp.earthgovernance.org/wp-content/uploads/wfm-igp-global-ventotene-publications.pdf
13. The Politics of World Federation, https://www.humiliationstudies.org/documents/BarattaIntroductionWorldFederalism.pdf
14. World federalism - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_federalism
15. Cord Meyer - Spartacus Educational, https://spartacus-educational.com/JFKmeyerC.htm
16. The Politics of World Federation 0275980669, 9780275980665, https://dokumen.pub/the-politics-of-world-federation-0275980669-9780275980665.html
17. Chapter 1 Elisabeth Mann Borgese's Introduction to World, https://brill.com/display/book/9789004511446/BP000003.xml?language=en
18. Elisabeth Mann Borgese and the Law of the Sea - OAPEN Library, https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/54654/9789004511446.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
19. Foundations of The World Republic | PDF | History - Scribd, https://www.scribd.com/doc/6377400/Foundations-of-the-World-Republic
20. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists of Chicago - Index of files in /, https://ftp.math.utah.edu/pub/tex/bib/toc/bullatsci.html
21. World Peace Through World Law - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Peace_Through_World_Law
22. World Peace Through World Law | Foreign Affairs, https://www.foreignaffairs.com/reviews/capsule-review/1958-07-01/world-peace-through-world-law
23. Book Review. World Peace Through World Law by G. Clark and, https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3350&context=facpub
24. Cosmopolitan Democracy - Oxford Reference, https://www.oxfordreference.com/display/10.1093/acref/9780195334685.001.0001/acref-9780195334685-e-149?d=%2F10.1093%2Facref%2F9780195334685.001.0001%2Facref-9780195334685-e-149&p=emailAMG.8YQUrSi0s
25. Cosmopolitan Democracy: Paths and Agents | Request PDF, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318635568_Cosmopolitan_Democracy_Paths_and_Agents
26. Institutional cosmopolitanism and - Dialnet, https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/9311113.pdf
27. A World Parliament: Governance and Democracy in the 21st Century, https://www.federalist-debate.org/archive/year-xxxi-number-2-july-2018/books-reviews/a-world-parliament-governance-and-democracy-in-the-21st-century
28. The Case for a UN Parliamentary Assembly and the Inter, https://www.democracywithoutborders.org/files/DWBIPUAB.pdf
29. Contents of 'A World Parliament' by Jo Leinen and Andreas Bummel, https://www.democracywithoutborders.org/files/BookContents.pdf

30. A World Parliamentary Assembly: A Catalyst for Change (Chapter 5), https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/global-governance-and-the-emergence-of-global-institutions-for-the-21st-century/world-parliamentary-assembly-a-catalyst-for-change/D8AD7F0432EC6F8A2D8698C53FE4E3CB
31. After the MDGs: Citizen Deliberation and the Post-2015, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ethics-and-international-affairs/article/after-the-mdgs-citizen-deliberation-and-the-post2015-development-framework/49AAC56D60E1BF1FA918A69D70EC6E43
32. Facilitation Guide of the 2021 Core Assembly, https://globalassembly.org/resources/downloads/GA-Facilitation-Guide-Oct2022.pdf
33. Report of the 2021 Global Assembly on the Climate and Ecological, https://globalassembly.org/resources/downloads/GlobalAssembly2021-FullReport.pdf
34. Global Assembly on the Climate & Ecological Crisis - Participedia, https://participedia.net/case/global-assembly-on-the-climate-ecological-crisis
35. We the people's assembly - The Ecologist, https://theecologist.org/2021/oct/20/we-peoples-assembly
36. The right kind of participation? The effect of a deliberative mini, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14719037.2019.1668468
37. A Different Take on the Deliberative Poll: Information, Deliberation, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/30930186_A_Different_Take_on_the_Deliberative_Poll_Information_Deliberation_and_Attitude_Constraint
38. Elections for direction, sortition for judgment: a new model of, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13698230.2025.2576945
39. Deliberation may improve decision-making - Rethink Priorities, https://rethinkpriorities.org/research-area/deliberation-may-improve-decision-making/
40. The Resurgent Idea of World Government (2008) | Campbell Craig, https://scispace.com/papers/the-resurgent-idea-of-world-government-oegbew0z9a
41. World Government - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2016/entries/world-government/
42. Examining the mechanisms linking Dark Triad traits to OCB through, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1676347/full
43. The Dark Side of Politics: Participation and the Dark Triad, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340982674_The_Dark_Side_of_Politics_Participation_and_the_Dark_Triad
44. An Introduction to Polycentricity and Governance*, https://mcginnis.pages.iu.edu/Stephan%20Marshall%20McGinnis%20Intro%20to%20Polyc%20Gov.pdf
45. A polycentric approach for pandemic governance: nested, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-institutional-economics/article/polycentric-approach-for-pandemic-governance-nested-externalities-and-co

production-challenges/DC66EF1DB0E0B9A663B73108F00AAB3F
46. Rethinking the Limits of Polycentric Governance: Towards a More, https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202407.1096
47. The Missing Ingredients for a Polycentric Governance System of, https://direct.mit.edu/glep/article/25/2/1/128618/The-Missing-Ingredients-for-a-Polycentric