

Technological Mastery in Low-Resource Aquatic Foraging: An Analysis of Traditional Fish Traps, River Weirs, and Trotline Systems

Introduction

The exploitation of aquatic resources has been a cornerstone of human subsistence strategies since the Pleistocene epoch. Long before the advent of industrial aquaculture and synthetic polymers, foraging societies developed highly sophisticated, low-resource technologies to harvest freshwater and marine biomass. These methodologies—chiefly the weaving of portable basket traps, the engineering of stationary river weirs, and the fabrication of multi-hook trotline systems—represent profound intersections of material science, fluid dynamics, and animal behavioral ecology. Rather than crude or primitive expedients, these systems demonstrate a highly optimized adaptation to specific hydro-topographical constraints.

Through the careful manipulation of natural fibers, wood, and stone, traditional fishers constructed mechanisms that functioned continuously and passively, maximizing the caloric return on energy investment. These structures manipulate the natural hydrologic flow to funnel, trap, and secure aquatic prey. Furthermore, archaeological evidence from submerged and waterlogged sites indicates that the foundational engineering principles of these devices have remained remarkably consistent over millennia, underscoring their functional perfection. This report provides an exhaustive analysis of these traditional aquatic foraging methods, synthesizing ethnographic observations, archaeological data, and hydraulic engineering principles to document the mechanics, construction, and broader ecological implications of low-resource wild fishing.

The Architecture and Mechanics of Woven Fish Traps

Woven fish traps represent a globally ubiquitous technology, characterized by the use of locally available, flexible botanical materials to create enclosures that permit easy entry but restrict escape. The functional morphology of these traps is dictated by the target species, the aquatic environment, and the tensile properties of the weaving materials. The design inherently leverages principles of mesh selectivity, wherein the specific geometric spacing of the woven fibers determines the size and species of the aquatic life recruited to the trap, effectively preventing the undesirable capture of juvenile biomass¹.

Botanical Material Selection and Processing

The construction of woven traps relies on the structural integrity and flexibility of raw materials, predominantly bamboo, rattan, willow (*Salix spp.*), hazel (*Corylus avellana*), and red dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea*). In Southeast Asia, bamboo is the preeminent material due to its rapid

growth rate, high tensile strength, and ease of splitting. The fabrication process begins with the harvesting of green bamboo poles, typically around three meters in length and five centimeters in diameter³. These poles are meticulously split into thin, pliable sticks using specialized bamboo blades. The processing of bamboo poses inherent physical risks to the artisan due to its silicate-rich, brittle composition, which produces microscopic, hazardous splinters that can easily penetrate protective cloth³.

To enhance durability and resistance to aquatic decay, traditional artisans employ rigorous chemical and thermal treatments. In regions such as the Thu Sy village of Vietnam, where the weaving of traps (known as *do* and *ro*) has been refined over centuries and is mythologically attributed to the Tutelary Goddess Nguyen Thi Hue, the split bamboo strips are subjected to a complex preservation protocol⁴. The strips are sun-dried, submerged in limewater, and subsequently smoked over rice straw fires⁴. This process, often repeated three times, imparts a dark puce coloration, fortifies the cellulose structure against termite and fungal degradation, and significantly extends the operational lifespan of the trap⁴. The width of the strips is tightly controlled; strips used for fish traps are distinctly thinner than those utilized for robust crustacean or shrimp traps⁴.

In temperate European contexts, willow and dogwood are the preferred substrates. Experimental and archaeological analyses of Neolithic fish traps from the Netherlands indicate a highly selective use of raw materials based on functional requirements. While willow and hazel were commonly used in the northern Netherlands, red dogwood was favored in southern regions⁵. Red dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea*) offers superior elastic deformation properties, allowing it to withstand the hydrodynamic forces of flowing water without fracturing⁵. To maximize pliability, willow and dogwood wands are often soaked in water for extended periods before weaving; artisans further increase flexibility by mechanically breaking down the vascular cambium, often pulling the wands over a fulcrum, such as a knee, immediately prior to integration into the weave⁷.

Structural Mechanics and Weaving Modalities

The fundamental architecture of the basket trap typically consists of two distinct components: the main body (or pot) and the inverted cone cap (the funnel or inlet)⁸. The operational principle relies on the behavior of the fish, which, lured by bait or guided by natural currents, enters the broad mouth of the funnel and passes through a narrow aperture into the main chamber⁸. The specific shapes vary by region; for instance, the Vietnamese *do* takes the form of an elongated ellipsoid with a small round mouth, whereas the *ro* is a uniform cylinder with a cone-shaped funnel and a rear lid⁴. In North America, the indigenous Wappo and Pomo tribes fabricated massive red willow baskets up to seven feet in length, featuring a 20-inch outer mouth that tapered abruptly into a 4-inch inner funnel¹⁰.

The weaving process generally employs an over-and-under pairing or twining technique. To construct a cylindrical or conical main body, an odd number of rigid longitudinal ribs (uprights or stakes) must be established to ensure the continuous alternation of the transverse weave⁸. Artisans often anchor these stakes in the ground or attach them to a temporary wooden hoop or template (sometimes as simple as a circle drawn on plywood) to maintain a uniform shape

during the initial weaving phases⁷. The transverse weavers—consisting of finer willow bark, cattail leaves, grapevine, or split bamboo—are tightly bound in a continuous spiral. Advanced structural integrity is sometimes achieved through a "three-rod wale" weave, which provides superior lateral strength compared to a simple pairing weave, ensuring the trap can withstand localized impacts and turbulent river flows¹¹.

Component	Primary Function	Traditional Materials Used	Mechanical Principle
Main Body (Pot)	Retains the captured biomass; withstands hydrodynamic pressure.	Bamboo, Willow, Hazel, Rattan	Cylindrical/conical pressure vessel; interlaced structural rigidity.
Inlet Funnel (Cone)	Guides fish inward; prevents egress.	Thin bamboo sticks, Red Dogwood, Grapevine	Mechanical non-return valve; elastic deformation of terminal tines.
Backdoor/Lid	Allows extraction of the catch and re-baiting.	Teak wood, heavy bamboo	Removable plug or hinged flap secured with cordage.
Hoops/Rings	Maintains the circular aperture and prevents structural collapse.	Twisted twigs, thick vines	Hoop stress distribution.

The Inlet Cone: Hydro-Mechanical Non-Return Valves

The most critical engineering component of the trap is the inlet cone, which acts as a mechanical non-return valve, functionally analogous to swing check valves or cone check valves utilized in modern fluid conduit engineering³. In modern industrial engineering, a non-return valve permits flow in a designated direction and relies on backpressure or a hinged clapper to block reverse flow¹². The traditional fish trap replicates this precisely using biomechanical materials.

The cone projects inward, terminating in an aperture scaled strictly to the dimensions of the target species. The terminal ends of the sticks forming the cone are deliberately left sharp and un-woven³. As the fish swims forward, the flexible sticks yield radially to accommodate the displacement of its body. Once the fish passes, the inherent elasticity of the wood causes the

sticks to snap back into their resting state. The sharp, inward-pointing tines present a physical barrier that prevents the fish from navigating back through the narrow opening³.

The rear of the trap, often termed the backdoor, requires specialized construction to facilitate the extraction of the catch. In Thai designs, known as a *Lop*, this backdoor requires complex joinery, sometimes involving a heavy shutting board carved from a solid piece of Teak wood, carefully integrated into the fragile bamboo matrix³. The engineering must balance the need for human access with the absolute necessity of structural security.

River Weirs: Hydraulic Engineering and Landscape Modification

While portable woven traps target individual or small clusters of fish, river and tidal weirs are landscape-scale architectural modifications designed to intercept migratory and tidal movements of entire populations. A fish weir is a semi-permanent barrier constructed across a stream, river, or tidal estuary that redirects fish movement into concentrated holding pens or attached basket traps¹⁴.

Typology and Topographic Placement

Weirs are classified into riverine and tidal variants. Tidal weirs, often constructed of layered stones in a semi-circular, horseshoe, boomerang, or V-shape, are built in the intertidal zone along the seacoast or in shallow lagoons¹⁵. At high tide, fish swim over the submerged walls to feed in the shallow flats. As the tide recedes, the water drains through the porous stone walls, trapping the fish in the remaining pools or on dry land¹⁵.

Riverine weirs, conversely, must manage continuous, unidirectional current flow. The most effective and historically ubiquitous design is the V-shaped (chevron) weir¹⁴. Two walls angle downstream from opposite banks, typically at an angle of 30 to 45 degrees, converging at a narrow central gap measuring 30 to 50 centimeters¹⁴. Fish swimming upstream encounter the angled barrier and naturally track along the wall seeking passage, funneling directly into a positioned basket trap or holding pen at the apex¹⁴. To construct a V-weir across a standard 4-meter stream, sharpened stakes 5 to 8 centimeters in diameter are driven into the streambed 8 to 10 centimeters apart¹⁴. The wall must extend 15 to 20 centimeters above the waterline, and construction must proceed from the banks inward, where the current is weakest, to manage the escalating hydrodynamic forces effectively¹⁴. Every residual gap must be sealed with small stones, gravel, and mud, as aquatic species will exploit any micro-opening¹⁴.

Wattle and Stake Construction Modalities

The construction of a wooden river weir requires a profound understanding of localized hydrology and sedimentary mechanics. The framework relies on the aforementioned sharpened stakes, between which flexible branches—known as wattle—are woven horizontally to create a semi-permeable fence¹⁴. This permeability is crucial: the barrier must block fish while allowing water to pass through, thereby reducing the total hydrodynamic drag and mitigating the risk of structural blowout during flood events¹⁴.

The scale of these prehistoric public works is staggering. The Boylston Street Fishweir in Boston, Massachusetts, discovered during subway excavations in 1913, represents a massive

complex of ancient tidal weirs built over a 1,500-year span beginning around 5200 BP²⁰. Extending nearly 30 to 40 feet below modern street levels, the site contained an estimated 65,000 vertical wooden stakes—primarily alder, willow, birch, white pine, sassafras, hickory, and beech—driven into the tidal mudflats and interwoven with brush wattling²⁰. Dendrochronological analysis revealed that the Native American builders cut the wood in late winter to facilitate spring construction²¹. As sea levels rose over the centuries, the builders systematically retreated, reconstructing the weirs at higher elevations to track the optimal tidal depth of roughly three feet²¹. Ultimately, by 3700 BP, the extreme tidal fluctuations rendered the technology ineffective in that specific estuarine environment²¹. Similarly, archaeological excavations at Syltholm Fjord in Denmark revealed extensive weir systems utilized by the Mesolithic Ertebølle culture spanning from 4700 to 900 cal BC⁶. The Ertebølle fishers prefabricated modular, portable wattle panels on land¹⁹. These panels were subsequently driven into the seabed as composite modules, allowing for rapid deployment, repair, and adaptation to shifting sedimentary conditions¹⁹. The survival of these communities relied heavily on this stationary fishing technology, maintaining the same marine diet for millennia even after the introduction of regional agriculture, undermining theories of a rapid Neolithic dietary transition²⁵.

Hydraulic Dynamics and Flow Alteration

The true sophistication of a weir is governed by complex fluid dynamics. The physical structure of a V-shaped weir induces specific hydraulic responses that facilitate both the stability of the weir and the entrapment of fish. The flow of water over and through the weir generates profound variations in velocity profiles, shear stress, and turbulent kinetic energy (TKE)²⁸. When a stream interacts with a V-shaped weir, the structure acts as a hydraulic control point. Empirical computational fluid dynamic (CFD) modeling indicates that V-shaped weirs reduce the mean streamwise velocity in the upstream pools by approximately 20%, creating low-velocity recirculation zones²⁸. These zones are biologically critical, as they provide essential resting areas for migratory fish before they attempt to navigate the high-velocity central gap²⁸. The discharge capacity of the weir is dictated by the porosity of the wattle and the geometry of the crest. For a conventional submerged flow scenario, the discharge (Q) over a straight weir is proportional to $1.56h$ (where h is the water depth above the weir), while for specialized geometric labyrinths, it scales to $1.47h$ ³⁰. The V-shape effectively increases the functional overflow length of the crest within a constrained channel width, accommodating higher discharge rates during flood events and drastically reducing the peak hydraulic shear stress exerted on the barrier³⁰.

Furthermore, the elastic deformation of the botanical wattle panels under hydrodynamic loading serves as a critical survival mechanism. Unlike rigid concrete or masonry barriers, the woven botanical matrix flexes under the force of the current³³. This hydroelastic response dampens wave transmission and alters the Keulegan–Carpenter (KC) number and Reynolds number of the immediate flow field³⁴. The ability of the wattle to absorb and dissipate kinetic

energy prevents the catastrophic fracturing of the primary stakes during sudden increases in current velocity³⁵.

Hydraulic Metric	Impact of V-Shaped Weir Geometry	Ecological Result
Streamwise Velocity	Reduced by ~20% upstream.	Creates low-velocity staging pools for exhausted fish.
Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE)	Localized reduction near channel walls.	Prevents structural blowout; aids fish navigation.
Effective Overflow Length	Increased relative to straight weirs.	Mitigates shear stress during high-discharge flood events.
Hydroelastic Deformation	Flexible wattle absorbs inertial force.	Extends structural lifespan; mimics natural debris flow.

Socio-Political and Ecological Implications

Because weirs are ruthlessly efficient, their indiscriminate use can decimate migratory fish populations, particularly salmonids attempting to reach upstream spawning grounds. The maintenance of large weir systems required intensive communal labor and significant territorial control, often leading to acute socio-political conflict²⁵.

In medieval Europe, the proliferation of royal and monastic fish-weirs severely hindered both aquatic ecology and commercial river navigation. This tension culminated in 1215 with the inclusion of Clause 33 in the Magna Carta, which decreed: *"All fish-weirs shall be removed from the Thames, the Medway, and throughout the whole of England, except on the sea coast"*³⁸. While ostensibly framed as a protection of the natural right of free passage over navigable waters—a precursor to the *res nullius* principle of the seas—Clause 33 inadvertently acted as a massive ecological restoration mandate¹⁶. Within years of the mandate, depleted salmon stocks rebounded rapidly in English riverways, permanently altering the medieval monastic economy¹⁶.

Traditional Trotline Fabrication and Primitive Hook Mechanics

Where traps and weirs represent passive entrapment and bulk-harvesting, hook-and-line technologies allow for targeted, depth-specific, and highly selective foraging. The trotline is a

logical extension of this concept, transforming a single-hook mechanism into a multi-hook array capable of covering broad swathes of a water body with minimal continuous human oversight⁴¹.

The Toggle Mechanism: Physics of the Gorge Hook

Prior to the metallurgical development of the curved, barbed fishhook, prehistoric and low-resource foragers utilized the "gorge hook" (or toggle hook). The gorge hook operates on fundamentally different mechanical principles than a modern J-hook. Rather than piercing the lip or mouth from the outside via a sudden kinetic strike, the gorge hook is designed to be swallowed whole and anchor internally within the viscera⁴³.

Constructed from a straight, narrow sliver of hardwood, bone, shell, or thorn, the gorge hook is sharpened at both terminal ends and features a small central groove⁴³. The cordage is lashed securely to the exact center of the gorge utilizing clove hitches or wrapping knots⁴⁴. To deploy, the gorge is aligned parallel to the fishing line and completely encased in soft bait—such as worms, grubs, or minnow strips—concealing the sharp points entirely⁴⁴.

When a fish ingests the bait and swims away, the slack in the line is taken up. As tension is applied, the centrally tethered gorge is forced to rotate 90 degrees inside the fish's digestive tract⁴⁴. The sharpened ends pierce the soft tissues of the esophagus or stomach lining, creating a rigid cross-bar that anchors the fish permanently to the line⁴³. This toggle mechanism is highly efficient in environments with aggressive, swallowing predators (e.g., catfish, eel, and panfish) and relies entirely on the fish's own momentum and mechanical advantage to set the hook, rather than the reflexes of the angler⁴⁴.

Cordage Manufacture and Tensile Dynamics

The efficacy of trotlines and gorge hooks is entirely dependent on the tensile strength and environmental durability of the cordage. In low-resource settings, cordage is manufactured from the bast fibers of native plants. Bast fibers, extracted from the phloem surrounding the stem of certain dicotyledonous plants, are renowned for their length and extraordinary mechanical strength⁴⁶.

Stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*) and lime/linden tree bast (*Tilia cordata*) were dominant sources of high-performance prehistoric cordage⁴⁹. Nettle stems, harvested in late summer when the plant reaches peak height, are split, and the inner bast is stripped out⁴⁹. The fibers undergo a retting process—the chemical or microbial degradation of the cellular pectins—to separate the high-strength cellulose filaments⁵³.

The mechanical properties of nettle bast rival modern synthetic polymers in specific applications. Experimental tensile tests demonstrate that the single-element tensile strength of properly retted nettle fibers can reach remarkable values between 500 and 950 MPa, with a Young's modulus approaching 60 GPa⁵³. The fibers are manually spun into a 2-ply reverse wrap, utilizing the opposing torsional forces of the individual strands to lock the cord into a cohesive, non-unraveling matrix that retains profound strength even when fully submerged⁵⁶.

Trotline System Architecture

A trotline systematically scales the efficiency of the hook-and-line method. The architecture consists of a heavy main line suspended horizontally, from which multiple perpendicular lines—termed droppers or stagings—are attached at regular intervals⁴².

To prevent catastrophic tangling caused by multiple hooked fish thrashing simultaneously, strict geometric spacing is observed. Dropper lines, typically cut to a length of 14 to 16 inches (35 to 40 cm), are spaced no less than 24 inches (60 cm) apart along the main line⁴¹. This ensures that the radii of adjacent hooks cannot intersect. In more sophisticated setups, the droppers are attached to the mainline via barrel swivels. Swivels act as rotational decouplers; when a captured fish (particularly species like catfish or eels) executes a defensive "death roll," the swivel absorbs the torsion, preventing the dropper line from winding up and snapping the main line⁴².

Trotlines are deployed using environmental anchors. A bank-to-bank setup ties the main line to rigid structures (trees or roots) on opposing shores, keeping the line suspended sub-surface⁴¹. Alternatively, a float-to-float or weighted configuration utilizes heavy stones to anchor the ends to the riverbed, while buoyant materials—historically birch bark, hollowed gourds, or sealed jugs—are tied to the main line to maintain the hooks in the optimal pelagic or demersal strike zones⁴².

Archaeological Precedents in Hook and Line Technology

The sophistication of line fishing is deeply ancient. Recent excavations at the waterlogged Epipaleolithic site of Jordan River Dureijat (JRD) in the Hula Valley of Israel, dating to approximately 15,000 to 12,000 cal BP, yielded a remarkable cache of 19 bone fishhooks and over 1,000 grooved pebbles⁶⁰.

The JRD hooks exhibit advanced morphological features previously thought to be modern inventions, including inner and outer barbs, and specific modifications on the shank (knobs and grooves) designed to securely anchor the line⁶⁰. Microscopic analysis of these hooks revealed residues of adhesives and animal hair, providing some of the earliest empirical evidence for the use of artificial lures designed to mimic the movement of aquatic prey⁶⁰. Furthermore, plant fibers were discovered preserved within the grooves of the bone shanks and limestone pebbles⁶⁰. The grooved pebbles functioned as sinkers, indicating a complex, integrated system where carefully manufactured cordage, weighted sinkers, and barbed hooks were deployed synergistically by the Natufian culture⁶⁰.

Concurrently, rotating circular hooks carved from marine gastropod shells, dating to 22,000 BP, have been recovered from Makpan Cave on Alor Island in Indonesia⁶⁵. Discovered carefully arranged around the jaw of a deceased female, these meticulously polished shell hooks suggest both a global, convergent evolution of complex maritime extraction technologies during the Pleistocene and a deep socio-cultural reverence for the providers of aquatic biomass⁶⁶.

Ethnographic Equivalents and Related Aquatic Foraging Gear

The integration of traps, weirs, and lines is often supported by supplementary aquatic

technologies that maximize resource extraction. In the historic Spreewald region of Germany, a biosphere characterized by a dense, labyrinthine network of shallow channels branching from the Spree River, traditional Slavic and Sorbian fishers developed a unique ecosystem of tools to complement their extensive weir (Fischzaun) networks⁶⁷.

The primary vessel for navigating and maintaining these traps is the *Einbaum*, a dugout canoe traditionally carved from a single oak or pine trunk, rendered resistant to rot through internal charring⁶⁸. These vessels, some dating back to the Viking Age and the 11th century in nearby Szczecin, were explicitly designed with internal flooded compartments (Bünn) to transport live catch, demonstrating an early mastery of aquatic supply chains⁷⁰. Working from the *Einbaum*, fishers deployed the *Zugnetz* (seine or drag net), a dynamic mesh structure used to sweep areas between the static wooden weirs and traps⁶⁸. The synthesis of active sweeping nets and passive funnel traps highlights a comprehensive strategy where no single methodology operates in isolation; rather, the entire hydrology of the region is mapped and actively managed for caloric extraction⁷⁰.

The Taphonomy and Preservation of Aquatic Wood

The ability of modern researchers to comprehend the staggering complexity of ancient aquatic foraging is entirely dependent on the taphonomy of the sites where these tools were abandoned. Organic materials, such as the cellulose in red dogwood traps or stinging nettle cordage, normally decompose rapidly. However, when deposited in anoxic, waterlogged environments—such as the peat bogs of Denmark or the glacial clays of Boston—the standard aerobic decay pathways are arrested⁷².

In these aquatic environments, oxygen-dependent fungi cannot survive. Instead, anaerobic erosion bacteria slowly degrade the holocellulose (cellulose and hemicellulose) components of the plant cell walls, while the complex, recalcitrant lignin structures remain largely intact⁷³. This unique biological process leaves behind a porous, lignin-rich skeleton that perfectly retains the macroscopic morphology of the original tool, right down to the tool marks left by prehistoric axes, but contains massive amounts of internal water⁷².

Consequently, the moment an ancient fish weir or woven trap is excavated, it faces immediate catastrophic collapse as the internal water evaporates and capillary forces crush the fragile lignin skeleton⁷². To study and preserve these insights into early human engineering, conservators must utilize complex chemical displacement methods. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is most commonly used to slowly diffuse into the cellular structure of the waterlogged wood, replacing the water before the object is carefully freeze-dried, thereby locking the prehistoric geometry in place for future biomechanical analysis⁷².

Conclusion

The documentation of traditional, low-resource methods for wild fishing reveals a profound and ancient mastery over biological materials, fluid dynamics, and animal behavior. The fabrication of woven traps, the large-scale engineering of river weirs, and the fabrication of multi-hook trotlines do not represent a rudimentary struggle for survival, but rather a series of highly calculated engineering solutions optimized for sustained caloric yield with minimal

ongoing energy expenditure.

By manipulating the tensile properties of bast fibers and the elastic deformation of riparian woods like red dogwood and bamboo, traditional foragers created structures capable of withstanding the immense dynamic loads of aquatic environments. The design of the V-shaped weir showcases an intuitive grasp of complex fluid mechanics, utilizing hydraulic control points to alter shear stress, dissipate kinetic energy, and gently guide prey into entrapment zones. Similarly, the mechanical genius of the gorge hook lies in its exploitation of the prey's own momentum and digestive anatomy, translating a simple linear pull into a permanent internal anchor.

Archaeological records from the anoxic depths of Syltholm Fjord, the tidal mudflats of Boylston Street, and the Epipaleolithic shores of the Jordan River confirm that the foundational parameters of these technologies were perfected millennia ago. These ancient methodologies underscore a vital principle of human adaptation: the most enduring and effective technological systems are those that work in seamless concert with the natural physical forces of the landscape, leveraging the behavior of the ecosystem to sustain human life.

Works cited

1. Trap Mesh Selectivity and the Management of Reef Fishes, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229465148_Trap_Mesh_Selectivity_and_the_Management_of_Reef_Fishes
2. 3. the selection curve, <https://www.fao.org/4/x7788e/X7788E03.htm>
3. Crafting a bamboo fish trap in Thailand - Bushguide 101, <https://bushguide101.com/bamboo-fish-trap-weaving-in-thailand/>
4. Hand-woven bamboo fish traps of Thu Sy village - a timeless craft, <https://vietnamlawmagazine.vn/hand-woven-bamboo-fish-traps-of-thu-sy-village-a-timeless-craft-72351.html>
5. Selective use of *Cornus sanguinea* L. (red dogwood) for Neolithic fish, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233489570_Selective_use_of_Cornus_sanguinea_L_red_dogwood_for_Neolithic_fish_traps_in_the_Netherlands
6. Fishing with Stationary Wooden Structures in Stone Age Denmark, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/proceedings-of-the-prehistoric-society/article/fishing-with-stationary-wooden-structures-in-stone-age-denmark-new-evidence-from-syltholm-fjord-southern-lolland/5A369D414D231A844450162B30OCD53D>
7. How To... Build a Stick Fish Trap - Bushcraft Days, <http://www.bushcraftdays.com/how-to-build-a-stick-fish-trap/>
8. How to Make a Primitive Funnel Fish Trap that keeps on giving., <https://willowhavenoutdoor.com/how-to-make-a-primitive-funnel-fish-trap-that-keep-on-giving/>
9. Reconstructing a Prehistoric Fish Trap | The EXARC Journal, <https://exarc.net/issue-2014-1/at/reconstructing-prehistoric-fish-trap>
10. Fish Trap Basket - Pepperwood Preserve, <https://www.pepperwoodpreserve.org/project/fish-trap-basket/>

11. A woven fish trap - Jack Raven Bushcraft,
<https://www.jackravenbushcraft.co.uk/a-woven-fish-trap/>
12. Guide to Swing Check Type Non-Return Valves - Athena Valve,
<https://www.athenavalve.com/guide-to-swing-check-type-non-return-valves.html>
13. Non Return Valve: Working Principle, Types & Diagram,
<https://ntgdvalve.com/non-return-valve/>
14. Fish Weirs | Survival Wiki - Civilization Rebuild,
<https://civilizationrebuild.com/phase-0-first-72-hours/food/fish-weirs>
15. Fishing weir - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fishing_weir
16. Magna Carta Is No Anachronism | Online Library of Liberty,
<https://oll.libertyfund.org/publications/liberty-matters/2015-05-04-magna-carta-is-no-anachronism>
17. Worldwide Weirs: An Ancient Fishing Technology Used By Modern,
<https://fishbio.com/worldwide-weirs-an-ancient-fishing-technology-used-by-modern-scientists/>
18. Technology - Fishing Techniques: Weirs,
<https://epe.lac-bac.gc.ca/100/205/301/ic/cdc/pacificfisheries/techno/weir.html>
19. (PDF) Wattling for Fish: The Materials and Construction of Stationary,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397546340_Wattling_for_Fish_The_Materials_and_Construction_of_Stationary_Wooden_Fishing_Structures_in_Stone_Age_Southern_Scandinavia_ca_6500-1800_cal_BCE
20. Ancient Fish Weir - Discover - AIA Guide to Boston,
<https://aia.stgry.app/en/story/19665>
21. Boylston Street Fishweir - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boylston_Street_Fishweir
22. Boylston Street Fishweir Facts for Kids,
https://kids.kiddle.co/Boylston_Street_Fishweir
23. Wattling for Fish: The Materials and Construction of Stationary,
https://pure.kb.dk/files/119333957/ijwc-article-p15_3.pdf
24. Fishing with Stationary Wooden Structures in Stone Age Denmark,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388440800_Fishing_with_Stationary_Wooden_Structures_in_Stone_Age_Denmark_New_Evidence_from_Syltholm_Fjord_Southern_Lolland
25. Prehistoric Danes continued to fish for food even after the rise of,
<https://www.courthousenews.com/study-finds-prehistoric-danes-continued-to-fish-for-food-even-after-the-rise-of-agriculture/>
26. Effects and impacts of prehistoric fishing in the Syltholm Fjord,
<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0347863>
27. Prehistoric Danish people continued to eat fish and hunt even after,
<https://www.eurekalert.org/news-releases/1127084>
28. Experimental Investigation on the Effect of V-and W-Shaped,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/407714180_Experimental_Investigation_on_the_Effect_of_V-and_W-Shaped_Fishway_Weir_Combinations_on_Velocity_and_Turbulence_Parameters

29. Stability Thresholds for Stream Restoration Materials - Army.mil,
<https://www.spa.usace.army.mil/Portals/16/docs/civilworks/regulatory/Stream%20Information%20and%20Management/ERDC%20Stability%20Thresholds.pdf>
30. Physical modelling of pool and weir fishways with rock weirs,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317139211_Physical_modelling_of_pool_and_weir_fishways_with_rock_weirs
31. Fishway - FLOW-3D, <https://flow3d.co.kr/tag/fishway/>
32. Discharge Coefficient of a Triangular Side-Weir Located on a,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/245288859_Discharge_Coefficient_of_a_Triangular_Side-Weir_Located_on_a_Curved_Channel
33. Experimental Analysis of the Influence of Gear Design and Catch,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/4/2505>
34. Hydroelastic Response to the Effect of Current Loads on Floating,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/11/2/437>
35. Experimental analysis of the hydrodynamic force on the net panel in,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333537606_Experimental_analysis_of_the_hydrodynamic_force_on_the_net_panel_in_wave
36. The archaeology of salmon fishing,
<http://republicofarchaeology.ca/digit/2019/10/19/the-archaeology-of-salmon-fishing>
37. People of the Stones: coastal communities and stone tidal fish weirs,
<https://www.tcd.ie/tceh/blogs/peopleofthestones.php>
38. River Access for All, <http://www.riveraccessforall.co.uk/challenge1.php>
39. 1215 Magna Carta - Clause 33,
https://magnacarta.cmp.uea.ac.uk/read/magna_carta_1215/Clause_33
40. Magna Carta was good for humans – but even better for fish,
<https://www.newstatesman.com/culture/nature/2017/05/magna-carta-was-good-humans-even-better-fish>
41. Trotline Fishing - The Complete Guide - Great Days Outdoors,
<https://greatdaysoutdoors.com/trotline-fishing/>
42. Line Up for a Good Time | Missouri Department of Conservation,
<https://mdc.mo.gov/magazines/conservationist/2014-08/line-good-time>
43. Survival Fishing – Make a Gorge Hook,
<https://sensiblesurvival.org/2011/06/01/survival-fishing-make-a-gorge-hook/>
44. How to Use a Gorge Hook for Survival Fishing | Grim Workshop Blog,
<https://grimworkshop.com/blogs/news/how-to-use-a-gorge-hook>
45. No Fish Hook? Testing the Prehistoric Fishing Gorge,
<http://makingflakes.blogspot.com/2014/06/no-fish-hook-testing-prehistoric.html>
46. Bast Fibers Guide: Types, Processing, Properties & Uses,
<https://textilelearner.net/bast-fibers-guide-types-processing-properties-uses/>
47. Natural Cordage Making: Strong Rope From Plants Guide - The Rike,
<https://therike.com/blogs/sustainable-living/natural-cordage-making-strong-rope-from-plants>
48. Bast and Other Plant Fibres - PDF Free Download - epdf.pub,
<https://epdf.pub/bast-and-other-plant-fibresfc8e1de55956cdf7e6e30a2a6e3d96>

[4145631.html](#)

49. Nettle cordage and sustainable fibre making - Urban Outdoors London,
<https://urbanoutdoorslondon.co.uk/nettle-cordage-and-sustainable-fibre-making/>
50. Making Rope from Stinging Nettle Fibre — Plant Bast Extraction and,
<https://youblob.com/bt/blueprints/prehistoric-nettle-fiber-rope>
51. Material choices for fibre in the neolithic: an approach through the,
https://research.manchester.ac.uk/files/45866150/Harris_et_al_2016_Material_Choces_Fibres_Archaeometry.pdf
52. How to make Nettle cordage- jonsbushcraft.com,
<https://www.jonsbushcraft.com/Nettle%20cordage.htm>
53. Biomechanical Properties of Traditionally Used Bast Fibers from,
https://literatur.thuenen.de/digbib_extern/dn066738.pdf
54. Stinging nettle fibres extraction and characterization using chemical,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363502651_Stinging_nettle_fibres_extraction_and_characterization_using_chemical_reting_method_and_influence_of_tensile_properties
55. Nettle fibre (Urtica dioica L.) reinforced poly(lactic acid) - Scilit,
<https://www.scilit.com/publications/a67a389d5e67024cf147aeaddcd6ddce8>
56. How to make natural cordage from plant fibres - YouTube,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4DhuH8tJ1AU>
57. How to Set a Trotline | MeatEater Fishing,
<https://www.themeateater.com/fish/freshwater/how-to-set-a-trotline>
58. Fun times and trot lines - Your new home for fishing on the Northshore!,
<https://northshorefishingreport.com/fun-times-and-trotlines.html>
59. Trotline Fishing: Building and Setting Trotlines - Mossy Oak,
<https://www.mossyoak.com/our-obsession/blogs/fishing/trotline-fishing-building-and-setting-trotlines>
60. Early line and hook fishing at the Epipaleolithic site of Jordan River,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8494375/>
61. HU part of archaeological team to find fishing spot in Israel dating,
<https://www.cfhu.org/news/hu-part-of-archaeological-team-to-find-fishing-spot-in-israel-dating-back-10000-years/>
62. Early line and hook fishing at the Epipaleolithic site of Jordan River,
<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/figures?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0257710>
63. News - Fishing in Prehistoric Israel - Archaeology Magazine,
<https://archaeology.org/news/2019/05/14/211008-israel-prehistory-fishing/>
64. Prehistoric hooks and sinkers show early humans used advanced,
<https://www.courthousenews.com/prehistoric-hooks-and-sinkers-show-early-humans-used-advanced-fishing-techniques/>
65. Fishhooks, Lures, and Sinkers: Intensive Manufacture of Marine,
<https://openresearch-repository.anu.edu.au/bitstreams/38f73c5d-348c-4c47-a59a-7af0fc8271bd/download>
66. Discovery in 12,000-Year-Old Grave Shows Not All Ancient Fishers,
<https://www.sciencealert.com/pleistocene-fish-hooks-female-burial-grave-hunte>

[r-gatherer-indonesia](#)

67. Slawenzeit - brandenburgikon.de,
<https://brandenburgikon.net/index.php/de/sachlexikon/slawenzeit>
68. Einbaum - Spreewalddorf Lehde,
<https://spreewald-dorf-lehde.de/staken/einbaum/>
69. Verband - spreewaldfischer-burg.de,
<https://www.spreewaldfischer-burg.de/verband/>
70. Fischerei des Hoch- und Spätmittelalters - Historischer Fischer,
<https://www.historischerfischer.de/fischerei-anno/fischerei-des-hoch-und-spaet-mittelalters/>
71. Spreewaldfischerei – Spreewalddorf Lehde,
<https://spreewald-dorf-lehde.de/leben/spreewaldfischerei/>
72. Stabilisation of waterlogged archaeological wood - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12586616/>
73. Functional conservation and preservation of waterlogged,
<https://bioresources.cnr.ncsu.edu/resources/functional-conservation-and-preservation-of-waterlogged-archaeological-wood/>
74. A review of analytical methods for assessing preservation in,
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/164451/1/High_et_al_2020_Heritage_Science.pdf
75. Waterlogged archaeological wood: biodegradation and its,
<https://research.slu.se/sv/publications/waterlogged-archaeological-wood-biodegradation-and-its-implicatio/>
76. Inorganic component in oak waterlogged archaeological wood and,
<https://bg.copernicus.org/articles/20/3137/2023/bg-20-3137-2023.pdf>
77. Conservation of Waterlogged Wood—Past, Present and Future,
<https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4907/12/9/1193>