

Advanced Protocols in Teleost Processing: Maximizing Fillet Yield and Mitigating Biochemical Spoilage in Round and Flatfish

The global commercial exploitation of teleost fish represents a cornerstone of the modern food industry, with worldwide fisheries and aquaculture production exceeding 177.8 million tons and generating an estimated value of over \$406 billion annually¹. Despite the immense scale of this industry, the processing sector is plagued by profound inefficiencies; an estimated 35% of global fish and seafood production is lost or wasted during post-harvest handling and processing². Fish muscle is highly perishable, characterized by a rapid post-mortem deterioration cascade driven by autolytic enzymatic activity, microbial proliferation, and the chemical oxidation of lipids³. Consequently, the commercial viability of a seafood processing operation rests entirely on the precise execution of mechanical interventions—scaling, gutting, filleting, and deboning—that maximize the extraction of edible biomass while strictly mitigating the onset of tissue degradation.

The optimization of yield, defined as the percentage of edible fillet recovered from the whole round weight, serves as the primary economic determinant in seafood manufacturing⁶. The morphological variations between roundfish and flatfish directly dictate the required mechanical protocols, with variations in manual cutting techniques and the implementation of automated machinery profoundly influencing profitability⁶. Simultaneously, every physical incision introduces the risk of microbial contamination, requiring stringent hygienic protocols and thermodynamic controls to suppress the proliferation of specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) and the dangerous accumulation of biogenic amines⁹. This report provides an exhaustive analysis of the anatomical, mechanical, and biochemical parameters governing the processing of round and flatfish, delineating comprehensive methodologies for maximizing yield while neutralizing the mechanisms of aquatic spoilage.

Morphological Architecture and Skeletal Dynamics

To extract the maximum caloric yield with minimal tissue damage, the processing methodology must be fundamentally dictated by the musculoskeletal architecture of the target species. The primary division in commercial finfish processing lies in the distinction between round fish and flatfish, which possess fundamentally divergent bilateral symmetries and skeletal frameworks¹¹. Round fish, encompassing both pelagic and demersal species such as Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), Pacific cod (*Gadus morhua*), and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), possess a torpedo-like, streamlined morphology adapted for sustained pelagic swimming¹¹. They orient vertically in the water column with eyes positioned symmetrically on either side of the cranial structure. The foundational skeletal framework consists of a central spinal column (vertebrae)

from which ribs radiate ventrally to protect the visceral cavity, while neural spines project dorsally¹¹. Processing a round fish typically yields two distinct fillets, one excised from each lateral side of the central spine¹¹.

A critical anatomical feature complicating the processing of round fish is the presence of intermuscular bones (IBs), colloquially referred to in the industry as pin bones¹⁴. Unlike the ribs, these spicule-like bones do not connect directly to the main skeletal frame; rather, they are embedded within the myosepta—the collagenous connective tissue separating the lateral muscle blocks (myomeres)¹⁵. Intermuscular bones are categorized anatomically into epineurals (located in the epaxial region above the horizontal septum), epipleurals (situated in the hypaxial region), and epicentrals (located directly along the horizontal septum)¹⁵. In basal teleosts and commercially vital species like salmon, the pin bones are primarily epineural structures that protrude directly into the high-value loin section of the fillet, necessitating specialized, force-calibrated extraction techniques to preserve the integrity of the surrounding musculature¹⁴. In other species, such as Asian carp and certain clupeoids, the intermuscular bone structure is vastly more complex, presenting highly branched morphologies (including detached myorhabdoid bones) that require advanced processing technologies to eradicate¹⁵. Conversely, flatfish, which include high-value benthic species such as halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*), turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*), and Dover sole (*Solea solea*), have evolved a highly compressed, asymmetrical body plan adapted for camouflage against the ocean floor¹¹. During their early larval development, flatfish undergo a profound cranial metamorphosis wherein one eye migrates across the skull to join the other, resulting in both eyes residing on the dorsal, pigmented side of the mature fish¹¹. The blind ventral side remains unpigmented (white) and rests against the substrate¹¹.

The skeletal structure of a flatfish is characterized by a prominent central spine that runs horizontally, dividing the fish into dorsal and ventral halves, accompanied by elongated radial bones extending outward to the fin margins. Because of this flattened, expansive geometry, flatfish are quartered rather than halved¹¹. A proper quartering operation yields four distinct fillets: two larger fillets harvested from the pigmented top side, and two slightly smaller fillets recovered from the blind bottom side¹¹. Furthermore, flatfish are metabolically distinct from many round fish; they are generally highly lean, possessing minimal lipid reserves (often less than 1.5%), which directly influences both their culinary application and their unique susceptibility to rapid post-mortem enzymatic degradation¹¹.

Yield percentages serve as the ultimate metric of processing efficiency, yet they are heavily influenced by the inherent anatomy of the species, the sexual maturity of the specimen, the seasonal lipid content, and the precision of the mechanical processing technique⁷. The following table delineates standard commercial yield expectations for various species when processed into skin-on or skinless fillets from a whole, or headed and gutted (H&G) state⁶.

Species	Morphological Body Type	Initial Processing State	Final Fillet Product	Expected Baseline Yield (%)
---------	-------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------

Atlantic Salmon	Round	Head-on, eviscerated	Skin-on fillet	68 - 72%
Pacific Cod	Round	Headed and gutted (H&G)	Skin-on fillet	60 - 70%
Rainbow Trout	Round	Whole, uneviscerated	Skin-on fillet	55 - 60%
Halibut	Flat	Headed and gutted (H&G)	Skin-on fillet	48 - 52%
Dover Sole	Flat	Whole, uneviscerated	Skin-off fillet	~30%
Red Snapper	Round	Head-on, eviscerated	Skin-on fillet	42 - 45%
Mahi-Mahi	Round	Headed and gutted (H&G)	Skin-on fillet	50 - 55%

Pre-Processing Biosecurity and Thermodynamic Protocols

Before any physical manipulation of the raw aquatic material commences, strict environmental, hygienic, and thermodynamic parameters must be established. The internal muscular tissue of a freshly caught, healthy teleost is generally sterile; the natural microbial load is entirely concentrated in the mucosal slime layer of the epidermis, the respiratory surfaces of the gills, and the dense microbiome of the gastrointestinal tract⁵. The physical act of butchery inevitably breaches these barriers, risking the translocation of surface and visceral pathogens directly into the sterile muscle tissue. Consequently, pre-processing hygiene is a critical determinant of final product shelf life.

The Cold Chain and Regulatory Temperature Thresholds

Thermal abuse is the primary catalyst for rapid biochemical spoilage. The metabolic rates of specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) and the kinetic activity of endogenous autolytic enzymes are profoundly dictated by ambient temperature²⁵. European and national hygiene regulations, such as the German Tier-LMHV (Lebensmittelhygiene-Verordnung) and concurrent FDA mandates, establish rigid legal limits for the storage and handling of fishery products²⁶.

Fresh fishery products must be rapidly chilled post-harvest and stored at temperatures approaching that of melting ice, with a strict maximum regulatory threshold of 2°C²⁶. Processed fishery products, which include marinated, pickled, or smoked products, must be maintained at a temperature not exceeding 7°C²⁶. When fish are frozen for transport, the ambient temperature of the logistics chain must be maintained at -18°C or lower, with continuous data logging required to prove that brief deviations during loading and unloading do not exceed +3°C²⁶. Maintaining this thermal baseline drastically extends the lag phase of psychrotrophic spoilage bacteria, delaying the onset of logarithmic microbial growth that leads to product rejection²⁵.

Product Category	Regulatory Standard (e.g., Tier-LMHV)	Maximum Allowed Temperature
Fresh Fishery Products	Must be strictly adhered to (Legal Status A)	2 °C (approaching melting ice)
Processed Fishery Products	Recommended guideline (Legal Status B)	7 °C
Live Bivalves and Shellfish	Must be strictly adhered to (Legal Status A)	10 °C
Frozen Fishery Transport	Must be strictly adhered to (Legal Status A)	-18 °C (Brief +3°C tolerance)

Environmental Cleanliness and ATP Bioluminescence

To ensure that processing surfaces, conveyor belts, and filleting machinery do not serve as vectors for microbial cross-contamination, the seafood industry relies heavily on Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) bioluminescence testing²⁹. ATP is a nucleotide present in all living cells, including both bacterial contaminants and biological residues originating from fish flesh. By swabbing a processing surface and mixing the collected sample with the luciferin-luciferase enzyme complex, light is emitted in direct proportion to the volume of ATP present on the surface³¹.

This light is quantified in Relative Light Units (RLU) using a luminometer³⁰. The establishment of strict RLU thresholds allows facility managers to objectively verify sanitation efficacy prior to the commencement of processing. Empirical studies indicate that enforcing a stringent threshold, such as a maximum limit of 390 RLU per 100 square centimeters of surface area, provides an objective baseline for surface cleanliness, ensuring that organic biofilms are eradicated before raw fillets come into contact with processing equipment²⁹.

Parasitic Inactivation Kinetics

An obligatory pre-processing or post-processing consideration for any fish intended for raw or semi-raw consumption (including sushi, sashimi, and lightly marinated ceviches) is the absolute inactivation of zoonotic parasites, most notably the infective third-stage (L3) larvae of the nematode *Anisakis simplex*³³. To eliminate viable *Anisakis* larvae and prevent human anisakiasis, stringent freezing protocols have been established by regulatory bodies³⁵.

According to EU Regulation No. 1276/2011 (amending EC 853/2004), the core temperature of the fish must be rapidly lowered and maintained at -20°C for no less than 24 hours, or at -35°C for at least 15 hours³⁵. The kinetics of this freezing process are highly variable and critically dependent on the specific thermodynamic capabilities of the freezing equipment and the physical mass of the fish³⁹. Laboratory freezing kinetics demonstrate that rapid freezing (utilizing air-blast freezers that pass through the zone of maximum ice crystal formation within 30 minutes) dramatically minimizes the time required for the biological core to reach the critical thermal minimum³⁶.

Empirical viability trials comparing bare larvae to those embedded in mackerel tissue demonstrate severe discrepancies between rapid and conventional freezing methodologies. In embedded trials, rapid freezers achieved a core temperature of -20°C in an average of 81 minutes, with a tight standard deviation of just 13 minutes³⁹. Conversely, conventional freezers required an average of 981 minutes (over 16 hours) to reach the same -20°C core temperature, with a massive standard deviation of 171 minutes, introducing severe unpredictability based purely on the size of the teleost³⁹. Because slow freezing allows the formation of macro-ice crystals that rupture the delicate myofibrillar sarcolemma, rapid freezing is deemed strictly superior; it ensures the complete cessation of larval motility while simultaneously preserving the structural and textural integrity of the surrounding muscle tissue³⁶.

Freezing Methodology	Target Core Temperature	Average Time to Reach Core Temp	Standard Deviation	Larval Viability Post-Hold (24h)
Rapid Air-Blast Freezer	-20 °C	81 minutes	± 13 minutes	0% (Complete Inactivation)
Conventional Freezer	-20 °C	981 minutes	± 171 minutes	0% (Complete Inactivation)

Procedural Execution of Scaling and Evisceration

The initial physical processing stages—scaling, gill extraction, and evisceration—are explicitly designed to strip the teleost of its highest microbial reservoirs while meticulously preserving the structural integrity of the edible flesh. Execution requires precision to prevent the

catastrophic release of visceral enzymes.

The epidermal scales of a teleost serve as a primary physical defense mechanism, but their complex, overlapping structures harbor significant bacterial colonies trapped within the mucosal layer⁵. The removal of these scales is functionally necessary for both aesthetic presentation and sanitary processing. To maximize efficiency and prevent the aerosolization of contaminated biological matter, professional processors employ a submerged scaling technique⁴². The fish is completely submerged in a basin of cold, clean water. Using short, firm strokes with a specialized scaler or the rigid back of a knife, the operator scrapes from the caudal peduncle (tail) anteriorly toward the cranial region (head)²². The fluid medium immediately captures the dislodged scales and mucus, preventing them from adhering to the cutting board, the operator's uniform, or other sterile processing surfaces⁴². For flatfish, the scaling process is executed on both the pigmented and blind sides, although the unpigmented blind side typically possesses finer, less prominent scaling¹².

Following scaling, the gills must be addressed. As the primary respiratory filtration system, the gills are highly vascularized and serve as a massive repository for ambient aquatic bacteria⁵. If left intact, the residual blood within the gill arches rapidly oxidizes, causing severe bitter off-flavors and accelerating the localized putrefaction of the surrounding cranial tissue¹². To extract them cleanly, the processor fans open the gill flap (operculum) and executes a sharp incision along the dorsal and ventral attachment points of the branchial arches. The entire gill matrix is then gripped firmly and pulled out as a single, cohesive unit and discarded⁴³.

The evisceration, or gutting, process requires the highest degree of anatomical awareness. The visceral cavity contains highly active proteolytic and lipolytic digestive enzymes alongside dense enteric bacterial populations³. If the stomach or intestinal tracts are punctured or lacerated during evisceration, these digestive enzymes and bacteria flood the sterile abdominal cavity, rapidly initiating autolysis. In actively feeding pelagic species, such as herring and capelin, failure to rapidly and cleanly eviscerate the fish leads to an irreversible condition known as "belly burst," an extreme autolytic event where the animal's own leaked digestive enzymes literally liquefy its ventral musculature, rendering the product completely unsalable³.

For round fish, the evisceration protocol is initiated by inserting the tip of a rigid knife into the vent (the posterior anal opening) at a shallow, upward angle²². The operator executes a single, smooth, continuous incision anteriorly along the ventral midline, terminating exactly at the base of the gill structure²². It is imperative during this motion that the blade only barely breaches the dermal and muscular layers, actively avoiding any penetration into the underlying digestive organs⁴⁴. The viscera are then manually scooped out of the cavity²². Once the primary organs are removed, the processor must address the kidney. In teleosts, the kidney manifests as a dark streak of coagulated blood (the "bloodline") running retroperitoneally along the ventral side of the spinal column²². This organ must be forcefully scraped away using a specialized pointed spoon, a blunt knife edge, or the operator's thumbnail²². Finally, the entire abdominal cavity is aggressively flushed with cold, running water to clear any residual biological matter or blood²². Due to their compressed anatomy, flatfish evisceration relies on a peripheral cranial methodology rather than a longitudinal ventral slit. Because the digestive tract of benthic

flatfish deteriorates with extreme rapidity, they are frequently gutted immediately upon capture aboard the harvesting vessel²². The flatfish is laid on the processing surface with its pigmented side facing up¹². The processor makes an oblique, V-shaped incision around the posterior curve of the head, intentionally severing the connective tissue and the axial skeleton just behind the cranial structure¹². The operator then grasps the head gently but firmly and executes a quick, twisting turn, pulling the head away from the body¹². Because the viscera and gills are anatomically tethered to the cranial cavity, this pulling motion extracts the head, gills, and the entire visceral mass simultaneously as a single, intact unit¹². This highly specialized technique effectively bypasses the need to slice into the main abdominal cavity, entirely mitigating the risk of intestinal puncture and preserving the absolute maximum yield of the surrounding delicate flesh¹².

Filleting Methodologies: Manual Execution and Robotic Automation

Filleting is the precise mechanical separation of the lateral muscle blocks from the axial skeleton. The primary objective is to glide the cutting blade across the bone matrix with sufficient pressure to harvest every possible gram of viable protein, without cutting into the bones themselves or inadvertently shredding the delicate myomeres. The success of this operation relies equally on the metallurgical properties of the instrumentation and the precise kinematic execution of the cuts.

Instrumentation and Metallurgy

The geometric profile and metallurgical composition of the filleting knife profoundly dictate the resulting yield and the extent of cellular tissue damage. High-quality commercial fish processing knives are forged from high-chromium stainless steel alloys (such as VG-10 or 10Cr15CoMoV) that are subjected to advanced thermal treatments to achieve a Rockwell Hardness (HRC) rating between 56 and 62⁴⁵. A higher HRC rating allows the blade to take and hold a highly acute edge over thousands of repetitive cuts; this sharpness reduces the sheer physical force required to cleave the tissue, which in turn minimizes cellular crushing and the premature release of intracellular proteases⁴⁵.

The choice of blade profile is largely determined by the regional processing tradition and the specific morphology of the target species. The Western flexible fillet knife features an elongated, highly narrow blade with a significant degree of lateral flex, typically sharpened to a symmetrical double bevel of 20 to 25 degrees⁴⁵. This engineered flexibility allows the operator to actively bend the blade flat against the rib cage, making it highly effective for maneuvering around the complex, convex skeletal structures of round fish to minimize yield loss⁴⁵.

Conversely, Japanese fish butchery utilizes highly specialized, rigidly forged, single-bevel tools with extreme edge angles sharpened to an acute 15 to 16 degrees⁴⁷. The Deba is a heavy, thick-spined knife explicitly designed to decapitate fish and power through the robust cartilage and rib bones of large specimens without suffering torsional twisting or blade deflection⁴⁸. The Yanagiba, meanwhile, is a long, slender blade utilized strictly for draw-cutting boneless fillets into precise, flawless sashimi slices; it is never used for initial bone-contact filleting, as its

delicate, highly acute edge is prone to catastrophic micro-chipping if forced against the axial skeleton⁴⁸.

Execution of Round Fish Filleting

The manual filleting of a round fish generally follows a lateral approach, progressing methodically from the cranial to the caudal regions. The processor begins by lifting the pectoral fin and making an angled, downward incision just behind the collarbone, driving the blade down until it makes hard contact with the central spine²². If the head remains attached, executing a V-shaped cut around the collar preserves the thick, highly desirable "nape" meat²². Following the initial cranial incision, the blade is inserted at the dorsal midline. Utilizing the dorsal fin rays as a physical guide, the knife slices smoothly down toward the vertebrae, effectively separating the epaxial musculature from the neural spines²². The blade is then turned horizontally and pulled in long, continuous, sweeping strokes over the central vertebrae, moving toward the tail²². Maintaining steady, downward pressure ensures that no meat is left lingering between the vertebral gaps²².

When navigating the visceral cavity, the processor faces a critical decision that impacts both speed and yield: navigating through the ribs or over the ribs. In the "through the ribs" technique, the processor turns the blade flat and slices directly through the rib bones where they attach to the spine⁴⁹. The fillet is removed with the rib cage fully embedded in the flesh, which must be subsequently shaved off in a secondary trimming step⁴⁹. While this method is exceedingly fast, it results in a minor yield loss during the secondary trim. Alternatively, in the "over the ribs" technique, the operator utilizes a highly flexible blade, bending it over the convex curve of the rib cage and carefully teasing the flesh away while leaving the ribs attached to the main carcass²². This technique preserves the absolute maximum yield on the fillet but demands a significantly higher degree of technical proficiency²². Once the ribs are cleared, the fillet is detached at the tail, and the entire process is repeated on the inverse side of the fish²².

Execution of Flatfish Quartering

Because of the prominent central bisection of the spine and the vast lateral spread of the radial bones, flatfish are not halved; they are filleted using a highly specialized quartering method that yields four distinct pieces¹¹. The procedure commences with the flatfish placed flat on the board, pigmented (dark) side facing upward²¹. The processor executes a deep, linear median incision straight down the visible lateral line, running from the head directly to the tail²¹. This cut must be executed perfectly vertically until the blade makes hard contact with the central spinal ridge²¹.

Following the median incision, the blade is inserted into the cut and angled nearly flat against the radial bones²¹. Using smooth, sweeping strokes, the operator works from the center spine outward toward the dorsal fin margins, gently peeling the top dorsal fillet away from the skeletal matrix²¹. This lateral detachment process is then repeated for the top ventral fillet²¹. Once the pigmented side is completely stripped, the fish is flipped over to expose the blind side, and the two remaining fillets are extracted utilizing the exact same median-to-margin technique²¹.

Industrial Automation and Robotic Processing Platforms

While manual filleting allows for nuanced, real-time anatomical adjustments, large-scale commercial processing facilities increasingly rely on highly advanced automated robotic systems to maximize throughput and standardise yield⁸. Platforms such as the BAADER 582, the BAADER 988, and the Marel FleXicut utilize complex 3D laser scanning arrays and artificial intelligence decision modules to dynamically map the unique geometric volume and topography of each individual fish as it enters the processing line².

By dynamically adjusting the cutting trajectory of high-speed rotary blades in real-time, these automated systems achieve unparalleled physical consistency². Modern robotic filleting lines can comfortably process between 60 to 140 fish per minute, vastly outpacing human operators, and generally deliver critical yield improvements of 4% to 8% over traditional manual filleting⁸.

Following the filleting stage, automated skinning machines, such as the Trio FDS 5N-S or the BAADER 240, are deployed to remove the dermal layer⁵⁵. The Trio FDS 5N-S utilizes a specialized freezing drum technology, wherein the skin surface instantaneously freezes to a cold drum, allowing the machine to peel the skin away without putting any physical strain on the delicate fish meat⁵⁵. This is particularly advantageous for deep skinning or for processing soft fillets with weak structural integrity⁵⁵. These continuous systems offer adjustable skinning depths (ranging from 0.1 mm to 30 mm) and significantly minimize the risk of microbial cross-contamination by drastically reducing direct human contact with the raw material⁸.

The Biomechanics of Pin Bone Extraction and Defect Mitigation

Upon successful removal from the main carcass, round fish fillets still contain the sharp, floating intermuscular bones (pin bones) firmly embedded in the cranial end of the high-value loin¹⁵. Removing these bones without damaging the surrounding premium tissue is a critical challenge in high-value seafood processing. Processors face a fundamental choice between mechanically pulling the pin bones out of the fillet one by one, or excising them completely using specific, destructive knife cuts.

When excising the bones via cutting, operators utilize the V-cut or J-cut techniques⁴⁹. The operator makes two angled incisions along either side of the lateral pin bone line, completely excising a narrow, V-shaped strip of flesh that contains all the bones⁴⁹. In a J-cut variant, the fatty ventral nape is also removed along with the bones⁶⁰. While this method is extremely rapid, cutting physically removes premium loin meat, resulting in an inherent, unavoidable yield loss of approximately 5% to 6% per fillet⁵⁸.

To preserve maximum yield and the visual integrity of the fillet, the pin bones must be physically pulled out⁵⁸. In manual operations, operators utilize specialized stainless steel pliers or tweezers to grip each bone⁵⁹. The critical kinematic requirement is that the operator must pull the bone in the exact direction of its natural anatomical alignment—typically angled slightly forward toward the cranial end and arched slightly upward⁵⁹. Pulling the bone against its natural grain causes it to snap prematurely or violently shred the delicate myomeres, resulting in deep

aesthetic gouges in the flesh⁵⁹.

The biomechanical force required to extract a pin bone is highly dependent on the state of rigor mortis and the specific species being processed⁶². Empirical texture analysis utilizing micro X-ray computational tomography demonstrates that the pin bones in Atlantic salmon require a significantly higher pulling force to extract than the pin bones of the rainbow trout⁶². Interestingly, while trout pin bones are anatomically longer and physically stronger than salmon pin bones, they possess a lower total volume and exert less resistance against the surrounding connective tissue⁶².

Attempting to pull pin bones during the pre-rigor phase results in severe structural damage and gaping, as the connective tissue tightly anchors the bone to the muscle fibers¹⁸.

Consequently, pin bone extraction in salmonids is almost exclusively performed post-rigor, after endogenous autolytic enzymes have begun to mildly degrade the collagenous attachments (the myocomma), significantly lowering the required extraction force and mitigating the risk of tissue tearing¹⁸.

To bridge the gap between high-yield manual pulling and industrial speed requirements, modern processors employ automated pin bone removers equipped with rotating mechanical picking wheels, such as the BAADER 867 or systems engineered by Uni-Food Technic⁵⁶. These highly intelligent systems utilize sensory technology to measure each individual fillet and automatically adjust the alignment and pressure of the mechanical rollers⁶⁵. By dynamically adapting to variations in fillet thickness and size, these automated systems successfully extract up to 95% of pin bones while preserving 0.5% to 1.0% more yield than traditional, non-intelligent mechanical models⁶⁵. For absolute perfection, final inspections are often conducted using semi-automatic handheld rotary tools, such as the BAADER 75, which allow operators to rapidly clear any remaining anomalous bones without sacrificing line speed⁵⁶.

Biochemical Spoilage Pathways and Autolytic Degradation

From the exact moment the teleost is harvested, three highly interconnected degradation pathways commence simultaneously: autolytic enzymatic activity, microbial proliferation, and the chemical oxidation of lipids³. Maximizing the shelf life of the final fillet demands a processing intervention strategy that specifically targets the root biochemical mechanisms of each pathway.

Autolysis, which literally translates to "self-digestion," is the earliest phase of spoilage and is driven entirely by the fish's own endogenous enzyme systems⁴. In living tissue, metabolic homeostasis maintains the cellular environment at a stable, near-neutral pH of 7.0 to 7.2⁶⁷. Upon death, blood circulation immediately ceases, depriving the muscle cells of oxygen and terminating aerobic respiration⁶⁷. The tissue rapidly shifts to anaerobic glycolysis in a final attempt to generate energy, metabolizing stored muscular glycogen into lactic acid⁶⁷. The rapid accumulation of lactic acid drives the post-mortem pH of the fish muscle down from 6.8 to an ultimate rigor value between 6.1 and 6.5 in species like cod, and potentially as low as 5.4 in highly active species like tuna and halibut⁶⁷.

This seemingly modest acidification triggers a profound biochemical phase shift within the cellular architecture⁶⁷. The acidic environment induces Lysosomal Membrane Permeabilization (LMP)⁶⁷. Lysosomes are cellular organelles that safely house a suite of highly aggressive proteolytic enzymes known as cathepsins, keeping them segregated from the rest of the cell⁶⁶. The post-mortem pH drop ruptures the lysosomal membranes, liberating the cathepsins directly into the cell cytoplasm and the surrounding sarcoplasm⁶⁶. Cathepsins—specifically Cathepsin D (an aspartic protease that exhibits maximum activity near pH 4.0) and Cathepsin L (a cysteine protease highly active around pH 5.5)—are functionally optimized for these precise acidic environments⁶⁷. Once free, they immediately begin aggressively cleaving the structural myofibrillar proteins, primarily actin and myosin, which provide the fillet with its rigid, firm integrity⁶⁶. Concurrently, cytosolic neutral calcium-activated proteases known as calpains (specifically μ -calpain and m-calpain) contribute synergistically to this myofibrillar degradation³. The macroscopic result of this unchecked autolysis is extreme tissue softening, the drastic loss of water-holding capacity, and the onset of "gaping" (the physical separation of muscle flakes), rendering the fillet aesthetically and texturally unacceptable for commercial sale²⁵.

To quantify the earliest stages of this autolytic degradation, the industry monitors the enzymatic catabolism of adenosine triphosphate (ATP)³. The rapid sequential breakdown of ATP into adenosine diphosphate (ADP), adenosine monophosphate (AMP), inosine monophosphate (IMP), inosine (INO), and finally hypoxanthine (Hx) is precisely quantified by the K -value³. The K -value serves as an internationally recognized index of nucleotide breakdown, functioning as an extremely reliable, early-stage chemical indicator of fish freshness long before microbial spoilage visually manifests³.

Autolytic Enzyme Group	Primary Substrate Target	Macroscopic Effect on Fillet Quality
Glycolytic Enzymes	Glycogen	Lactic acid production, pH drop
Nucleotide Enzymes	ATP, ADP, AMP, IMP	Production of hypoxanthine (Hx)
Cathepsins (B, D, L)	Myofibrillar proteins, peptides	Severe tissue softening, structural collapse
Calpains	Myofibrillar proteins	Softening, cellular degradation

Collagenases	Connective tissue (myocomma)	Tissue gaping, separation of myomeres
--------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Microbial Spoilage, Volatile Sulfur Compounds, and MAP Interventions

As autolytic enzymes break down complex structural proteins into free amino acids and small peptides, they inadvertently create a highly nutritious, easily accessible substrate for bacterial colonization⁴. When freshly processed teleosts are chilled and stored aerobically on ice (in the optimal 0°C to 5°C range), the specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) responsible for the putrefaction of the marine fish are predominantly psychrotrophic Gram-negative bacteria, most notably *Shewanella putrefaciens* and various *Pseudomonas* species²⁵.

A defining biochemical signature of marine fish spoilage is the bacterial reduction of Trimethylamine Oxide (TMAO)³. Marine teleosts naturally accumulate vast quantities of TMAO in their tissues, utilizing it as an essential osmolyte to regulate cellular fluid balance against the hypertonic marine environment³. *Shewanella putrefaciens*, alongside numerous species of the *Vibrionaceae* and *Photobacterium* families, possess the unique ability to utilize TMAO as a terminal electron acceptor in anaerobic respiration⁷². This specific enzymatic reduction converts the naturally odorless TMAO into Trimethylamine (TMA)³. TMA is the highly volatile compound uniquely and universally responsible for the pungent, characteristic "fishy" odor associated with stale seafood³.

Concurrently, the bacterial metabolism of sulfur-containing amino acids (such as methionine and cysteine) by these psychrotrophic organisms results in the liberation of highly noxious

volatile sulfur compounds⁸¹. These include hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), methyl mercaptan, and dimethyl sulfide, which collectively produce putrid, rotten-egg off-odors⁸³. Together with TMA and ambient ammonia, these compounds comprise the Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVB-N) metric³. TVB-N serves as the primary threshold indicator utilized by global food safety authorities to quantify late-stage bacterial spoilage and determine the absolute limit of commercial acceptability³.

To aggressively suppress the proliferation of aerobic spoilage bacteria like *Pseudomonas*, the modern seafood industry extensively utilizes Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP)²⁸. By

flushing the packaging with high concentrations of Carbon Dioxide (CO_2)—typically displacing standard oxygen and nitrogen—the internal environment becomes inherently

bacteriostatic²⁸. CO_2 dissolves into the aqueous phase of the fish tissue to form carbonic acid, which forcibly lowers the intracellular pH of the contaminating bacteria, disrupts membrane permeability, and significantly extends the lag phase of microbial growth²⁸. However, MAP technology introduces a profound biological paradox. *Photobacterium*

phosphoreum, a highly efficient TMA-producing marine bacterium, is remarkably tolerant to elevated CO_2 levels²⁸. In high- CO_2 , anaerobic MAP environments, the naturally dominant aerobic bacteria are suppressed, allowing *P. phosphoreum* to rapidly outcompete the remaining microflora and emerge as the dominant specific spoilage organism⁸⁹. The growth parameters of *P. phosphoreum* under MAP conditions follow a highly predictable logistic growth curve, where the generation time (t_{gen}) is defined by the maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}) through the relation $t_{gen} = \ln(2)/\mu_{max}$ ⁸⁹. To combat this unexpected bacterial succession, advanced MAP formulations integrate calculated ratios of Oxygen (O_2) alongside CO_2 . Introducing oxygen induces severe oxidative stress on *Photobacterium* while simultaneously utilizing the CO_2 to suppress standard aerobes⁸⁷. Empirical electronic nose characterization and microbial analyses indicate that highly specific gas mixtures—such as 60% CO_2 , 10% O_2 , and 30% N_2 —provide the optimal equilibrium for species like Atlantic salmon, successfully decreasing total mesophilic bacterial counts by 2.8 log cfu/g while preserving the original odor profile and preventing accelerated myofibrillar protein degradation⁸⁷.

Biogenic Amines and Scombrototoxicosis Management

While TMA and volatile sulfur compounds render the fish organoleptically repulsive and unmarketable, the microbial production of biogenic amines poses a severe, direct toxicological threat to human health¹⁰. Biogenic amines are low-molecular-weight organic bases synthesized through the microbial decarboxylation of free amino acids, a process catalyzed by specific decarboxylase enzymes secreted by the contaminating bacteria¹⁰.

The most critical and heavily regulated biogenic hazard in seafood is histamine¹⁰. Histamine is synthesized from the amino acid histidine via the enzyme histidine decarboxylase, which is primarily secreted by enteric bacteria from the *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonadaceae* families¹⁰. The consumption of fish containing elevated histamine levels causes Scombroid food poisoning (histamine toxicity), triggering severe allergic-type cardiovascular disturbances, facial flushing, gastrointestinal trauma, and potentially life-threatening anaphylactic-like responses¹⁰.

Because histamine formation is a strictly enzyme-driven process, it is highly

temperature-dependent, accelerating rapidly when storage temperatures breach the 4°C threshold⁹. Once the histidine decarboxylase enzyme is synthesized by the bacteria, it can continue to produce histamine even if the bacteria themselves are subsequently killed by cooking or freezing¹⁰². Consequently, maintaining an absolute, unbroken cold chain from the moment of harvest is the sole effective preventive measure⁹⁸. Regulatory frameworks strictly monitor histamine levels as an indicator of temperature abuse, with the U.S. FDA citing concentrations above 50 ppm as adulteration, while EU regulations mandate maximum limits

ranging between 100 to 200 mg/kg for fresh fishery products²⁴. Other free amino acids released during autolysis serve as precursors for a suite of secondary biogenic amines. Tyrosine is decarboxylated into tyramine (which can induce severe hypertensive crises in individuals taking monoamine oxidase inhibitors), ornithine is converted into putrescine, and lysine is degraded into cadaverine¹⁰. While putrescine and cadaverine are less acutely toxic than histamine on their own, they act as potent potentiators that actively exacerbate histamine toxicity by interfering with the human body's histamine-detoxifying enzymes (DAO and HNMT)⁹⁷. Furthermore, these secondary amines serve as highly reliable diagnostic biomarkers for advanced proteolytic spoilage⁹⁷. Advanced analytical techniques, such as dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) followed by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), utilize the presence and specific ratios of putrescine, cadaverine, and tyramine to accurately differentiate between safe and borderline-failing decomposition stages in commercial seafood that are otherwise indistinguishable to the untrained human nose¹⁰⁵.

Target Biogenic Amine	Precursor Amino Acid	Primary Toxicological / Diagnostic Effect
Histamine	Histidine	Scombroid poisoning, severe allergic response
Tyramine	Tyrosine	Hypertensive crisis (MAOI interaction)
Putrescine	Ornithine	Histamine potentiator, spoilage biomarker
Cadaverine	Lysine	Histamine potentiator, spoilage biomarker

Conclusion

The successful, economically viable processing of round and flatfish rests upon an integrated mastery of anatomical geometry, biomechanical force application, and rigorous biochemical control. The distinct musculoskeletal architecture of each species directly dictates the required filleting methodology—whether executing the vertical, lateral-line quartering of a benthic Dover sole, or carefully navigating the epineural pin bone matrix of a pelagic Atlantic salmon. While manual processing with specialized, high-hardness metallurgy allows for artisanal precision and the preservation of delicate nape meat, the advent of 3D-laser guided robotic processing platforms provides unmatched yield maximization, throughput speed, and baseline hygiene in modern commercial settings.

Ultimately, mechanical yield optimization is rendered entirely irrelevant if the biochemical integrity of the harvested fillet is compromised. Processors must operate in a perpetual race against the organism's own autolytic programming, where even minor post-mortem pH shifts unleash aggressive lysosomal cathepsins that systematically dismantle the structural myofibrillar matrix of the flesh. Concurrently, any environmental temperature abuse immediately invites the exponential proliferation of psychrotrophic organisms that rapidly convert the fish's natural osmolytes into noxious volatile sulfur compounds and highly toxic biogenic amines. By executing precise, non-destructive evisceration techniques, adhering strictly to absolute thermodynamic thresholds, and employing advanced, mixed-gas atmospheric packaging technologies, the processing industry can successfully arrest the spoilage cascade, ensuring the delivery of a safe, high-yield, and organoleptically superior teleost product.

Works cited

1. Cutting Techniques in the Fish Industry: A Critical Review - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9602022/>
2. Fish Processing Machines with Advanced Automation for Enhanced, <https://www.ijert.org/fish-processing-machines-with-advanced-automation-for-enhanced-efficiency>
3. Key Chemical Parameters in Fish Spoilage - Agriculture Institute, <https://agriculture.institute/quality-assurance-dfpt/key-chemical-parameters-fish-spoilage/>
4. Fish Spoilage Mechanisms and Preservation Techniques: Review, <https://thescipub.com/pdf/ajassp.2010.859.877.pdf>
5. Microbial spoilage of fish and fish products and its preservation, <https://microbenotes.com/microbial-spoilage-of-fish-preservation/>
6. Salmon Yields - Chefs Resources, <https://www.chefs-resources.com/seafood/salmon-yields/>
7. Cod Flavor & Texture | Atlantic & Pacific Cod Taste - Chefs Resources, <https://www.chefs-resources.com/seafood/finfish/cod-culinary-profile/>
8. Robotic Fish Filleting Systems Market Research Report 2034, <https://dataintelo.com/report/robotic-fish-filleting-systems-market>
9. HISTAMINE LEVEL AND HISTAMINE-PRODUCING BACTERIA, <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20230371699>
10. (PDF) Biogenic Amines in Seafood - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381658028_Biogenic_Amines_in_Seafood
11. Unit 4 Fish& Sea Food | PDF | Fish | Seafood - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/1066168381/Unit-4-Fish-Sea-Food>
12. Gutting and Trimming Flatfish Guide | PDF | Fish - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/483052725/FLATFISH>
13. (PDF) The codfishes (Family: Gadidae) - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287708282_The_codfishes_Family_Gadidae

14. Dictionary of Ichthyology - Brian W. Coad,
<http://www.briancoad.com/dictionary/complete%20dictionary.htm>
15. Intermuscular Bones in Asian Carps: Health Threats, Solutions, and,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363455576_Intermuscular_Bones_in_Asian_Carps_Health_Threats_Solutions_and_Future_Directions
16. The osteology of the Pacific deep-bodied anchovy, Anchoa,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230483897_The_osteology_of_the_Pacific_deep-bodied_anchovy_Anchoa_compressa
17. Laboratory Manual on Fundamental Ichthyology,
http://archives-contents.chikyu.ac.jp/3704/LaboratoryManualOnFundamental_Ichthyology.pdf
18. Weakening Pin Bone Attachment in Fish Fillets Using High-Intensity,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/6/9/82>
19. Fish welfare in aquaculture — Problems and approaches,
http://www.ign-nutztierhaltung.ch/sites/default/files/PDF/IGN_FOKUS_20_Aquakultur_en.pdf
20. EUROPEAN AQUACULTURE COMPETITIVENESS,
[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/etudes/join/2009/431569/IPOLE-P_ECH_ET\(2009\)431569_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/etudes/join/2009/431569/IPOLE-P_ECH_ET(2009)431569_EN.pdf)
21. How to Breakdown Flat Fish? - Dover Sole - YouTube,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RWQeRN3xGWO>
22. Video: how to gut, scale and fillet a fish with Darina Allen,
<https://theecologist.org/2009/nov/19/video-how-gut-scale-and-fillet-fish-darina-allen>
23. Fish Yield Chart: 17 Species, How Much Fillet You Get,
<https://reluctantgourmet.com/fish-yields/>
24. Biogenic Amines in Raw and Processed Seafood - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00188/full>
25. Ch12, <https://www.fao.org/4/v7180e/V7180E0c.htm>
26. Landratsamt Breisgau-Hochschwarzwald,
https://www.breisgau-hochschwarzwald.de/pb/site/Breisgau-Hochschwarzwald/get/documents_E-1538564162/breisgau-hochschwarzwald/Dateien/Dokumente/Dezernat3/390/Lebensmittel/20250224_Merkblatt_Temperaturanforderungen_englisch.pdf
27. Recommendations - Aquaculture Welfare Standards Initiative,
<https://www.aquaculture-welfare-standards.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/ita-eu-hygiene-package-application-recommendations-copyright-permission-by-iff.pdf>
28. Modified atmosphere packaging of fish and fishery products: A review,
<https://www.entomoljournal.com/archives/2020/vol8issue4/PartK/8-3-429-714.pdf>
29. ATP bioluminescence method as a rapid tool for assessment of,
<http://library.csbe-scgab.ca/docs/journal/62/C20525.pdf>
30. atp-testing-surfaces-water-rlu-data-hygiene-monitoring - Generon,

- <https://www.generon-food-safety.com/atp-testing-on-surfaces-and-water-how-to-use-rlu-data-in-hygiene-monitoring/>
31. What Is ATP Testing? RLU Scores Explained | Swiff & Span,
<https://swiffandspan.com/blog/what-is-atp-testing-rlu-scores-explained/>
 32. What Is ATP Testing? Overview, Process & Best Practices,
<https://www.charm.com/atp-testing-overview/>
 33. Negligible risk of zoonotic anisakid nematodes in farmed fish from,
<https://cris.unibo.it/retrieve/e1dcb336-679f-7715-e053-1705fe0a6cc9/eurosurv-26-2-2.pdf>
 34. Discrimination between Fresh and Frozen-Thawed Fish Involved in,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7766691/>
 35. control of fishborne parasites in the food industry,
<https://www.foodprotection.org/members/fpt-archive-articles/2019-07-control-of-fishborne-parasites-in-the-food-industry/>
 36. Rapid and Conventional Freezing Conditions of Fish for ... - J-Stage,
https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/foodsafetyfscj/13/1/13_D-24-00015/_article/-char/ja/
 37. Anisakid Nematodes and Potential Risk of Human Anisakiasis,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/11/6/622>
 38. EUROPEAN GUIDE TO GOOD PRACTICE FOR SMOKED AND/OR,
https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2018-10/biosafety_fh_guidance_essa_smoked-salted-marinaded-fish.pdf
 39. Rapid and Conventional Freezing Conditions of Fish for the ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11937842/>
 40. Freezing kinetic parameters influence allergenic and infective,
<https://digital.csic.es/bitstream/10261/219383/3/Freezing%20kinetic%20parameter%20influence%20allergenic%20and%20infective.pdf>
 41. How to Gut and Scale a Fish: A Step-by-Step Guide,
<https://www.manettas.com.au/blogs/how-to-gut-and-scale-a-fish-a-step-by-step-guide>
 42. How to Scale Fish Without a Mess - YouTube,
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/Bie5V0K5IHQ>
 43. How to clean your seafood - Fishfiles,
<https://www.fishfiles.com.au/preparing-seafood/how-to-guides/how-to-clean-your-seafood>
 44. How to Gut a Fish Properly: Step-by-Step Cleaning & Scaling - Huk,
<https://huk.com/blogs/news/how-to-gut-fish>
 45. How to Choose the Right Fish Fillet Knife - AFTCO,
<https://www.aftco.com/blogs/product-resources/how-to-choose-the-right-fish-fillet-knife>
 46. Fillet Knife Buying Guide | XINZUO Australia,
<https://xinzuo.com.au/blogs/news/fillet-knife-buying-guide>
 47. Japanese Fish Knife Types: Expert Guide to Styles and Uses,
<https://leeknives.com/japanese-fish-knife-types/>
 48. Japanese Fillet Knife: Best Knife for Filleting Fish - Santoku Knives,

- <https://santokuknives.co.uk/blogs/blog/what-is-the-best-japanese-fish-knife-for-filleting>
49. 9 Cuts Of Fish, Explained - Tasting Table,
<https://www.tastingtable.com/1319437/cuts-fish-explained/>
 50. The Larder Chef - State Institute of Hotel Management Balangir Odisha,
<https://www.sihmbalangir.org/upload/The%20Larder%20Chef.pdf>
 51. Filleting Flatfish: Step-by-Step Guide | PDF - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/483052639/cutting-four-fillets>
 52. How to Filet a Flat Fish — Eating with the Ecosystem,
<https://www.eatingwiththeecosystem.org/howtofiletaflatfish>
 53. Groundfish Processing - BAADER Fish,
<https://www.baader.com/fish/species/groundfish>
 54. Will AI Replace Fish Processing Worker Jobs? | JobZone Risk,
<https://jobzonerisk.com/roles/fish-processing-worker>
 55. TRIO FDS 5N-S - BAADER Fish, <https://www.baader.com/product/trio-fds-5n-s>
 56. Every Fillet Deserves a Perfect Finish - BAADER Fish,
<https://www.baader.com/media/blog/every-fillet-deserves-a-perfect-finish>
 57. The Complete Guide to Choosing a Fish Skinning Machine,
<https://fishcutting.com/how-to-choose-fish-skinning-machine/>
 58. seafood.media,
<https://seafood.media/fis/techno/printable.asp?id=35813&l=e&ndb=1&print=yes>
 59. Deboning Trout & Steelhead - It's All About Salmonoid Anatomy by,
<https://salmontroutsteelheader.com/blogs/articles/deboning-trout>
 60. Different cuts of fish - Frymax,
<https://frymax.co.uk/fish-chips/different-cuts-of-fish/>
 61. Boneless fish pieces in defined weights - Eurofish,
<https://eurofish.dk/boneless-fish-pieces-in-defined-weights/>
 62. Evaluation of Salmon (*Salmo salar*) and Rainbow Trout ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6582037/>
 63. Evaluation of Salmon (*Salmo salar*) and Rainbow Trout ... - PubMed,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31274898/>
 64. System for estimation of pin bone positions in pre-rigor salmon,
<https://www.sintef.no/en/publications/publication/0198cc947cb5-1a6b1060-cac3-4822-a7b6-5d8531cd51f2/>
 65. Pin bone removal | Efficient and yield optimising - Uni-Food Technic,
<https://uni-food.com/processing-steps/pin-bone-removal/>
 66. Enzymes in Autolysis: How They Affect Fish Spoilage,
<https://agriculture.institute/quality-assurance-dfpt/enzymes-autolysis-fish-spoilage/>
 67. The Impact of Acidic pH on Autolytic Enzymes in Fish Spoilage,
<https://agriculture.institute/quality-assurance-dfpt/acidic-ph-impact-autolytic-enzymes-fish-spoilage/>
 68. Contribution of cathepsin, calpain and proteasome to the post,
<http://www.icj-e.org/download/ICJE-7-12-549-562.pdf>
 69. Calpain and cathepsin activities in post mortem fish and meat muscles,

- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222514678_Calpain_and_cathepsin_activities_in_post_mortem_fish_and_meat_muscles
70. 5. Postmortem changes in fish - FAO Knowledge Repository,
<https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e4f57c4e-b670-45fd-9f41-d12d3c3811af/content/V7180e06.htm>
 71. High pressure effects on the activities of cathepsins B and D of,
<https://cjfs.agriculturejournals.cz/pdfs/cjf/2014/02/12.pdf>
 72. Fresh Fish Degradation and Advances in Preservation Using ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8066737/>
 73. 6. Quality changes and shelf life for chilled fish,
<https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e4f57c4e-b670-45fd-9f41-d12d3c3811af/content/v7180e07.htm>
 74. Occurrence of Histamine in Commercial Cat Foods under Different,
<https://air.unimi.it/retrieve/a8fb3b0f-bc34-467a-a89c-80e476f3e097/vetsci-09-00270.pdf>
 75. Chemical and Sensory Changes Associated with Microbial Flora of,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC91082/>
 76. Identifying Major Spoilage Changes in Fish - Agriculture Institute,
<https://agriculture.institute/fish-processing-packaging-value-addition/identifying-fish-spoilage-changes/>
 77. 5. Postmortem changes in fish, <https://www.fao.org/4/v7180e/v7180e06.htm>
 78. Spoilage microbiota of fresh fish: identification - Biblio,
<https://backoffice.biblio.ugent.be/download/2004168/2004176>
 79. Microbial Spoilage in Fish Products | PDF | Decomposition - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/72067005/deterioracao-20de-20peixe-1>
 80. Molecular identification of the dominant microbiota and their,
<https://www.vliz.be/imisdocs/publications/231572.pdf>
 81. Mechanistic Insights into Fish Spoilage and Integrated Preservation,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394009573_Mechanistic_Insights_into_Fish_Spoilage_and_Integrated_Preservation_Technologies
 82. The effect of high oxygen modified atmospheres on the quality,
<https://www.vliz.be/imisdocs/publications/398376.pdf>
 83. Characterization of Volatile Compounds in Chilled Cod (Gadus,
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jf0517804>
 84. Volatilome Analysis and Evolution in the Headspace of Packed,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10378619/>
 85. The regulation of key flavor of traditional fermented food by microbial,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10534219/>
 86. Freshness Quality and Spoilage of Chill-Stored Fish,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233857841_FRESHNESS_QUALITY_AND_SPOILAGE_OF_CHILL-STORED_FISH
 87. Effects of Modified Atmosphere Packaging with Varied CO₂ and O₂,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/11/22/3560>
 88. (PDF) Determination of biogenic amines in fish products,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282351179_Determination_of_biogenic

[amines_in_fish_products](#)

89. Photobacterium phosphoreum,
<http://fssp.food.dtu.dk/Help/MSM/Photobacterium/pp.htm>
90. Impact of Modified Atmospheres on Growth and Metabolism of Meat,
<https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/doc/1663035/document.pdf>
91. Modified Atmosphere Systems and Shelf Life Extension of Fish and,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5302388/>
92. Importance of Photobacterium phosphoreum in relation to spoilage,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229724503_Importance_of_Photobacterium_phosphoreum_in_relation_to_spoilage_of_modified_atmosphere-packed_fish_products
93. An adapted isolation procedure reveals Photobacterium spp. as,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323019884_An_adapted_isolation_procedure_reveals_Photobacterium_spp_as_common_spoilers_on_modified_atmosphere-packed_meats
94. A review of modified atmosphere packaging of fish and fishery,
<https://nofima.com/publication/1070925/>
95. Prevalence, determination, and control of histamine formation in,
<https://qascf.com/index.php/qas/article/view/886>
96. Biogenic amines formation and their importance in fermented foods,
https://www.bio-conferences.org/articles/bioconf/full_html/2020/01/bioconf_fies2020_00232/bioconf_fies2020_00232.html
97. Biogenic Amines in Seafood - Prensip Journals,
<https://prensipjournals.com/ojs/index.php/food/article/download/258/201>
98. Seafood Processing: FDA HACCP Temperature Monitoring 2026,
<https://envigilance.com/blog/seafood-processing/>
99. Histamine Limits by Country - the NOAA Institutional Repository,
https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/62076/noaa_62076_DS1.pdf
100. Keeping seafood safe: EU's latest findings on histamine controls,
<https://ec.europa.eu/newsroom/sante/redirection/item/945328/default/327>
101. Histamine Content in Selected Production Stages of Fish Products,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9945008/>
102. Development of Histamine in Fresh and Canned Tuna Steaks,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/11/24/4034>
103. Formation of Histamine and Other Biogenic Amines During Storage,
<https://www.asianfisheriessociety.org/publication/downloadfile.php?id=631&file=Y0dSbUx6QTVNREI6TnpNd01ERXpOVFU1TURVeE9UTXVjR1Jt>
104. Biogenic amines in fish: roles in intoxication, spoilage ... - PubMed,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19234946/>
105. "This fish smells okay, but is it still good?" Using biogenic amines to,
<https://acs.digitellinc.com/p/s/this-fish-smells-okay-but-is-it-still-good-using-biogenic-amines-to-distinguish-seafood-species-under-several-stages-of-decomposition-410316>