

The Engineering and Optimization of Subterranean Micro-Root Cellars: Repurposing Insulated Appliance Shells

The engineering of subterranean micro-root cellars represents a highly complex interdisciplinary endeavor that demands a rigorous synthesis of geotechnical engineering, thermodynamics, structural hydrology, and post-harvest biology. Historically, the construction of earth-sheltered root cellars required massive concrete or masonry superstructures to withstand the immense dead loads of surrounding soils while maintaining the strict psychrometric parameters required for long-term crop preservation¹. However, an increasingly prevalent architectural methodology involves the repurposing of defunct insulated appliances—specifically, horizontal chest freezers—into buried, modular storage units for hyper-localized vegetable storage².

A decommissioned chest freezer inherently possesses a highly efficient thermal envelope, typically composed of a thin steel monocoque shell injected with high-density polyurethane (PUR) foam. By burying this pre-insulated volume, the internal environment is entirely decoupled from high-frequency, high-amplitude diurnal surface temperature swings, leveraging the earth's immense thermal inertia to maintain a stable, unvarying environment¹. Despite the apparent simplicity of placing a redundant appliance into an excavation trench, the practical execution involves profound engineering and regulatory challenges. The structural shell of a freezer is not designed to withstand lateral earth pressures, nor is it buoyant-resistant when situated within high water tables. Furthermore, the surrounding soil biome contains robust microbial consortia capable of degrading synthetic polymers, and the internal microclimate requires precise aerodynamic management of metabolic gases⁵. This exhaustive report provides a granular, technical analysis of the regulatory compliance, geotechnical mechanics, thermodynamic principles, and biological defenses required to convert a redundant appliance into a high-performance subterranean structure.

Regulatory Compliance and Environmental Decommissioning

Before any structural modifications or excavations can commence, the repurposing of refrigeration appliances is subject to strict environmental, civil, and criminal frameworks. Modern and legacy chest freezers operate utilizing fluorinated greenhouse gases (F-gases), such as R134a, R404A, or R410A, alongside natural refrigerants like isobutane (R600a). The uncontrolled release of these substances into the atmosphere is strictly prohibited by international climate protocols⁹.

The European Union's F-Gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573 marks a paradigm shift in environmental controls, mandating stringent lifecycle management for fluorinated greenhouse gases to curb their extraordinarily high Global Warming Potential (GWP)⁹. Under this legislation, which

entered into force on March 11, 2024, the extraction of refrigerants from defunct appliances cannot be performed by laypersons or unauthorized contractors; it requires direct intervention by certified technicians holding an updated F-gas personal certificate (e.g., the BRL 200 standard)¹¹. The updated regulation forces a rapid phase-down of HFCs and mandates that all existing certifications for F-gas handling personnel must be rigorously updated to comply with new EU-wide benchmarks by March 11, 2027⁹.

The mechanical recovery process involves tapping the appliance's compressor lines and utilizing a dedicated recovery unit equipped with clean, type-appropriate hoses and a calibrated scale. The system must be drawn down to a residual pressure as close to an absolute vacuum as the recovery machine allows¹⁴. Extracted gases are transferred into specific refillable cylinders—strictly colour-coded according to EN 1089-3 standards (utilizing a uniform RAL 7032 grey body with a colour-coded shoulder band)—as the use of disposable cylinders is permanently banned within the EU¹⁴. Technicians must differentiate between recycling, which involves the basic on-site cleaning of the recovered refrigerant via filtering and drying, and reclaiming, which is a full off-site reprocessing to return the refrigerant to virgin specification under standards such as ARI 700¹².

The illegal disposal, venting, or improper physical handling of hazardous electronic waste carries severe punitive measures. In Germany, the Electrical and Electronic Equipment Act (ElektroG) governs the processing of such Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE), requiring appliances to be handled exclusively by certified waste management facilities (Entsorgungsfachbetrieb)¹⁵. Public utility companies, such as the Berliner Stadtreinigung (BSR), facilitate the legal transfer of these units to certified dismantling plants (e.g., BRAL), where the compressors and polyurethane insulation foams containing blowing agents are safely neutralized¹⁸.

The unauthorized burial of an appliance containing hazardous fluids directly invokes the German Criminal Code (§ 326 StGB, "Unerlaubter Umgang mit gefährlichen Abfällen"). The unauthorized treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste that threatens soil, water, or air quality can result in fines or imprisonment for up to five years, escalating to ten years if a concrete danger to human health or the environment is established²². Even negligent environmental violations are heavily prosecuted; corporate or private entities face extensive administrative fines of up to €100,000 under the Circular Economy Act (KrWG)²⁴. Furthermore, the Federal Soil Protection Act (BBodSchG) assigns strict liability to the property owner, the perpetrator, and their legal successors for the complete remediation of any soil contamination (Altlasten) resulting from leaking compressor oils or refrigerants²⁶. Consequently, the appliance must be legally decommissioned, stripped of its compressor and capillary lines, and certified chemically inert before it can be integrated into the soil matrix.

Geothermal Thermodynamics and Thermal Wave Attenuation

The primary objective of an earth-sheltered root cellar is to decouple the internal storage environment from the high-frequency, high-amplitude diurnal temperature swings of the

surface atmosphere. By leveraging the immense thermal inertia of the earth, surface temperature fluctuations are forced to propagate downward as heavily damped, phase-shifted thermal waves, ultimately arriving at the structure months after they occur on the surface¹. Heat transfer through a porous particulate medium like soil is governed by the principles of thermal conduction. To mathematically predict the soil temperature at any specific depth and time of year, geotechnical engineers utilize the analytical model formulated by Kasuda and Archenbach. Derived from the one-dimensional Fourier heat conduction equation, the Kasuda model treats the subsurface as a semi-infinite domain¹. The subsurface temperature,

$T_{soil}(z, t)$, is expressed as:

$$T_{soil}(z, t) = T_{mean} - T_{amp} \cdot \exp\left(-z\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha\tau}}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}(t - t_{shift}) - z\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha\tau}}\right)$$

Where T_{mean} is the mean annual surface temperature, T_{amp} is the amplitude of the annual surface temperature wave, z is the depth below the surface in meters, α is the thermal diffusivity of the soil, τ is the period of the temperature cycle (typically 365 days), t is the current day of the year, and t_{shift} is the day of the year corresponding to the minimum surface temperature¹.

The exponential term in the Kasuda equation governs the amplitude attenuation. Because of the high thermal inertia of the soil, short-period diurnal temperature variations are heavily diminished as depth increases, effectively vanishing beyond a depth of 0.5 to 1.0 meters. The cosine term dictates the temporal phase lag. Empirical data demonstrates that at a depth of 2 to 3 meters, the maximum ground temperature occurs approximately 160 days (5 to 6 months) after the peak surface temperature¹. Below 10 to 15 meters, the annual temperature fluctuation is entirely damped, and the ground temperature stabilizes at the regional mean annual air temperature¹.

The velocity and penetration of the thermal wave are entirely dependent on the thermal diffusivity (α) of the soil, which is mathematically defined as the ratio of thermal conductivity (λ) to volumetric heat capacity (C_v):

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{\rho_b \cdot c_p}$$

The volumetric heat capacity is the product of the soil's bulk density (ρ_b) and its specific heat capacity (c_p). However, soil is a multiphase system consisting of solid mineral particles, liquid water, and atmospheric air. Air is an exceptionally poor thermal conductor, possessing a thermal conductivity approximately 20 times lower than that of liquid water¹. As the volumetric water content of the soil increases, water displaces air within the pore spaces, drastically altering the thermal properties of the matrix. The Johansen method calculates the thermal

conductivity of the soil as a linear interpolation between its completely dry (λ_{dry}) and completely saturated (λ_{sat}) states, weighted by the dimensionless Kersten number (K_e)¹:

$$\lambda = \lambda_{dry} + (\lambda_{sat} - \lambda_{dry})K_e$$

Because both thermal conductivity and heat capacity increase with moisture, their ratio—thermal diffusivity—ex exhibits a highly non-monotonic relationship with soil water content. As a dry soil begins to wet, conductivity increases much faster than heat capacity, causing diffusivity to rise sharply. Diffusivity typically reaches its absolute maximum at a moderate volumetric water content (around 18% to 25%, depending on texture)¹. Beyond this threshold, as the soil approaches total saturation, the relative increase in heat capacity overtakes the increase in conductivity, causing the thermal diffusivity to slowly decline¹.

Soil Classification	Moisture Condition	Thermal Conductivity λ (W/m·K)	Thermal Diffusivity α (10^{-6} m ² /s)	Volumetric Heat Capacity C_v (106 J/m ³ ·K)
Clean Sand	Dry	0.30 - 0.58	0.20 - 0.25	1.2 - 1.6
Clean Sand	Saturated	1.70 - 2.40	0.50 - 0.70	3.1 - 3.4
Sandy Loam	Dry	0.19 - 0.25	0.15 - 0.18	1.1 - 1.3
Sandy Loam	Wet (~21%)	0.70 - 1.12	0.35 - 0.45	2.1 - 2.4
Clay Loam	Saturated	1.20 - 1.50	0.40 - 0.55	3.0 - 3.3

To maintain a 0 — environment in a temperate climate, the micro-cellar cannot be buried at an infinite depth, as the deep-earth steady-state temperature is generally warmer (10 —). Instead, it must be positioned strategically within the shallow active zone, typically 2 to 3 meters deep, capturing the extreme phase-shifted cold of the winter exactly as it arrives in mid-summer¹.

Frost Line Penetration and Heave Mechanics

While leveraging subsurface cooling is essential, the structure must be protected from direct frost penetration. The frost line, or freezing depth, represents the maximum depth below the ground surface at which the pore water in the soil freezes during a severe winter cold period³⁰. Frost lines vary significantly based on geographic latitude, weather conditions, soil type, moisture content, groundwater levels, and surface shading³⁰. In regions like Berlin, Germany,

the normative design frost depth ranges between 80 cm and 120 cm below the ground surface³³.

When pore water freezes, it expands by approximately 9% by volume. This phase change induces frost heave, exerting thousands of pounds of upward structural force on any object embedded within the freezing zone³⁰. Saturated, fine-grained soils such as clays and silts are highly susceptible to frost heave, whereas dry, coarse-grained soils like gravels and clean sands present a low to moderate risk³⁶.

Soil Type	Frost Heave Risk	Recommended Excavation Action
Coarse sand	Low–Moderate	Standard frost depth + 6 in buffer
Fine sand / silty sand	Moderate	Add 6 in extra below published frost depth
Silt / loam	High	Add 12 in extra; verify with geotechnical parameters
Clay	High	Add 12 in extra; consider heavy umbrella insulation

To prevent the upward displacement and fracturing of the micro-root cellar, the base of the excavation must bear safely below the local frost line³⁰. According to the International Residential Code (IRC) Section R403.1.4 and DIN EN 1997-1 standards, structural foundations must be placed below the frost penetration depth, typically incorporating an additional 6 to 12-inch (15–30 cm) safety buffer into the undisturbed soil matrix to account for once-in-a-decade extreme weather events³².

Soil-Structure Interaction and Geotechnical Mechanics

The burial of a repurposed chest freezer exposes its frame to profound geotechnical forces. A freezer utilizes a monocoque structural design; the thin steel walls, reinforced only by injected polyurethane, are engineered to carry minimal vertical stacking loads and possess essentially zero resistance to lateral earth pressures¹. Without intervention, the uniform triangular pressure profile of the soil instantly exceeds the yield strength of the steel, inducing rapid inward buckling and catastrophic structural collapse¹.

Classical Earth Pressures and the At-Rest Condition

When a rigid structure retains a semi-infinite soil mass, the lateral earth pressure increases

linearly with depth¹. Because a buried freezer cannot deflect outward into the soil without crushing its internal volume, it operates under the At-Rest Earth Pressure (K_0) condition¹. The

lateral pressure (p) at depth (z) is defined as:

$$p = K_0 \cdot \gamma \cdot z$$

Where γ is the unit weight of the soil¹. The coefficient of at-rest earth pressure is commonly estimated using Jaky's simplified empirical relation, based on the effective internal friction

angle of the soil (ϕ'):

$$K_0 \approx 1 - \sin(\phi')$$

For typical soils with an internal friction angle of 30° , K_0 is 0.50¹. Over a standard 1.5-meter deep burial, the cumulative horizontal thrust force generated by this equivalent fluid pressure would easily crush the appliance shell¹. However, when an object is placed into a narrow trench rather than against a broad retaining wall, classical earth pressure theories drastically overestimate the lateral forces⁴².

Soil Arching and Janssen's Theory for Narrow Backfills

To bypass the catastrophic failure mode of the steel shell, engineers rely on the phenomenon of soil arching within narrow excavations. Soil arching is a mechanism of stress redistribution wherein the vertical load of the subsiding backfill is transferred laterally into the stationary, undisturbed walls of the trench via shear resistance⁴⁵. As the backfill soil attempts to settle, friction along the rigid trench walls opposes the downward movement, creating an internal "arch" of stresses that bridges the gap, effectively shielding the structure below from the full weight of the soil continuum⁴⁵.

Janssen's arching theory, initially developed in 1895 to determine the reduced vertical loads acting on the bottom of agricultural corn silos, perfectly models the mechanics of a narrow retaining trench⁴⁹. Spangler and Handy adapted Janssen's theory to demonstrate that the vertical stresses—and consequently the horizontal lateral earth pressures—are significantly reduced as the width of the backfill decreases⁴⁹.

In a narrow backfill scenario, the horizontal stress (σ_h) at any depth (z) in a trench of width (B) is defined by the analytical solution:

$$\sigma_h = \frac{\gamma B}{2\mu} \left(1 - e^{-2K_a \mu \frac{z}{B}} \right)$$

Where K_a is the active earth pressure coefficient and $\mu = \tan \delta$, representing the tangent of the wall-soil interface friction angle⁵⁰. The exponential decay term demonstrates that as the

ratio of depth to width (z/B) increases, the horizontal stress ceases its linear accumulation and asymptotically approaches a constant, reduced maximum value⁵⁰. Centrifuge tests and

finite element analyses (FEA) confirm that narrowing the backfill gap forces the inflection point of the lateral pressure diagram downward, heavily mitigating the loads transferred to the freezer's steel skin⁴².

Structural Reinforcement: Timber Cribbing and Bending Stress

Despite the massive load reduction afforded by soil arching, the residual lateral pressures will eventually induce creep and deformation in the freezer's monocoque shell over time. To ensure structural permanence, an internal exoskeleton or timber cribbing system must be installed. This internal shoring acts in compression to resist the external loads, typically utilizing high-strength, weather-resistant materials such as 18mm BFU 100 grade (Baufurniersperrholz) structural plywood or cross-laminated timber⁵³.

To engineer this cribbing, the bending stress of the plywood panels must be calculated to ensure they do not exceed their modulus of rupture under lateral compression. Assuming the

external soil, mitigated by arching, exerts a residual uniform pressure (P) of 15 kN/m^2 ,

and the plywood panels span a distance (L) of 0.3 meters between vertical timber ribs, the structural mechanics can be modeled treating the plywood as a simply supported beam⁵⁶.

The force (F) exerted on a 1-meter wide (b) strip of the plywood is 15000 N , yielding a distributed load (w) of 50000 N/m . The maximum bending moment (M) occurs at the center of the span:

$$M = \frac{w \cdot L^2}{8} = \frac{50000 \cdot (0.3)^2}{8} = 562.5 \text{ Nm}$$

The section modulus (S) of the 18mm thick ($t = 0.018 \text{ m}$) plywood dictates its geometric resistance to bending:

$$S = \frac{b \cdot t^2}{6} = \frac{1 \cdot (0.018)^2}{6} = 0.000054 \text{ m}^3$$

The resulting flexural bending stress (σ) is the ratio of the moment to the section modulus:

$$\sigma = \frac{M}{S} = \frac{562.5}{0.000054} \approx 10.42 \text{ MPa}$$

Because high-grade marine or BFU 100 structural plywood typically possesses an allowable bending stress well in excess of 15 to 25 MPa, the 18mm cribbing operating under a 0.3-meter span provides a highly robust margin of structural safety against the residual earth pressures⁵⁶.

Hydrological Mechanics and Hydrostatic Uplift

Water management dictates the survival of any subterranean structure. The presence of bulk groundwater or poor surface drainage fundamentally alters the geotechnical load calculations, introduces severe hydrostatic forces, and creates an environment highly susceptible to uncontrolled biological contamination¹.

The UPL Limit State and Buoyancy Mechanisms

If a micro-root cellar is excavated into poorly draining soils, such as dense clays, heavy

precipitation will percolate downward and pool inside the excavation trench, effectively creating a submerged bathtub around the appliance. Under the Eurocode 7 (BS EN 1997-1) standard, this scenario threatens the UPL (Uplift) ultimate limit state, mathematically defined as the "loss of equilibrium of a structure or the ground due to uplift by water pressure (buoyancy) or other vertical actions"⁸.

A sealed chest freezer behaves perfectly as a buoyant pontoon. Archimedes' principle dictates that the upward hydrostatic force acting on the base of the freezer is equivalent to the weight of the displaced fluid¹. If a typical 400-liter appliance (displacing 0.4 m^3 of volume) becomes fully submerged, it generates an unrelenting upward buoyant force of approximately

3.92 kN (roughly 400 kg of vertical lift)¹.

Under the BS EN 1997-1 UPL verification protocols, this upward hydrostatic force must be strictly counteracted by the resisting dead weight of the structure and the skin friction of the surrounding soil. Crucially, a partial safety factor must be applied to the favorable resisting dead weight¹. Because an empty chest freezer is exceptionally light, the upward buoyant force will drastically exceed the factored downward weight. Consequently, the entire structure will float, heaving violently out of the ground, destroying the root cellar, rupturing the protective cribbing, and fracturing the surrounding topsoil¹.

Ground Anchoring and Subsurface Drainage

To permanently neutralize the threat of hydrostatic uplift, the freezer must be mechanically tethered to the deep subsoil, and the site hydrology must be managed to prevent water accumulation.

The structural solution involves the deployment of percussive driven earth anchors (PDEAs), such as the Platipus anchor system, or heavy helical ground anchors⁶¹. These anchors provide a lightweight, corrosion-resistant methodology to achieve massive hold-down tension⁶⁴. The installation is a rapid three-step process: First, the anchor head, attached to a wire tendon, is driven deep into the ground beneath the excavation using conventional portable percussive tools. Second, the drive rods are removed. Third, an upward force is applied to the wire tendon to "loadlock" the anchor, causing the bearing plate to rotate perpendicular to the soil matrix⁶⁶. In this loadlocked position, the anchor relies on the massive frustum of the soil's bearing capacity rather than mere shaft friction to resist vertical pullout, safely tethering the appliance against extreme buoyancy events⁶¹.

Simultaneously, the hydrological load is minimized by establishing a passive subsurface drainage system. A 1-foot-deep leveling bed of three-quarter-inch crushed pea gravel is placed at the base of the trench before the freezer is lowered². This porous aggregate intercepts surface percolation and prevents the accumulation of a hydrostatic head against the base of the appliance, diverting the water away from the structure into the deeper, permeable subsoil¹.

Thermal-Gradient Driven Moisture Migration

Even in the absence of bulk hydrostatic pooling, the subterranean environment remains perpetually damp. Burying an insulated appliance establishes an artificial thermal boundary

within the soil, which triggers thermally induced moisture migration⁶⁷. Under Fick's first law of diffusion, water molecules in the vapor phase actively diffuse from warmer soil regions toward lower temperature regions, traveling along the decreasing temperature gradient⁶⁸.

During the winter, the deep earth is considerably warmer than the cold metal exterior of the freezer. Soil vapor migrates toward the appliance; as the vapor strikes the cold steel boundary, it reaches its dew point and condenses into liquid water⁶⁸. This localized accumulation of condensation triggers two destructive phenomena. First, it accelerates the oxidation and rusting of the steel shell. Second, the saturation of the adjacent soil drastically increases its thermal conductivity, forming a thermal bridge that accelerates heat loss and compromises the cellar's stable microclimate⁶⁸.

To arrest this enthalpy flux and protect the appliance envelope, modern root cellar design mandates the application of heavy umbrella insulation. The exterior of the appliance is surrounded by highly specialized, closed-cell materials such as Extruded Polystyrene (XPS) (e.g., Styrodur) or cellular glass (e.g., FOAMGLAS)¹. Unlike Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) or Polyurethane (PUR), XPS and cellular glass exhibit negligible water absorption, zero capillarity, and remain rot-proof in direct soil contact, effectively neutralizing both vapor diffusion and conductive thermal bridging⁷³.

Microbiological Degradation and Organic Vulnerabilities

A buried appliance is subjected to a highly aggressive biological environment on both its exterior interface and its interior storage volume.

Exterior Polyurethane (PUR) Biodegradation

The native insulation core of a chest freezer is composed of high-density polyurethane (PUR) foam. If the thin exterior steel shell oxidizes and delaminates due to moisture accumulation, the underlying PUR foam is exposed directly to the damp soil matrix. While synthetic plastics are generally considered persistent environmental pollutants, polyurethane is highly vulnerable to degradation by specialized soil microbiology.

Rigorous biological assays indicate that specific fungal communities and bacterial consortia residing in damp, anaerobic, or semi-aerobic soil environments can rapidly colonize and consume PUR⁶. Microbes such as *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus versicolor*, *Penicillium* species, and endophytic fungi like *Pestalotiopsis microspora* secrete specific extracellular enzymes—primarily hydrolases, esterases, and ureases—that actively cleave the ester and amide bonds within polyester-based polyurethanes⁶.

Under simulated soil burial conditions, *Aspergillus niger* and bacterial coccus strains have demonstrated the capacity to utilize PUR as a sole carbon and energy source, achieving up to 40% to 55% mass conversion and physical degradation of the polymer within mere weeks⁶. *Pestalotiopsis microspora* is uniquely adapted to execute this degradation even under strictly anaerobic conditions, heavily threatening deeply buried structures⁸². If left unprotected, the microbial consumption of the PUR foam will completely destroy the cellar's thermal resistance. Thus, the appliance must be fully encapsulated. The application of polymer-modified bitumen

thick coatings (PMBC) under DIN 18533 waterproofing standards, combined with inorganic, completely vermin-proof cellular glass insulation, ensures that fungal biofilms cannot penetrate or establish themselves on the insulation layer¹.

Internal Sanitization and Fungal Spore Inactivation

Inside the cellar, the high relative humidity (80-95%) required to preserve agricultural produce creates a prime vector for post-harvest fungal pathogens. Spores of *Botrytis cinerea* (gray mold), *Penicillium expansum* (blue mold), and bacterial soft rot actively proliferate in these cold, damp, stagnant conditions, rapidly decimating stored harvests⁵.

Before the micro-cellar is commissioned with live crops, the interior plastic and metal surfaces must undergo rigorous sanitization to inactivate endemic fungal spores⁸⁵. Traditional agricultural limewash, while occasionally used to paint masonry cellars, possesses limited sporicidal activity and is ineffective against robust bacterial spores⁸⁶. Instead, chemical sanitization is required. Solutions of sodium hypochlorite (bleach) at concentrations strictly above 1.7% have proven highly effective in lysing the cell walls of stubborn fungal pathogens⁸⁵. Alternatively, copper sulfate—a potent, traditional agricultural fungicide—can be utilized in wash solutions⁸⁹. The cupric ions permanently alter the local pH and bind non-specifically to functional groups of fungal proteins and enzymes, completely inhibiting spore germination and mycelial growth on the interior walls of the cellar⁸⁸.

Aerodynamics, Psychrometrics, and Storage Compatibility

Harvested root crops are living tissues that continue to undergo aerobic respiration long after they are pulled from the earth. This continuous metabolic process consumes oxygen and

releases metabolic heat, moisture, carbon dioxide (CO_2), and ethylene gas (C_2H_4) into the closed environment of the freezer¹.

Buoyancy-Driven Stack Effect Ventilation

Ethylene is a highly potent volatile phytohormone. Even at atmospheric concentrations as minuscule as 1 part per million (ppm), ethylene triggers an aggressive physiological cascade in surrounding crops, accelerating senescence, rapid over-ripening, sprouting, and eventual tissue decay¹. To prevent atmospheric toxicity, the micro-cellar must continuously exhaust these heavy metabolic gases. Since active mechanical fans introduce excessive ambient heat and require electrical power, the structure relies on passive, buoyancy-driven stack effect ventilation¹.

The stack effect (or chimney effect) is driven by the density differential between the colder, denser outdoor air and the relatively warmer, humid air inside the cellar¹. Two PVC pipes are plumbed through the insulated lid of the freezer: an intake pipe dropping to the very bottom of the storage volume, and an exhaust pipe drawing air from the apex¹. The denser exterior air

flows down the intake, displacing the warmer, stale air laden with CO_2 and ethylene, forcing it up and out the exhaust stack¹.

The volumetric airflow rate (Q) driven by this thermal buoyancy is modeled using the ASHRAE thermal force equation:

$$Q = C_d \cdot A \cdot \sqrt{2g \cdot \Delta H \cdot \frac{T_i - T_o}{T_i}}$$

Where C_d is the discharge coefficient, A is the cross-sectional area of the pipe, g is gravity, ΔH is the vertical distance between the intake and exhaust heights, and T_i and T_o are the absolute indoor and outdoor temperatures¹. The equation demonstrates that the airflow is

directly proportional to the square root of the height differential ($\sqrt{\Delta H}$)¹. Therefore, artificially extending the exterior exhaust pipe several feet above the ground exponentially increases the draft velocity, allowing the miniature cellar to safely achieve the mandated 2 Air Changes per Hour (ACH) required to flush ethylene without power¹.

Condensation Mitigation and the Magnus-Tetens Equation

While maintaining high relative humidity (RH) prevents the desiccation and wilting of stored tissues, it pushes the internal environment perilously close to the dew point¹. The

thermodynamic relationship determining the dew point temperature (T_d) is calculated using the highly precise Magnus-Tetens equation:

$$\gamma = \frac{17.625 \cdot T}{243.04 + T} + \ln\left(\frac{RH}{100}\right)$$

$$T_d = \frac{243.04 \cdot \gamma}{17.625 - \gamma}$$

If the ambient dry-bulb air temperature (T) within the cellar is $4^\circ C$ and the relative humidity (RH) is 90%, the equation calculates a dew point of $2.5^\circ C$ ¹. If any interior surface—such as

the underside of the freezer lid exposed to winter frost—drops to $2.5^\circ C$, catastrophic condensation will spontaneously form¹. Liquid water dripping directly onto stored crops promotes rapid botrytis blight and bacterial soft rot¹. Because the flat lid of a chest freezer allows condensation to pool and drip vertically, an internal arched or pitched baffle must be installed below the lid. The pitch prevents water droplets from breaking surface tension, allowing the moisture to shed laterally down the curvature to the vertical walls, bypassing the crops below¹.

Psychrometric Crop Compatibility Matrices

Not all agricultural produce can be stored together. Successful preservation requires strict adherence to psychrometric compatibility groups, which segregate crops based on their tolerance to temperature, moisture, and exogenous ethylene⁷.

Compatibility	Target	Relative	Typical Crops	Storage
---------------	--------	----------	---------------	---------

Group	Temperature	Humidity		Dynamics & Incompatibilities
Group 1 (Temperate Fruits)	0 —	90-95%	Apples, Pears, Peaches	High Ethylene Producers. Will rapidly destroy sensitive vegetables if co-mingled. ⁷
Group 2 (Cold/Moist Roots)	0 —	95-100%	Carrots, Beets, Cabbage, Kohlrabi, Turnips, Leeks	Highly sensitive to ethylene. Prone to severe wilting and cellular collapse if RH drops below 90%. Best stored in damp sand. ⁹³
Group 3 (Cool/Dry Alliums)	0 —	60-70%	Garlic, Dry Onions	Excess moisture induces fungal rot. Sprouting occurs violently if temperatures enter the 4–13°C "danger zone." ⁷
Group 4/6 (Warm/Dry)	10 —	60-75%	Winter Squash, Sweet Potatoes, Pumpkins	Suffer permanent chilling injury and tissue death below 10°C. Must be cured at

				high temperatures prior to long-term storage. ⁹³
--	--	--	--	---

An earth-sheltered freezer excels specifically at hosting Group 2 root crops, as the subterranean thermodynamics naturally align with the extreme high moisture and low temperatures necessary to prevent root desiccation over a 6-to-8 month period⁴.

IoT Telemetry and Microclimate Monitoring

Managing the razor-thin psychrometric margins of cold-storage biology requires precision instrumentation. Because a buried chest freezer is physically inaccessible without opening the insulated lid—which rapidly purges the carefully conditioned microclimate—remote telemetry is mandatory to track thermal stability and detect ventilation failures¹⁰⁰.

Modern microclimate monitoring utilizes Internet of Things (IoT) microcontroller ecosystems, specifically those based on the Espressif ESP32 chip. The ESP32 provides an optimal architecture due to its dual-core processing, built-in Wi-Fi and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) antennas, and advanced deep-sleep power efficiency, allowing it to run on battery packs for months in off-grid garden locations¹⁰¹.

Because the ESP32's native 12-bit Analog-to-Digital Converter (ADC) only provides 4,096 discrete levels, it exhibits inherent voltage fluctuations that can translate to unacceptable error margins in scientific readings¹⁰¹. To achieve true precision, environmental architects bypass the internal ADC, routing sensor data through an external 16-bit ADC (such as the ADS1115), which delivers 65,536 discrete levels of resolution, ensuring absolute signal stability¹⁰¹.

Within the cellar, DS18B20 waterproof probes are deployed across multiple spatial zones (floor, mid-level, ceiling) to map thermal stratification. These sensors operate flawlessly in frigid

environments, tracking from $-55^{\circ}C$ to $+125^{\circ}C$ with an accuracy of $\pm 0.3^{\circ}C$ ¹⁰⁰.

Simultaneously, high-precision capacitive sensors, such as the AHT10 or DHT22, are mounted near the exhaust vent to continuously measure relative humidity, ensuring the critical 90-95% target is met without crossing the Magnus-Tetens dew point¹⁰⁰.

Furthermore, wireless BLE beacons, such as the Inkbird IBS-TH1 or IBS-TH2, can be buried directly inside the vegetable storage bins¹⁰⁴. The central ESP32 utilizes an esp32_ble_tracker component within automation frameworks like ESPHome to passively listen to Bluetooth broadcast packets. This allows the ESP32 to parse the environmental data continuously without pinging the devices, thereby preserving the battery life of the internal sensors¹⁰⁴.

To maintain autonomous operation, the ESP32 code is structured around a sleep-wake paradigm. The device powers up briefly every 15 minutes, polls the sensors, executes any necessary temperature compensation algorithms, transmits the payload to a cloud visualization dashboard (e.g., Adafruit IO, Home Assistant, or a custom MQTT broker), and subsequently enters a deep sleep state. During deep sleep, the power-hungry Wi-Fi radio is disabled, reducing the current draw to mere microamps and extending the system's

operational lifespan indefinitely¹⁰⁰.

Lifecycle Carbon Analysis and Economic Viability

The decision to repurpose an appliance shell rather than constructing a traditional poured-in-place concrete cellar represents a dramatic optimization of both capital expenditure and embodied carbon. Embodied carbon accounts for the total greenhouse gas emissions associated with the raw material extraction, manufacturing, and transportation phases (the A1-A3 lifecycle stages) of building materials¹⁰⁷.

Portland cement production is extraordinarily carbon-intensive, responsible for nearly 8% of

global anthropogenic CO_2 emissions. Diverting a decommissioned freezer from the municipal waste stream entirely eliminates the massive carbon penalty of new concrete production, while simultaneously circumventing the heavy energy expenditure required to process and recycle the appliance's steel and plastic components¹¹⁰.

From a purely economic perspective, commercial, prefabricated subterranean refrigerators (such as the Dutch-designed Groundfridge) feature gross starting prices around €17,350 to \$30,000, excluding the substantial costs of heavy excavator delivery, crane installation, and site landscaping¹¹⁴. In stark contrast, a DIY chest freezer conversion leverages a highly subsidized or entirely free appliance waste stream¹¹⁶. The capital investment is restricted to peripheral materials: Platipus earth-anchors, PVC ventilation stacks, internal 18mm plywood cribbing, and basic IoT microcontrollers. The total project cost generally ranges between \$500 and \$1,200¹¹⁶. While lacking the massive 7.8 cubic meter volumetric capacity of luxury architectural installations, the modular micro-cellar achieves identical thermal performance, zero daily electricity costs, and complete food autonomy at a fraction of the capital intensity¹¹⁴.

Conclusion

The transformation of a decommissioned chest freezer into a high-performance subterranean root cellar requires a profound understanding of the physical and biological forces operating below the topsoil. The process mandates rigorous legal compliance; the unit must be safely decommissioned by certified professionals to capture toxic F-gases, avoiding severe environmental penalties. Once chemically inert, the appliance's survival in the earth depends entirely on bypassing its structural limitations. Engineers must leverage the geotechnical mechanics of soil arching within narrow excavations to reduce lateral earth pressures, bolstered by mathematically calculated internal timber cribbing.

Simultaneously, the threat of catastrophic hydrostatic uplift must be neutralized with deep-earth percussive anchoring systems, while thermally induced moisture migration is arrested using impermeable cellular glass insulation. Inside the vault, pathogens must be inactivated via chemical sanitization, and the precise psychrometric requirements of living crops must be managed through buoyancy-driven ventilation and monitored via custom IoT telemetry. By synthesizing these disciplines, what was once hazardous electronic waste is engineered into a highly sustainable, permanent microclimate optimized for the multi-generational preservation of the agricultural harvest.

Works cited

1. 64 Root Cellar Construction Principles.pdf
2. How to Turn an Old Freezer Into an Effective Root Cellar - YouTube, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fke27EVo88A>
3. Chillin' in Our DIY Buried Freezer Root Cellar - The Provident Prepper, <https://theprovidentprepper.org/chillin-in-our-diy-buried-freezer-root-cellar/>
4. Root Cellaring 101 - What is a Root Cellar, Ideal Conditions, and Use, <https://homestead-honey.com/root-cellar-101-what-is-a-root-cellar-ideal-conditions-and-use/>
5. ROOT CELLAR Ventilation System | 4 INCH PVC Schedule 40, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F8k3eHigow4>
6. Microbial Biodegradation of Synthetic Polyethylene and ... - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/17/2/169>
7. Compatibility Chart for Short-term Transport or Storage, <https://postharvest.ucdavis.edu/compatibility-chart-short-term-transport-or-storage>
8. EN 1997-1 Eurocode 7, https://eurocodes.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2022-06/EN1997_6_Orr.pdf
9. Mandatory Training, Certification, and Competence Requirements, <https://www.afscooling.com/blog/mandatory-training-certification-and-competence-requirements-for-f-gas-handlers-in-the-eu>
10. F-Gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573 – Complete Guide, <https://www.fgas-service.de/en/regulation>
11. The F-Gas Regulation and its requirements for operators - Infraser, <https://www.infraserv.com/en/services/facility-management/expertise/f-gas/>
12. Recovering refrigerant: the rules, the certificate and the balance, <https://koldwerk.nl/en/kennisbank/koudemiddel-terugwinnen-regels>
13. Leaks, efficiency and compliance: understanding the new F-gas, <https://trane.eu/ie/about-trane/blog-post.html?id=52>
14. Refrigerant Handling & Recovery | F-Gas Study Guide, <https://www.fgasprep.co.uk/topics/sg5-refrigerant-handling/>
15. Electrical and Electronic Equipment Act - Umweltbundesamt, <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/topics/waste-resources/product-stewards-hip-waste-management/electrical-electronic-waste/electrical-electronic-equipment-act>
16. Service: Elektroaltgeräte - Berlin.de, <https://www.berlin.de/sen/uvk/umwelt/kreislaufwirtschaft/service/privathaushalte/elektroaltgeraete/>
17. Recycling Berlin – Fachgerechte Entsorgung günstig, <https://www.xn--bsr-wohnungsaufsung-jbc.com/recycling.html>
18. Kühlgeräterecycling - BRAL Reststoff-Bearbeitungs GmbH, <https://www.bral.berlin/kuehlgeraeterecycling/>
19. Annahmestelle für Elektroschrott Berlin, <https://www.bral.berlin/annahmestelle-elektroschrott/>

20. Gefrierschrank entsorgen - Berlin Recycling,
<https://www.berlin-recycling.de/entsorgung/abfall-abc/gefrierschrank-entsorgen>
21. So entsorgst du kaputte Elektrogeräte - BSR, <https://www.bsr.de/elektrogeraete>
22. Strafverfahren wegen illegaler Abfallentsorgung – schnelle Hilfe von,
<https://xn--anwalt-fr-strafrecht-kiel-mwc.de/strafverfahren-wegen-illegaler-abfallentsorgung-schnelle-hilfe-von-fachanwaelten-aus-kiel/>
23. Strafverfahren wegen der illegalen Beseitigung von Abfällen,
<https://jhb.legal/blog/strafverfahren-wegen-der-illegalen-beseitigung-von-abfaellen-risiken-und-verteidigungsstrategien>
24. Illegale Müllentsorgung & Müllverbrennung: Bußgeld & Strafen,
https://www.juraforum.de/news/illegale-muellentsorgung-muellverbrennung-bussgeld-strafen_248018
25. Die fahrlässige Umweltstraftat und Entsorgung,
<https://222-stgb.de/die-fahrlaessige-umweltstraftat/>
26. Altlasten und ihre Sanierung - Umweltbundesamt,
<https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/daten/flaeche-boden-land-oekosysteme/boden/altlasten-ihre-sanierung>
27. Rechtslage und Vertragsgestaltungen zur Altlastenregelung,
<https://www.juracafe.de/ressourcen/beitraege/altlasten.htm>
28. Altlasten - Rechtliche Grundlagen - schleswig-holstein.de,
<https://www.schleswig-holstein.de/DE/fachinhalte/A/altlasten/rechtlicheGrundlagen>
29. Haftung für Altlasten im Boden | SCHILLING Rechtsanwälte,
<https://schilling-rechtsanwalt.com/haftung-fur-altlasten-im-boden>
30. Frost line - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frost_line
31. Frost Penetration Depth | Construction & Soil - Darda GmbH,
<https://www.darda.de/en/knowledge/frost-penetration-depth>
32. Frost Line & Frost-Protected Shallow Foundations,
<https://www.fandr.com/frost-line-frost-protected-shallow-foundations/>
33. Frost apron - Basic knowledge - Baukobox,
<https://baukobox.de/en/knowledge/450-frost-skirts>
34. Frostschräge & frostfreie Gründung — Tiefe, Normen und Kosten 2026,
<https://neu-west.com/bauwissen/frostschrage-und-frostfreie-gruendung/>
35. Frostfreie Gründung /Frostschrägen | bauingenieur24,
<https://www.bauingenieur24.de/post/frostfreie-gruendung-frostschragen/611832d7-0fe9-4628-9c3e-f1c3ce657c87>
36. Frost Depth / Footing Depth Reference Tool,
<https://allconcretecalculator.com/calculators/foundations/frost-depth-footing-depth-calculator>
37. The German System of Frost Protection of Roads - PIARC,
<https://www.piarc.org/ressources/documents/actes-seminaires0102/c12-mongolie02/9168,2-5.pdf>
38. 50-State Frost Line Depth Reference Guide | ProGrade Calculators,
<https://progradecalculators.com/frost-line-depth-by-state>
39. Soil-structure interaction of buried structures - SciSpace,

- <https://scispace.com/pdf/soil-structure-interaction-of-buried-structures-584brcr61k.pdf>
40. Soil–Structure Interaction Analysis Using the Finite Element Method,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/1/167>
 41. Chapter 4: Earth Pressure Theory and Application - Caltrans,
<https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/engineering/documents/structure-construction/ts/ts-chpt-4-a11y.pdf>
 42. At-rest lateral earth pressure coefficient under narrow backfill widths,
<https://www.sciopen.com/article/10.3934/geosci.2024016>
 43. At-rest lateral earth pressure coefficient under narrow backfill widths,
<http://www.aimspress.com/aimspress-data/aimsgeo/2024/2/PDF/geosci-10-02-016.pdf>
 44. Finite Element Analysis of Earth Pressures for Narrow Retaining Walls,
<https://scispace.com/pdf/finite-element-analysis-of-earth-pressures-for-narrow-21qspnxhos.pdf>
 45. Understanding Soil Arching Effects | PDF | Geotechnical Engineering,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/749306018/Soil-arching>
 46. The State of the Art Report on Arching Effect,
<http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.jce.20130305.02.html>
 47. Arching Effects in Soil Mechanics | PDF | Pressure | Tunnel - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/912643262/DOC-20250906-WA0012>
 48. What is Soil Arching? - Shore Hire,
<https://www.shorehire.com.au/what-is-soil-arching/>
 49. Active Earth Pressure Distribution inside Narrow Backfill,
<https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%29GM.1943-5622.0002434>
 50. Earth pressures on unyielding retaining walls of narrow backfill width,
https://epe.lac-bac.gc.ca/101/201/301/cdn_geotech/2001/3806/cgj38/t01-063.pdf
 51. Narrow backfill lateral earth pressure | Lecture notes Construction,
<https://www.docsity.com/en/docs/narrow-backfill-lateral-earth-pressure/8892536/>
 52. Research on active earth pressure of narrow cohesionless backfill,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2025.1582886/full>
 53. Baufurniersperrholz - Sortiment - Holz Balk GmbH,
<https://shop.holz-balk.de/de/platten/baufurniersperrholz-rubric-84.html>
 54. Französisches Seekiefer Sperrholz GKL II/III - Kemmler,
<https://www.kemmler.de/sortiment/produkt/franzoesisches-seekiefer-sperrholz-gkl-ii-iii/-/-/4530220012>
 55. 2500x1250x9mm Sperrholz BFU100 Osteurop. Birkenbau,
<https://www.schroeder-bauzentrum.de/2500x1250x9mm-Sperrholz-BFU100-Ost-europ-Birkenbaufurnier-filmbeschichtet-FilmFilm-7-fach-EN636-2S-E1/p/10106314>
 56. Bending Stress in 18mm Plywood | PDF - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/794612483/Bending-Stress-18mm-Plywood-15kPa>

57. Bfu 100 in Sperrholzplatten für Holzindustrie & -Handwerk - eBay.de,
https://www.ebay.de/b/Bfu-100-in-Sperrholzplatten-fur-Holzindustrie-Handwerk/68524/bn_7004335824
58. EN 1997 Eurocode: Geotechnical design Section 2,
http://www.nadirpoint.de/Eurocode/Eurocode_7_Part_1_Sec_2_Sek.pdf
59. Eurocode 7 is coming,
<https://www.eurocode7.com/downloads/Eurocode%20%20is%20coming.pdf>
60. Worked examples – HYD d UPL li it HYD and UPL limit states,
<https://eurocodes.jrc.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2022-06/06w-Schuppener-Worked-examples-HYD%26UPL-limit-states.pdf>
61. Anti-Buoyancy - Foundation Technologies,
<https://www.foundationtechnologies.com/products/applications/anti-buoyancy/>
62. Helical Ground Anchors & Earth Anchors - GeZu Impex,
<https://gezuimpex.com/collections/ground-anchor>
63. Platipus Earth Anchors for Anchored reinforced grid solutions (ARGS),
<https://platipus.sa/industry-sectors/anchored-reinforced-grid-solutions/>
64. Platipus Ground Anchors - Earth Anchoring Solutions,
<https://platipus-anchors.com/>
65. Platipus® Buoyancy Anchors - Cirtex Australia,
<https://cirtex.com.au/products/earth-anchoring/platipus-buoyancy-anchors/>
66. How The Anchor System Works - Platipus Anchors,
<https://platipus-anchors.com/industry-sectors/landscape-tree-anchoring-irrigation/how-the-system-works-tree/>
67. A methodology to determine soil moisture movement due to thermal,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/245216947_A_methodology_to_determine_soil_moisture_movement_due_to_thermal_gradients
68. Thermally Induced Moisture Flow in a Silty Sand under a 1-D ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3263/14/8/207>
69. Effect of Temperature on Moisture Migration in Earth and Fiber,
<https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/14/5526>
70. MOISTURE FLOW INDUCED BY THERMAL GRADIENTS WITHIN,
<https://onlinepubs.trb.org/Onlinepubs/sr/sr40/40-012.pdf>
71. Long-Term Thermal Performance of Insulations Under Moisture Loads,
<https://www.ibp.fraunhofer.de/content/dam/ibp/ibp-neu/de/dokumente/publikationen/ht/long-term-thermal-performance-of-isulations-under-moisture-loads.pdf>
72. Impact of Moisture Migration on Heat Transfer Performance ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/15/7/1084>
73. XPS Insulation - Extruded Polystyrene Rigid Foam - Darda GmbH,
<https://www.darda.de/en/knowledge/xps-insulation>
74. Styrodur C - XPS - Wall Insulation - Brochure English,
<https://foam-tech.squarespace.com/s/Styrodur-Wall-Application-Brochure.pdf>
75. WHAT IS THE WATER ABSORPTION OF XPS INSULATION?,
<https://polyfoamxps.co.uk/what-is-the-water-absorption-of-xps-insulation/>
76. Perimeter insulation - styrodur.de,
<https://www.styrodur.de/en/areas-of-application/perimeter-insulation/>

77. Other - styrodur.de, <https://www.styrodur.de/en/areas-of-application/other/>
78. Thermal Regulation and Moisture Accumulation in Embankments, <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/19/10681>
79. Biodegradation of polyurethane by the microbial consortia enriched, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36763115/>
80. Biodegradation of Polyurethane by Fungi Isolated from Industrial, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380643694_Biodegradation_of_Polyurethane_by_Fungi_Isolated_from_Industrial_Wastewater-A_Sustainable_Approach_to_Plastic_Waste_Management
81. MICROBIAL DEGRADATION OF POLYURETHANE - UI-Repository, <https://repository.ui.edu.ng/items/1f72f747-e780-4f46-b75d-119da6f6d44a>
82. (PDF) Biodegradation of Polyester Polyurethane by Endophytic Fungi, https://www.academia.edu/31790280/Biodegradation_of_Polyester_Polyurethane_by_Endophytic_Fungi
83. (PDF) Degradation of polyurethane by bacterium isolated from soil, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260842354_Degradation_of_polyurethane_by_bacterium_isolated_from_soil_and_assessment_of_polyurethanolytic_activity_of_a_Pseudomonas_putida_strain
84. African Journal of Biotechnology - analysis of the degradation of, <https://academicjournals.org/journal/AJB/article-full-text/7095E9466066>
85. Sanitizers Used for Fungal Spoilage Control in Dry-Fermented, <https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5637/10/3/169>
86. The danger of lime use in agricultural anthrax disinfection procedures, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2583417/>
87. Evaluation of clubroot disinfectants - Canadian Agronomist, <https://canadianagronomist.ca/evaluation-of-clubroot-disinfectants/>
88. Effectiveness of neutral electrolyzed water and copper oxychloride, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8429089/>
89. CR-6428, Controlling Tree Roots in Sewer Lines With Copper Sulfate, https://almacity.com/documents/184/Tree_Root_Control.pdf
90. Produce Compatability In Storage, <https://www.growingproduce.com/vegetables/produce-compatability-in-storage/>
91. Unerlaubter Umgang mit gefährlichen Abfällen § 326 StGB, <https://ra-odebralski.de/strafrecht-rechtsanwalt/strafrecht-einzelne-delikte/vorladung-wegen-unerlaubter-umgang-mit-gefaehrlichen-abfaellen>
92. Reuse It: Building a Root Cellar Out Of an Old Refrigerator or Freezer, <https://thiswesternlife.com/reuse-it-building-a-root-cellar-out-of-an-old-refrigerator-or-freezer/>
93. Recommended Storage Temperature and Relative Humidity, <https://feedingsandiego.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Produce-Storage.pdf>
94. § 4 BBodSchG - Einzelnorm - Gesetze im Internet, https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bbodschg/_4.html
95. Home Storage of Fruits and Vegetables in Root Cellars | MU Extension, <https://extension.missouri.edu/publications/mp562>
96. Tag: The best storage conditions for different types of vegetables,

- <https://www.sustainablemarketfarming.com/tag/the-best-storage-conditions-for-different-types-of-vegetables/>
97. Root Cellars Safe and Secure from the Corporate Food Train,
<https://www.mofga.org/resources/crop-storage/root-cellars-safe-and-secure-from-the-corporate-food-train/>
 98. Fruits and Vegetables Optimal Storage Conditions,
<http://greenway.co.in/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Fruits-and-Vegetables-Optimal-Storage-Conditions.pdf>
 99. Cold storage - Gardening at USask - College of Agriculture and,
<https://gardening.usask.ca/gardening-advice/gardenline-nested-pages/preservation/cold-storage-main.php>
 100. Building a Smart Root Cellar: Temperature Monitoring and,
<https://forum.aqara.com/t/building-a-smart-root-cellar-temperature-monitoring-and-automated-ventilation/136418>
 101. Building a Professional ESP32 Hydroponic Monitoring System with,
<https://medium.com/@smartfarmananywhere/building-a-professional-esp32-hydroponic-monitoring-system-with-cloud-iot-25255551a4c3>
 102. IoT-based Indoor Environment Monitoring with ESP32 and DHT22,
<https://www.hackster.io/sarful/iot-based-indoor-environment-monitoring-with-esp32-and-dht22-f08af4>
 103. Building my own temperature and humidity monitor - Dries Buytaert,
<https://dri.es/building-my-own-temperature-and-humidity-monitor>
 104. Inkbird IBS-TH1, IBS-TH1 Mini, and IBS-TH2 BLE Sensor - ESPHome,
https://esphome.io/components/sensor/inkbird_ibsth1_mini/
 105. Smart Sensors | Advanced Monitoring for Home and Industry,
<https://www.inkbird.com/collections/smart-sensors>
 106. Inkbird BT thermometer iBBQ with ESP32 - Share your Projects!,
<https://community.home-assistant.io/t/inkbird-bt-thermometer-ibbq-with-esp32/325825>
 107. (PDF) Life Cycle Assessment of Embodied Carbon in Buildings,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365303764_Life_Cycle_Assessment_of_Embodied_Carbon_in_Buildings_Background_Approaches_and_Advancements
 108. Climate Smart Buildings - Carbon Leadership Forum,
<https://carbonleadershipforum.org/climate-smart-buildings-embodied-carbon/>
 109. EMBODIED CARBON LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT REFERENCE,
http://www.bostonplans.org/documents/planning/policy-planning/article-37-green-building-and-climate-resiliency-g/clean-draft_dr-review-embodied-carbon-lca-reference
 110. Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Reconstruction and ... - MDPI,
<https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/15/18/3388>
 111. Embodied carbon and building retrofit: A heritage example.,
<https://oro.open.ac.uk/95086/>
 112. Embodied carbon assessment using a dynamic climate model,
<https://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/publications/embodied-carbon-assessment-using-a-dynamic-climate-model-case-study/>

113. A digital workflow for assessing lifespan, carbonation, and,
<https://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/sites/default/files/2024-10/1-s2.0-S2352710224021041-main.pdf>
114. Root Cellar Fridge Cost 2026: Prices & Trends,
<https://www.accio.com/business/root-cellar-fridge-cost>
115. The Big Freeze: Breaking New Ground to Keep Food Cool | Houzz NZ,
<https://www.houzz.co.nz/magazine/the-big-freeze-breaking-new-ground-to-keep-food-cool-stsetivw-vs~59646575>
116. DIY Chest Freezer Vs Purpose-Built Cold Plunge: What Wins?,
<https://sunhomesaunas.com/blogs/cold-plunges/diy-chest-freezer-vs-cold-plunge-tub>
117. Using a chest freezer for root cellar : r/Frugal - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Frugal/comments/100xssv/using_a_chest_freezer_for_root_cellar/
118. Cold Plunge Tub vs Chest Freezer Conversion: Cost Comparison,
<https://icetubs.com/knowledge/cold-plunge-tub-vs-chest-freezer-conversion-cost-comparison>
119. DIY Chest Freezer vs Ice Bath Chiller: Pros and Cons,
<https://foceedo.com/diy-chest-freezer-vs-ice-bath-chiller/>