

Thermomechanical Processing and Fabrication of Agricultural Hand Tools: A Comprehensive Metallurgical Analysis

The fabrication of functional agricultural hand tools represents a complex intersection of mechanical engineering, soil dynamics, and applied physical metallurgy. Unlike decorative ironwork, edge tools subjected to the severe kinetic environments of agriculture—specifically broadforks, eye-hoes, and scythe blades—demand strict adherence to precise thermomechanical processing parameters. The successful transformation of raw, annealed steel into a resilient, high-performance implement requires the rigorous manipulation of crystalline lattice structures, controlled solid-state phase transformations, and precise macroscopic geometry¹.

This exhaustive analysis investigates the forging processes, spatial geometries, and heat-treatment protocols required to produce these three distinct tools. Because each implement serves a fundamentally different mechanical purpose—ranging from the extreme bending moments applied to a broadfork, to the high-cycle kinetic shock sustained by an eye-hoe, to the severe abrasive wear and edge retention demanded of a scythe blade—their metallurgical pathways diverge significantly. By examining the foundational principles of alloy selection, austenitizing kinetics, stress concentration mitigation, dislocation mechanics, and tempering regimes, this report establishes a rigorous scientific framework for the fabrication of high-performance agricultural tooling.

Section I: Foundational Forge Physics and Occupational Safety

Before engaging in the thermomechanical processing of high-carbon alloys, the physical environment and the underlying physics of kinetic energy transfer must be established. The primary barrier to efficient forging lies in the mechanical efficiency of the anvil and the mitigation of occupational hazards.

The Physics of Kinetic Reflection and Acoustic Dampening

Forging efficiency is dictated by the conservation of momentum and the reflection of kinetic energy. The kinetic energy delivered by the hammer is absorbed either by the plastic yielding of the incandescent steel workpiece or by the elastic deformation and physical displacement of the anvil body. Engineering standards mandate a minimum anvil-to-hammer mass ratio of 20:1, with a ratio of 40:1 or greater representing optimal mechanical efficiency for heavy forging¹.

When utilizing industrial scrap—such as decommissioned railroad track segments or 42CrMo4 forklift tines—the geometric orientation of the mass is critical¹. Mounting a railroad track segment horizontally causes the thin vertical web of the track to act as a leaf spring, flexing microscopically under the load of the hammer¹. This elastic deformation absorbs the kinetic energy, resulting in poor rebound, rapid physical fatigue, and highly inefficient metal movement.

The optimal configuration is to mount the rail segment vertically, standing it upright on its transverse end, thereby aligning the entire mass as an unbroken solid column directly under the hammer blow¹.

Furthermore, the kinetic energy not consumed by deforming the hot steel transfers directly into the elastic mass of the anvil body, exciting the natural resonant frequencies of the steel and resulting in extreme, high-frequency acoustic vibrations¹. To mitigate this severe occupational hazard, the anvil mass must be mechanically coupled to an anvil stand using an elastomeric vibration dampener, such as a continuous bed of pure silicone caulk. The silicone possesses an acoustic impedance that differs vastly from both the high-density steel and the cellular structure of the wood stand. This severe acoustic impedance mismatch absorbs and dissipates the acoustic energy as trace amounts of thermal energy, transforming a dangerous ringing frequency into a dull, efficient impact¹.

Occupational Atmospheric Hazards

The thermal processing of steel introduces severe atmospheric hazards. Solid-fuel forges and poorly tuned gas forges generate massive quantities of carbon monoxide (CO), an invisible, odorless gas that aggressively binds to hemoglobin and induces rapid asphyxiation, necessitating high-volume cross-ventilation¹. Additionally, the fabrication of traditional refractory linings utilizing dry clay or crushed firebrick introduces the risk of aerosolizing respirable crystalline silica, a severe carcinogen requiring strict wet-work protocols and particulate respirators¹. Under no circumstances should galvanized steel be introduced to the forge environment; at temperatures exceeding $400^{\circ}C$, the sacrificial zinc layer vaporizes and oxidizes into sub-micron particulates, inhalation of which causes acute zinc fume fever¹.

Section II: Metallurgical Characterization of Tool Steels

The selection of a specific steel alloy dictates every subsequent parameter of the forging and heat-treating process. Carbon content determines the maximum potential hardness, while secondary alloying elements—such as chromium, manganese, tungsten, and silicon—alter the depth of hardening, wear resistance, and the critical cooling rate required to bypass the formation of soft pearlite¹.

Alloy Composition Profiles

The mechanical requirements of agricultural tools govern the selection of alloys, ranging from simple eutectoid steels to complex, deep-hardening hypoeutectoid spring steels.

Alloy Designation	Carbon (C)	Manganese (Mn)	Chromium (Cr)	Silicon (Si)	Primary Metallurgical Application
-------------------	------------	----------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------------------------

AISI 1060	0.60% - 0.65%	0.60% - 0.90%	-	-	High impact resistance; striking tools and eye-hoes ³ .
AISI 5160	0.56% - 0.64%	0.75% - 1.00%	0.70% - 0.90%	0.15% - 0.30%	Deep hardenability; exceptional fatigue life; broadfork tines ¹ .
AISI 1084	0.80% - 0.84%	0.60% - 0.90%	-	-	Eutectoid baseline; highly forgiving heat treatment ¹ .
AISI 1095	0.90% - 1.03%	0.30% - 0.50%	-	-	Hyper-eutectoid; extreme edge retention; scythe blades ³ .
1.2003 (75Cr1)	0.70% - 0.80%	0.60% - 0.80%	0.30% - 0.45%	0.25% - 0.50%	High wear resistance spring steel; agricultural blades and saws ⁸ .
AISI O-1	0.90% - 1.05%	1.00% - 1.20%	0.50%	Tungsten 0.50%	Deep-hardening cold work tool steel; high dimensional stability ¹ .

Time-Temperature-Transformation (TTT) Kinetics and Quenchant Selection

The physical act of quenching relies on extracting thermal energy from the steel fast enough to bypass pearlite formation and trap carbon within the newly sheared crystal lattice. This hardenability profile is mapped using Time-Temperature-Transformation (TTT) diagrams¹.

The critical feature of any TTT diagram is the "nose" of the curve, representing the temperature zone (typically around $1,000^{\circ}F$ to $1,100^{\circ}F$) where austenite is highly unstable and most rapidly decomposes into soft pearlite¹. To achieve full martensitic conversion, the cooling rate must plunge vertically, dropping the steel's temperature below the nose before the pearlite reaction can nucleate¹.

For shallow-hardening steels like AISI 1084 and 1095, the lack of deep-hardening alloying elements places the nose of the TTT curve extremely far to the left, often requiring the steel to drop below $1,000^{\circ}F$ in under one second¹. These alloys necessitate engineered "fast" quenching oils, such as Parks 50, which possess low viscosity and proprietary wetting agents to instantly collapse the insulating vapor jacket that forms around incandescent steel¹.

Conversely, alloys like AISI 5160, O-1, and 75Cr1 contain heavy additions of chromium, tungsten, and manganese¹. These massive elements physically impede the diffusion of carbon out of the lattice, drastically shifting the nose of the TTT curve to the right and allowing for significantly slower critical cooling rates¹. Quenching these highly alloyed steels in a hyper-fast oil introduces an unnecessarily severe thermal gradient, generating transient microscopic tensile stresses that easily exceed the yield strength of the material, resulting in catastrophic quench cracking¹. Therefore, a medium-speed engineered oil (e.g., Houghton AAA) or

commercially available canola oil pre-warmed to $120^{\circ}F$ (to reduce viscosity and increase convection) must be utilized¹.

The empirical measurement of these hardenability profiles is standardized globally via the ASTM A255 Jominy End-Quench test. In this procedure, a fully austenitized cylindrical bar of steel is suspended vertically, and a controlled jet of water is sprayed exclusively against its bottom face, creating a severe, reproducible cooling gradient¹. Rockwell C (HRC) hardness is measured at 1/16-inch intervals along the bar. Highly alloyed steels like 5160 maintain high HRC values significantly further down the length of the bar than shallow-hardening steels like 1095, proving their superior ability to harden in thicker cross-sections under slower cooling conditions¹.

Section III: Microstructural Control and Pre-Quench Processing

The violent mechanical deformation inherent to the forging process severely degrades the steel's internal structure, resulting in massive, coarse crystalline grains and severe internal stresses. Before the steel can be safely hardened, it must undergo precise thermomechanical processing¹.

Normalization and the Hall-Petch Relationship

Normalization forces the steel to undergo complete recrystallization. By heating the steel slightly above its upper critical temperature (typically $1,350^{\circ}F$ to $1,400^{\circ}F$), it undergoes an endothermic phase shift from a body-centered cubic (BCC) ferrite/pearlite structure to a face-centered cubic (FCC) austenite structure¹. This atomic rearrangement absorbs massive amounts of thermal energy without increasing in physical temperature, a phenomenon visually observable as a dark shadow passing across the metal, known as decalescence¹. Upon cooling in still air, the reverse exothermic reaction (recalcescence) occurs as the steel transforms back to pearlite, nucleating entirely new, smaller grains¹.

For optimal refinement, a descending normalization cycle is universally recommended. For instance, AISI 1084 is cycled three times: heated to $1,650^{\circ}F$, $1,500^{\circ}F$, and $1,350^{\circ}F$, with a complete air-cool to black heat between each cycle¹.

The primary goal of sequential thermal cycling is to leverage the Hall-Petch relationship, which mathematically quantifies the correlation between microscopic grain size and macroscopic material strength:

$$\sigma_y = \sigma_0 + kd^{-1/2}$$

Where σ_y is the yield strength, σ_0 is the intrinsic friction stress, k is the locking parameter, and d is the average grain diameter¹. Microscopic defects in the crystal lattice (dislocations) attempt to move through the material during plastic deformation¹. Grain boundaries act as severe physical impediments to these dislocations. When a dislocation hits a grain boundary, it piles up, requiring significantly more applied force to push into the neighboring grain¹. Therefore, an exponential increase in grain boundary density—achieved by reducing the grain size to less than 4 microns—results in a massive, simultaneous increase in both yield strength and impact toughness¹.

Divorced Eutectoid Transformation (DET)

For hyper-eutectoid, highly alloyed steels (such as O-1 and 1095), simple normalization can leave excessive boundary carbides that induce brittleness in the final edge. Advanced practitioners utilize the Divorced Eutectoid Transformation (DET) anneal¹. The steel is heated just above the A1 transformation temperature and soaked briefly. Upon cooling, rather than forming standard lamellar pearlite, the pre-existing undissolved carbides act as massive nucleation sites, causing the carbon to precipitate out as tiny, isolated spheres (spheroidite) suspended in a soft ferrite matrix¹. This spheroidized structure provides peak machinability and acts as the theoretically ideal pre-quench condition, as the finely distributed spherical carbides rapidly dissolve back into solution during the final austenitizing heat, ensuring exceptional homogeneity¹.

Austenitizing Kinetics: Lath vs. Plate Martensite

A fundamental error in thermal processing is assuming that higher austenitizing temperatures yield better performance by driving maximum carbon into solid solution. While higher temperatures dissolve more carbon, severe supersaturation of the austenite lattice culminates in catastrophic brittleness¹.

When the carbon content dissolved in solution is restricted to approximately 0.60% (nominal for 5160, or achieved by strict temperature control in 1095), the martensite forms in a microscopic "lath" structure. Lath martensite consists of long, parallel, highly dislocated sub-grains that possess remarkably high toughness and ductility alongside extreme hardness¹.

However, as the carbon in solution climbs toward 0.80% and 1.00%, the structure transitions aggressively into "plate" martensite¹. Plate martensite crystals form via a twinning mechanism and violently impinge upon one another during the rapid cooling of the quench, physically cracking each other at a microscopic level¹. These microcracks act as severe stress risers, rendering plate martensite inherently brittle and prone to chipping¹. Therefore, for hyper-eutectoid steels, the austenitizing temperature must be kept strictly controlled (e.g., $1,475^{\circ}F$ to $1,500^{\circ}F$) to force the formation of tough lath martensite, leaving the remaining excess carbon undissolved as microscopic, hard carbides to enhance wear resistance¹.

Decarburization Kinetics and Mitigation

At elevated austenitizing temperatures, the carbon located on the surface of the steel reacts aggressively with atmospheric oxygen, vaporizing into carbon dioxide (CO₂) and leaving behind a deep layer of pure, soft, unhardenable iron¹. This decarburization fundamentally alters the surface microstructure from martensite to soft ferrite, drastically reducing fatigue life and eliminating edge retention¹⁵.

The depth of decarburization is a time-temperature-dependent diffusion problem governed by Fick's Second Law, which can be modeled using the error function (erf):

$$C(x, t) = C_s + (C_0 - C_s) \cdot erf\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}}\right)$$

Where $C(x, t)$ is the carbon concentration at depth x and time t , C_s is the surface carbon potential, C_0 is the initial carbon content, and D is the diffusion coefficient of carbon in austenite¹⁸.

To completely prevent decarburization in standard solid-fuel or gas forges, a "muffle pipe" is utilized. A capped steel pipe containing a combustible material (such as charcoal) is placed horizontally within the forge¹. As the pipe heats, the charcoal combusts, consuming the free oxygen within the enclosed space and generating a highly protective, reducing carbon

monoxide (CO) atmosphere¹. Alternatively, specialized high-temperature anti-scale ceramic slurries can be painted directly onto the blade, forming a physical, airtight barrier during the heat treatment process¹.

Section IV: Post-Quench Processing and Tempering Regimes

Directly out of the quench, as-quenched martensitic steel is glass-hard, entirely saturated with internal mechanical stress, and completely unsuitable for kinetic impact¹. Furthermore, the transformation is rarely complete; a portion of the high-temperature FCC phase remains locked within the matrix as metastable retained austenite (RA)¹.

Managing Retained Austenite via Cryogenics

The transformation from austenite to martensite is diffusionless, depending strictly on temperature rather than time¹. It begins at the Martensite Start (M_s) temperature and concludes at the Martensite Finish (M_f) temperature. High carbon content significantly depresses both temperatures, often pushing the M_f point well below standard room temperature¹. Halting the quench at room temperature can leave up to 25% of the steel's volume as soft, ductile retained austenite¹.

To force the completion of the transformation in highly alloyed steels, the tool must undergo cryogenic processing immediately following the quench. Plunging the steel into a slurry of dry ice and acetone ($-100^\circ F$) or liquid nitrogen ($-310^\circ F$) converts the vast majority of the residual RA into untempered martensite, raising the overall hardness and ensuring long-term dimensional stability¹.

The Mechanics of Tempering and Tempered Martensite Embrittlement

Whether cryogenically treated or not, the steel must be tempered immediately to relieve lattice strain. Tempering involves reheating the steel to sub-critical temperatures, allowing trapped carbon atoms to precipitate out of the highly strained Body-Centered Tetragonal (BCT) martensite lattice, forming microscopic, stress-relieving iron carbides¹.

However, metallurgists have identified a highly dangerous phenomenon known as Tempered Martensite Embrittlement (TME). TME occurs when steels are tempered in the specific thermal

window of $450^\circ F$ to $600^\circ F$ ($232^\circ C$ - $315^\circ C$)¹. In this thermal trough, cementite aggressively precipitates and forms continuous, brittle films along the boundaries of the martensite laths¹. This results in a massive, paradoxical drop in impact toughness, rendering the steel significantly more brittle than if it had been tempered at a lower temperature¹.

Therefore, tools must be tempered based on their specific kinetic requirements, carefully avoiding the TME zone:

Temper Color	Approximate Temp (°F)	Approximate Temp (°C)	Optimal Application and Metallurgical Notes
Light Straw	400° <i>F</i>	204° <i>C</i>	Ideal for O-1 and 1095 (Rc~60-61). Provides maximum edge retention and strength. Avoids TME. ¹
Dark Straw / Bronze	465° <i>F</i>	240° <i>C</i>	Warning: Entering the TME zone. Drop in toughness begins. ¹
Brown / Purple	500° <i>F</i>	260° <i>C</i>	Avoid for impact tools; severe risk of Tempered Martensite Embrittlement. ¹
Light Blue / Grey	650° <i>F</i> +	343° <i>C</i> +	Spring temper for 5160 (Rc~50-52). Extreme impact toughness for broadforks and heavy hoes. ¹

The efficacy of these tempering regimes is verified using the Charpy V-Notch impact test, which measures the energy absorbed during fracture²¹. Testing indicates that 5160 steel tempered above the TME zone at 650° *F* achieves exceptional Charpy impact toughness (often absorbing 30-40 Joules of energy), drastically outperforming 1095 steel tempered at identical temperatures, primarily due to 5160's lower carbon matrix and chromium-enhanced plasticity⁴.

Section V: The Broadfork: Soil Dynamics and Deep-Tillage Fabrication

The broadfork is an implement designed for deep, non-inversion soil aeration. It consists of multiple long tines mounted to a heavy crossbar, flanked by two long vertical handles. Unlike motorized rotary tillers, which pulverize soil aggregates and create an impenetrable hardpan

layer just below the topsoil, the broadfork is driven vertically into the earth; the operator then pulls back on the handles, utilizing human body weight to leverage and fracture the soil along its natural fault lines without inverting the soil biology²⁴.

Soil Mechanics and Draft Force Modeling

The mechanical forces exerted on a broadfork are extreme. The tines operate as cantilevered beams subjected to massive bending moments. To understand the structural requirements of the tines, one must examine the principles of soil mechanics, specifically Coulomb's active and passive earth pressure theory and draft force prediction models²⁷.

When the broadfork is leveraged backward, the tines compress the soil horizontally, inducing a passive earth pressure state²⁹. Coulomb's coefficient of passive earth pressure (K_p) calculates the maximum resistance the soil can offer before the internal shear strength of the soil fails²⁸. The formula for the horizontal passive earth pressure coefficient is heavily dependent on the soil's internal angle of friction (ϕ) and the friction angle between the soil and the steel tine (δ)²⁸:

$$K_{ph} = \frac{\cos^2(\alpha + \phi)}{\cos^2 \alpha \cos(\alpha - \delta) \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sin(\phi + \delta) \sin(\phi + \beta)}{\cos(\alpha - \delta) \cos(\alpha - \beta)}} \right]^2}$$

The total soil resistance force (F_r) acting against the tines incorporates soil cohesion (C), wet soil bulk density (ρ_w), and the operation depth (d)²⁷. The soil volume fractured by the tines typically forms a trapezoidal-based pyramid (for wide arrays) or a triangle-based pyramid (for single deep tines)²⁷. Because compacted agricultural clay presents exceptionally high passive resistance, the draft force exerted as a bending moment against the lower third of the broadfork tines can easily exceed the yield strength of unhardened mild steel, resulting in catastrophic plastic deformation²⁷.

Forging and Fabrication

To survive these extreme bending moments, the broadfork tines must be fabricated from a high-yield, high-toughness alloy, making AISI 5160 spring steel the premier choice¹. The physical geometry of the tine is equally critical. A perfectly straight tine creates excessive resistance upon entry and exit, acting as a wedge that fights the rotational pivot of the tool²⁴. Therefore, the tines are forged with a constant radius curve²⁶. This specific arc geometry allows the "tail to follow the head" as the tines are driven into the soil, matching the natural rotational arc of the tool as it pivots on the crossbar, thereby drastically reducing the penetration and extraction forces required by the operator²⁶.

A heavy-duty broadfork typically features 5 tines, each approximately 14 inches long, spaced evenly across a crossbar²⁶. The tines can be forged from heavy round stock or CNC plasma-cut

from 1/4-inch heavy steel plate³⁵. The tips are drawn out on the anvil into a gradual, square taper to pierce compacted earth efficiently³³.

Once the individual tines are forged and normalized to refine the grain structure, they are affixed to a heavy-walled crossbar (often 1.5-inch square steel tubing or a 42.5-inch rectangular tube)³³. Because high-carbon steels are highly susceptible to embrittlement in the Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) during arc welding, the tines must be pre-heated prior to welding (often utilizing 7018 stick electrodes or heavy MIG processes) and carefully stress-relieved afterward⁷.

The final heat treatment of the tines requires an austenitizing heat of $1,525^{\circ}F$, followed by a quench in medium-speed oil to bypass the TTT curve's pearlite nose without inducing quench cracking¹. The tines are immediately subjected to a robust spring temper ($650^{\circ}F$ +) to ensure they possess the requisite elasticity to bend and return to true under the massive leverages dictated by Coulomb soil resistance¹.

Section VI: The Eye-Hoe: Mitigating High-Cycle Impact and Geometric Stress

The eye-hoe is a heavy-duty impact tool utilized for severing thick roots, trenching, and chopping into highly compacted soil. Unlike the broadfork, which relies on slow, sustained mechanical leverage, the eye-hoe is swung at high velocity, subjecting the tool to massive, repeated kinetic shock.

Punching, Drifting, and Forge Welding the Eye

The fabrication of an eye-hoe begins with a heavy blank of carbon steel (such as 1060 or 5160), frequently scavenged from agricultural disc harrows (approximately 5mm thick) or forged from virgin bar stock³⁷. The defining characteristic of the tool is its socket, or "eye," which accommodates the wooden handle.

Creating the eye without compromising the structural integrity of the steel requires hot-punching and drifting, rather than drilling, to preserve continuous grain flow¹. The steel is brought to a

bright yellow incandescent heat ($1,900^{\circ}F$ to $2,100^{\circ}F$)¹. The blank is positioned over an eye bolster (a heavy steel block with a specialized cavity), and a bursting punch is driven into the center of the mass³⁷. The punch displaces the steel outward, maintaining the continuous, unbroken flow of the crystalline grain structure around the circumference of the hole¹.

Because the thin blade section rapidly loses thermal energy, this process requires multiple brief heats to prevent the steel from dropping below the critical forging threshold (dull red,

$\sim 1,200^{\circ}F$)¹. Once punched to a depth of approximately 25-30 mm, a tapered tool steel drift is driven completely through the hole, expanding the socket to its final dimensions and forming a continuous 4mm flange around the eye³⁷. This flange is then stretched and tapered over the beak of the anvil to provide a secure friction-fit for the handle³⁷.

Alternatively, the eye can be formed via an asymmetric forge weld (a wrapped eye), a technique historically used in Viking-era axes and heavy hoes³⁸. A shank of the steel is drawn out, beveled

to create a sharp weld-scarf, wrapped back onto itself, and heated to a brilliant white welding heat³⁹. Anhydrous borax is applied as a flux to dissolve iron oxide scale, and a reducing atmosphere is maintained in the forge to prevent further oxidation³⁹. The weld is set with firm, rapid hammer taps, seamlessly fusing the steel at a molecular level⁴⁰.

Engineering and Stress Concentration Mitigation

The transition zone between the heavy eye socket and the thinner, flat blade of the hoe is the most critical failure point on the tool. In structural mechanics, any geometric discontinuity—such as a hole, a sharp internal corner, or a sudden change in cross-sectional area—acts as a severe stress riser⁴².

The magnitude of this localized stress is quantified by the theoretical stress concentration factor

(K_t), defined as the ratio of the maximum local stress to the nominal stress of the

cross-section ($K_t = \sigma_{max} / \sigma_{nom}$)⁴². Kirsch's analytical solution (1898) demonstrates that for a simple circular hole in a plate under tension, the local stress at the boundary of the hole is

exactly three times higher than the surrounding material ($K_t = 3.0$)⁴². In stepped shafts or

sharp transitions, K_t can easily rise above 5.5, leading to rapid crack propagation and eventual brittle fracture⁴².

In dynamic impact tools subject to high-cycle fatigue, the fatigue stress concentration factor (K_f) is utilized, incorporating Neuber's material notch sensitivity (q):

$$K_f = 1 + q(K_t - 1)$$

To mitigate this exponential concentration of stress and prevent fatigue cracking at the neck of the hoe, the blacksmith must eliminate all sharp internal corners¹. This is achieved through careful fullering. Using a top fuller and a bottom swage, the blacksmith forges a smooth, sweeping radius (a large fillet) between the socket and the blade³⁷. This generous geometrical transition guides the flow of kinetic energy smoothly through the body of the tool, significantly

lowering the K_f value and preventing localized yielding⁴².

Differential Heat Treatment

To balance the conflicting requirements of a sharp, wear-resistant edge and a highly ductile, shock-absorbing socket, the eye-hoe undergoes differential heat treatment⁴⁷. Instead of austenitizing and quenching the entire tool, only the lower portion of the blade is brought to critical temperature, or the entire tool is heated but only the cutting edge is plunged into the quenchant (an edge-quench)⁴⁸.

This localized cooling ensures that the cutting edge transforms into hard martensite, while the heavy eye and the stress-prone neck cool slowly in the ambient air, forming a tough, stress-free pearlitic structure capable of absorbing massive kinetic impact without fracturing⁴⁷. The

hardened edge is then tempered back to a dark straw or bronze color to provide adequate edge retention while maintaining the structural integrity necessary for striking roots and rocks¹.

Section VII: The Scythe Blade: Edge Retention and Dislocation Mechanics

The scythe blade represents the pinnacle of complex agricultural forging. Designed to sever flexible, silica-rich grass stems with minimal physical effort, the scythe requires absolute geometric precision and an edge honed to microscopic tolerances⁴⁹.

Spatial Geometry: Haft, Lay, and Tilt

Unlike symmetrical tools, the scythe operates on three specific spatial axes that must be precisely calibrated to the individual operator's biomechanics. These spatial orientations are defined by three distinct angles forged into the tang (the mounting tail) of the blade⁵²:

1. **Hafting Angle (Einschlag):** The angle between the long axis of the blade and the snath (handle)⁵². A correctly closed hafting angle ensures the blade slices through the vegetation with a drawing cut, maximizing edge efficiency, while an overly open angle results in a forceful chopping motion that rapidly dulls the edge and risks structural breakage⁵³. The tip of the blade should typically fall 3-5 cm inside the arc of the beard (heel)⁵³.
2. **The Lay (Aufschlag / Steepness):** The vertical distance of the cutting edge from the ground when the spine rests flat⁵². This is controlled by the pitch of the tang. If the lay is too low, the edge runs dangerously close to the earth, blunting quickly on roots and stones; if too high, it glides ineffectively over short grass⁵⁵.
3. **The Tilt (Neigung):** The upward lift of the point (toe) of the blade relative to the beard. This specific curvature prevents the tip of the blade from burying itself into uneven ground during the sweeping lateral arc of the cut⁵².

Forging the Blade Structure

Scythe blades feature a highly specialized cross-section consisting of a thick, rigid spine (the rib) and a broad, ultra-thin cutting plane (the web)⁵⁶. The traditional English manufacturing process utilized lamination, forge-welding a core of highly alloyed "shear" steel between two outer jackets of soft, ductile wrought iron or mild steel⁵⁷. This sandwich construction allowed the brittle high-carbon cutting edge to be supported by a massive, shock-absorbing jacket⁵⁷. Modern Austrian-style blades, however, are typically forged from a single piece of homogenous, medium-to-high carbon steel (often utilizing alloys akin to 1095 or 75Cr1)⁹.

Forging the web of the mono-steel scythe requires extreme thermal management. As the steel is drawn out laterally to create the wide, thin blade, it becomes highly susceptible to rapid cooling, scaling, and mechanical stress⁵⁷. Before quenching, the primary bevel of the edge must not be ground or forged thinner than 1.0 mm (approximately 0.050 inches)¹. If the edge geometry is left excessively thin, the massive volumetric expansion that occurs when the FCC austenite shears into BCT martensite during the quench will cause the thin edge to violently buckle and warp, creating an unrecoverable sinusoidal wave pattern colloquially known as

"bacon edge" or "potato-chipping"¹.

Once safely quenched in an appropriate medium-speed oil (to accommodate the thin cross-section without inducing quench cracking), the blade is subjected to a low-temperature

temper (approximately $400^{\circ}F$, light straw). This cycle relieves quenching stresses while maintaining a high hardness (around 60 HRC), maximizing the lifespan of the cutting edge and completely avoiding the TME zone¹.

Cold Working and Peening: The Physics of Dislocation Density

While English laminated blades were traditionally finished on massive sandstone grinding wheels (as the hard core steel would not tolerate cold work), European mono-steel blades utilize a unique, non-abrasive sharpening methodology known as peening⁴⁹. Peening is a cold-forging process where the primary bevel of the blade is placed on a specialized narrow anvil and repeatedly struck with a highly polished cross-peen hammer or drawn through a mechanical roller guide (jig)⁴⁹.

This process physically draws out and tapers the metal at room temperature, but more importantly, it induces massive strain hardening (work hardening) in the crystalline lattice of the cutting edge⁵⁰. Strain hardening is the metallurgical phenomenon by which a ductile metal becomes progressively stronger and harder as it undergoes plastic deformation well below its recrystallization temperature⁶³.

The fundamental physical mechanism driving this localized hardening is the multiplication and entanglement of crystalline line defects known as dislocations⁶³. As the hammer strikes the cold steel, existing dislocations glide along crystallographic slip planes¹⁴. Due to the severe local deformation, the dislocations multiply at an astronomical rate, driven by mechanisms such as

Frank-Read sources⁶³. The dislocation density (ρ) in the steel increases exponentially,

escalating from a baseline annealed value of $10^{10} m^{-2}$ to a heavily cold-worked value of $10^{16} m^{-2}$ ⁶³.

As the density of these defects increases, the dislocations tangle, interact, and form complex substructures and dislocation cell walls that act as massive physical barriers to further plastic deformation¹⁴. The increase in the resolved shear stress required to move a subsequent dislocation through this entangled lattice is quantified by the Taylor Hardening Law:

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \alpha G b \sqrt{\rho}$$

Where τ is the critical resolved shear stress required for plastic flow, τ_0 is the intrinsic lattice friction stress, α is a material-specific interaction constant, G is the shear modulus of the steel, b is the magnitude of the Burgers vector, and ρ is the total dislocation density⁶³.

Because the required shear stress (τ) scales in direct proportion to the square root of the dislocation density ($\sqrt{\rho}$), the localized peening of the scythe blade massively increases the Vickers hardness, yield strength, and resistance to plastic deformation at the exact microscopic apex of the cutting edge⁶³. This ultra-thin, work-hardened edge is uniquely capable of severing silica-rich grass stems with extreme efficiency (often requiring less than 250 grams of applied pressure to sever a standardized test thread), while possessing the localized structural rigidity to resist immediate dulling, folding, or micro-chipping in the field⁵¹. Subsequent honing with a fine whetstone simply aligns and maintains this highly tensioned, strain-hardened micro-bevel⁴⁹.

Conclusion

The successful transition from raw steel fabrication to the rigorous thermomechanical processing of high-carbon agricultural tools marks the threshold of applied metallurgical competency. The blacksmith is not merely shaping hot metal; they are engineering the internal crystalline lattice of the steel to withstand highly specific, extreme macroscopic forces. For the broadfork, surviving the massive bending moments dictated by Coulomb's passive earth pressures requires the precise application of deep-hardening alloys like 5160, processed into resilient lath martensite, and tempered well above the embrittlement trough to achieve maximum Charpy impact toughness. The eye-hoe demands strict attention to geometrical stress concentration factors, requiring hot-punched, drifted sockets and sweeping fullered radii to

exponentially lower the fatigue notch factor (K_f), supported by localized differential heat treatment. Finally, the scythe blade synthesizes complex tri-axial spatial geometry with extreme edge retention, achieved not only through precision thermal tempering but through the physical manipulation of dislocation density via cold-peening and strain hardening. When executed with precision within a strictly controlled, safety-compliant environment, these thermomechanical processing techniques yield agricultural implements capable of unparalleled efficiency, resilience, and operational longevity.

Works cited

1. 5 - Forging High-Carbon Tool Steel.pdf
2. 5160 vs. 1095: Which Steel Is Better For Knife Making?,
<https://www.redlabelabrasives.com/blogs/news/5160-vs-1095-which-steel-is-better-for-knife-making>
3. Which one is better for forge swords, 1060 Steel or 1095 Steel?,
<https://www.absword.com/which-one-is-better-for-forge-swords-1060-steel-or-1095-steel/>
4. 5160 Steel Vs 1095: Which Is Right For Your Project? | Huaxiao Metal,
<https://www.huaxiaometal.com/news/industry-news/5160-steel-vs-1095-steel.html>
5. 1095 Vs. 5160? : r/Blacksmith - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Blacksmith/comments/1fokaue/1095_vs_5160/
6. 1084 vs 1095: Which Carbon Steel Should You Choose?,
<https://toruscnc.com/forge-brain/knife-steels/compare/1084-vs-1095/>

7. 5160 Steel vs 1095 Steel: How to Choose the Right Material for Your, <https://www.machining-custom.com/blog/5160-steel-vs-1095-steel.html>
8. 1.2003 - 75Cr1 - h+s Folien, <https://hs-folien.shop/en/Technical-information/Technical-information-sheets/1.2003-75Cr1/>
9. 75Cr1 - High Carbon Steel Strip, <https://springsteelstock.co.uk/75cr1-high-carbon-steel-strip/>
10. DIN 75Cr1 - c.c.steel, http://www.ccsteels.com/2014/Tool_steel_0910/19.html
11. Heat treatment and properties of iron and steel, <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/legacy/mono/nbsmonograph88.pdf>
12. Heat Treatment of Iron and Steel | PDF - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/490851524/NBS-Monograph-88-pdf>
13. 1084 vs 1095 Steel for Knives: Which Is Better? | ProKilnSupply, <https://prokilnsupply.com/blogs/kiln-guide/1084-vs-1095-steel-which-should-you-h-eat-treat-first>
14. work-Hardening during Peening - shotpeener.com, <https://www.shotpeener.com/library/pdf/2017024.pdf>
15. Decarburisation of steel, <https://www.phase-trans.msm.cam.ac.uk/abstracts/M0.html>
16. Decarburization – Metallurgical Processes - AZoM, <https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=9624>
17. Brief Explanation Of Decarburization Of Steel? - SteelonCall, <https://steeloncall.com/blog/brief-explanation-of-decarburization-of-steel>
18. Calculating decarburization | Thermal Processing Magazine, <https://thermalprocessing.com/calculating-decarburization/>
19. (PDF) Understanding and measuring decarburization - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286099499_Understanding_and_measuring_decarburization_Understanding_the_forces_behind_decarburization_is_the_first_step_toward_minimizing_its_detrimental_effects
20. Results of the Charpy impact toughness test. - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Results-of-the-Charpy-impact-toughness-test_tbl5_344356603
21. Charpy Impact Test: Principles, Equipment, Procedures, and, <https://ssmalloys.com/charpy-impact-test/>
22. Charpy Impact Test Guide | ASTM E23 & ISO 148-1 | Infinita Lab, <https://infinitalab.com/blog/charpy-impact-test-guide/>
23. 5160 High Carbon Spring Steel: Properties, Uses, Products, <https://steelprogroup.com/tool-steel/grades/5160/>
24. Skip Power Aerators: There's A Tool That Won't Damage Soil - Hunker, <https://www.hunker.com/2210722/power-aerators-alternative-tool-use-broadfork/>
25. The Broadfork: A Tool for Every Garden - Get Dirty, <https://getdirty.co.za/the-broadfork-a-tool-for-every-garden/>
26. 8 Ergonomic Tools for Homesteading with Back Pain - FarmstandApp, <https://www.farmstandapp.com/631058/8-ergonomic-tools-for-homesteading-with-back-pain/>

27. Draft forces prediction model for standard single tines by using,
<https://www.agricultural-engineering.eu/landtechnik/article/download/2017-72-3-157-164/2017-72-3-157-164-en-pdf/71>
28. Coulomb's Earth Pressure Calculations | PDF | Nature - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/847890243/Week-3-7-Coulomb-Earth-Pressure-Example>
29. Rankine Earth Pressure Theory: Principles, Applications, and,
<https://hydropowerengineer.wordpress.com/2025/03/23/rankine-earth-pressure-theory-principles-applications-and-recent-developments/>
30. Active and Passive Earth Pressure — Coulomb and Rankine,
<https://www.prontubeam.com/Coulomb-Rankine-Active-Passive-Earth-Pressure-coefficient>
31. (PDF) Draft forces prediction model for standard single tines by,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318491544_Draft_forces_prediction_model_for_standard_single_tines_by_using_principles_of_soil_mechanics_and_soil_profile_evaluation
32. 5 of the Best Off Grid Gardening Essentials for Self-Sufficient Living,
<https://offgrid.reviews/best-off-grid-gardening-essentials/>
33. A Handcrafted Broadfork - The Modern Homestead,
<https://themodernhomestead.us/grow-it/homestead-tools/a-handcrafted-broadfork/>
34. Broad Fork Garden Tool, Heavy Duty 5+4 Sharp Tines BroadFork,
<https://www.ebay.com/itm/127977895499>
35. DIY Project — Making a Broadfork — From Contributor Matt Miles,
<https://lowtechinstitute.org/2017/03/09/diy-project-making-a-broadfork-from-contributor-matt-miles/>
36. How to Heat Treat 5160 - Optimizing Toughness - Knife Steel Nerds,
<https://knifesteelnerds.com/2019/04/01/how-to-heat-treat-5160/>
37. 21. Forging a hoe with eye - Agricultural engineering in development,
<https://www.fao.org/4/ah637e/AH637E28.htm>
38. Viking age axe tutorial - Page 8 - History - Bladesmith's Forum Board,
<https://www.bladesmithsforum.com/index.php?/topic/17953-viking-age-axe-tutorial/page/8/>
39. Forging a Viking Axe : 19 Steps (with Pictures) - Instructables,
<https://www.instructables.com/Forging-a-Viking-Axe/>
40. Forge Welding - Blacksmithing, General Discussion,
<https://www.iforgeiron.com/topic/971-forge-welding/>
41. advice on fire welding : r/Blacksmith - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Blacksmith/comments/y91lbq/advice_on_fire_welding/
42. Stress Concentration Factor: Kt Values - Neural Concept,
<https://www.neuralconcept.com/post/stress-concentration-mitigating-risk-factors-in-design-engineering>
43. Stress concentration - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stress_concentration
44. Stress Concentration Analysis Explained With Examples - SimuTecra,
<https://simutecra.com/blogs/stress-concentration-analysis-explained-example>

45. Stress Concentration Factor Calculator (Kt & Kf) - Quadco Engineering,
<https://www.quadco.engineering/en/know-how/stress-concentration-factor-calculator.htm>
46. Stress Concentration Factors | Kt, Kf Tables & Engineering Guide,
https://www.roymech.co.uk/Useful_Tables/Fatigue/Stress_concentration.html
47. Implications of differential heat treating - Bushcraft USA Forums,
<https://bushcraftusa.com/threads/implications-of-differential-heat-treating.181231/>
48. Heat Treatment: A Comprehensive Guide for Knife Makers - Lufolk,
<https://lufolk.com/blogs/blacksmithing/knife-making-heat-treatment>
49. How To Use The 1SR Roll Guide | PDF | Screw | Cutting - Scribd,
<https://www.scribd.com/doc/257053934/How-to-Use-the-1SR-Roll-Guide>
50. Chapter 4. Preparing the Blade's Edge - Scythe Works,
<https://scytheworks.ca/knowledge-base/chapter-4-preparing-the-blades-edge/>
51. On the quest for perfect peening - The Scythe Association,
<https://scytheassociation.org/on-the-quest-for-perfect-peening/>
52. Chapter 5: The Elements of Scythe Fitting,
<https://scytheworks.ca/knowledge-base/chapter-5-the-elements-of-scythe-fitting/>
53. Setting the Scythe Blade - the Hafting Angle,
<https://www.scythecymru.co.uk/scything-guide/scythe-blade-angles-hafting/>
54. Checking Scythe Settings: How to avoid bending clamps or breaking,
<https://wildscythe.co.uk/avoid-breakages/>
55. Setting the Scythe Blade - the Lay,
<https://www.scythecymru.co.uk/scything-guide/scythe-blade-angles/>
56. A Primer on the Selection, Use, & Maintenance of the American Scythe,
<https://byxcoblog.com/?p=6>
57. English scythe making traditions at Issac Nash Ltd,
<https://scytheassociation.org/english-scythe-making-traditions-at-issac-nash-ltd/>
58. The Scythe Must Dance: Technical Instructional Manual - studylib.net,
<https://studylib.net/doc/8999290/what-in-the-world-is-a--grass--blade%3F---doc-de-veloppement>
59. Messerschmiedekurs Berlin | 3,5h zum Damast - Moorschmied,
<https://workshop.moorschmied.de/messerschmiedekurs-berlin/>
60. Schmiedekurse - Rixdorfer Schmiede - jetzt anmelden!!!,
<https://www.feine-klingen.de/produkt-kategorie/kurs/schmiedekurse/>
61. Operating instructions for the SF Peening Machine Made in Austria,
https://www.dictum.com/media/84/81/28/1752834760/705864_Dengelprospekt_EN.pdf?ts=1759886856
62. Scythe Peening Jig - Blade work hardening guide sharpening,
<https://wisementrading.com/farm-and-garden/scythes/scythe-peening-jig-blade-work-hardening-guide-sharpening-made-in-austria/>
63. Strain Hardening in Steel: Complete Guide - Mechanisms, Hollomon,
<https://engineersviews.com/blog/strain-hardening/>
64. Work hardening - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Work_hardening
65. Work hardening - chemeurope.com,
https://www.chemeurope.com/en/encyclopedia/Work_hardening.html

66. Overview of Hardening Mechanisms - Deringer Ney,
<https://deringerney.com/overview-of-hardening-mechanisms/>
67. Dislocation cell formation and work hardening in the unidirectional,
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Dislocation-cell-formation-and-work-hardening-in-of-Kuhlmann-wilsdorf-Comins/cf3f38ac921d7957eb0e49332b8addfc9dfa8f73>
68. Blade and Whetstone hardness Testing - Wild Scythe,
<https://wildscythe.co.uk/hardness-testing/>
69. The correlation between the Vickers hardness and the dislocation,
https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-correlation-between-the-Vickers-hardness-and-the-dislocation-density-in-the-Cu-steel_fig3_320682853